

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 980001-EI

NOVEMBER 4, 1998

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-98-1184-PCO-EI, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

a. All Known Witnesses

Staff has no witnesses at this time.

b. All Known Exhibits

Staff has no exhibits at this time.

c. Staff's Statement of Basic Position

Staff's positions are preliminary and based on materials filed by the parties and on discovery. The preliminary positions are offered to assist the parties in preparing for the hearing. Staff's final positions will be based upon all the evidence in the record and may differ from the preliminary positions stated herein.

d. Staff's Position on the Issues

ISSUE 1: What is the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amount for Florida Power & Light Company for the period October, 1997, through March, 1998?

ACK _____
AFA _____
APP STAFF: \$13,491,202 overrecovery

CAF ISSUE 2: What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1998, through December, 1998?

CMU _____
CTR STAFF: FPC: \$6,491,587 overrecovery
EAG _____ FPL: \$129,170,389 underrecovery
LEC _____ FPUC-Fernandina Beach: \$126,712 overrecovery
LII 3 _____ FPUC-Marianna: \$60,107 overrecovery
OPC _____ GULF: \$4,384,575 underrecovery
TECO: No position at this time.

RCH _____
SEC 1 _____
WAS _____
OTH _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~12007~~ 107-42

FPSC RECORDS/REPORTING

ISSUE 3: What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January, 1999, to December, 1999?

STAFF: FPC: \$6,491,587 overrecovery
FPL: \$115,679,187 underrecovery
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: \$126,712 overrecovery
FPUC-Marianna: \$60,107 overrecovery
GULF: \$4,384,575 underrecovery
TECO: No position pending resolution of company-specific issues

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January, 1999, to December, 1999?

STAFF: FPC: No position pending resolution of company-specific issues.
FPL: 1.976 cents per kwh.
FPUC: Marianna: 2.293 cents per kwh.
Fernandina Beach: 2.042 cents per kwh.
GULF: 1.662 cents per kwh.
TECO: No position pending resolution of company-specific issues.

ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

STAFF: The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January, 1999, and thereafter through the last billing cycle for December, 1999. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 1999, and the last billing cycle may end after December 31, 1999, so long as each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the factors became effective.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

STAFF:

FPC:		Delivery	Line Loss
	<u>Group</u>	<u>Voltage Level</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	A.	Transmission	0.9800
	B.	Distribution Primary	0.9900
	C.	Distribution Secondary	1.0000
	D.	Lighting Service	1.0000

FPL: The appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Loss Multipliers are provided in response to Issue No. 7.

FPUC: Marianna

<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
All Rate Schedules	1.0000
<u>Ferrandina Beach</u>	
All Rate Schedules	1.0000

GULF: See table below:

Group	Rate Schedules*	Line Loss Multipliers
A	RS, GS, GSD, GSDT, SBS, OSIII, OSIV	1.01228
B	LP, LPT, SBS	0.98106
C	PX, PXT, SBS, RTP	0.96230
D	OSI, OSII	1.01228

*The multiplier applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

TECO:	<u>Group</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	Group A	1.0071
	Group A1	N/A*
	Group B	1.0016
	Group C	0.9681

*Group A1 is based on Group A, 15% of On-Peak and 85% of Off-Peak.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

STAFF:

FPC: No position pending resolution of company-specific issues.

FPL:

GROUP	RATE SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR	LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
A	RS-1,GS-1, SL-2	1.976	1.00205	1.980
A-1	SL-1,OL-1	1.945	1.00205	1.949
B	GSD-1	1.976	1.00204	1.980
C	GSLD-1 & CS-1	1.976	1.00172	1.980
D	GSLD-2,CS-2, OS-2 & MET	1.976	0.99595	1.968
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	1.976	0.95798	1.893
A	RST-1,GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.136 1.908	1.00205 1.00205	2.140 1.912
B	GSDT-1 CILC-1(G) ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.136 1.908	1.00204 1.00204	2.140 1.912
C	GSLDT-1 & CST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.136 1.908	1.00172 1.00172	2.140 1.911

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 6

D	GSLDT-2 & CST-2			
	ON-PEAK	2.136	0.99595	2.127
	OFF-PEAK	1.908	0.99595	1.900
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3 CILC-1 (T) & ISST-1 (T)			
	ON-PEAK	2.136	0.95798	2.046
	OFF-PEAK	1.908	0.95798	1.828
F	CILC-1 (D) & ISST-1 (D)			
	ON-PEAK	2.136	0.99793	2.132
	OFF-PEAK	1.908	0.99793	1.904

FPUC: Marianna

RS	4.077¢/kwh
GS	4.014¢/kwh
GSD	3.584¢/kwh
GSLD	3.461¢/kwh
OL, OL-2	2.730¢/kwh
SL-1, SL-2	2.695¢/kwh

Fernandina Beach

<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>
RS	3.762¢/kwh
GS	3.599¢/kwh
GSD	3.297¢/kwh
OL	2.310¢/kwh
SL, CSL	2.310¢/kwh

GULF: See table below:

Group	Rate Schedules*	Fuel Cost Factors ¢/KWH		
		Standard	Time of Use	
			On-Peak	Off-Peak
A	RS, GS, GSD, GSDT, SBS OSIII, OSIV	1.682	2.177	1.468
B	LP, LPT, SBS	1.631	2.110	1.423
C	PX, PXT, SBS, RTP	1.599	2.070	1.395
D	OSI, OSII	1.647	N/A	N/A

*The recovery factor applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

TECO: No position pending resolution of company-specific issues.

ISSUE 8: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of January, 1999, to December, 1999?

STAFF:

FPC:	1.00072	
FPL:	1.01609	
FPUC	Marianna:	1.00083
	Fernandina Beach:	1.01609
GULF:	1.01597	
TECO:	1.00083	

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

Florida Power Corporation

ISSUE 9A: Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Electric Fuels Corporation's capital structure for calendar year 1997?

STAFF: Yes. The annual audit of EFC's revenue requirements under a full utility-type regulatory treatment confirms the appropriateness of the "short-cut" methodology used to determine the equity component of EFC's capital structure.

ISSUE 9B: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the market price true-up for coal purchases from Powell Mountain?

STAFF: Yes. The calculation has been made in accordance with the market pricing methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. 860001-EI-G.

ISSUE 9C: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the 1997 price for waterborne transportation services provided by Electric Fuels Corporation?

STAFF: Yes. The calculation has been made in accordance with the market pricing methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. 930001-EI.

ISSUE 9D: Should the Commission approve Florida Power Corporation's request to recover the cost of converting Debary Unit 8 to burn natural gas?

STAFF: No position pending further discovery.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 10A: What is the appropriate 1997 benchmark price for coal Tampa Electric Company purchased from its affiliate, Gatliff Coal Company?

STAFF: \$43.20 per ton

ISSUE 10B: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with the purchase of coal from Gatliff Coal Company that exceed the 1997 benchmark price?

STAFF: No position pending further discovery.

ISSUE 10C: Should the Commission disallow recovery of any BTU premium adjustment for years 1993 through 1997, inclusive, which Tampa Electric Company paid to Gatliff Coal Company that exceeds the benchmark for coal purchases established in Docket No. 930001-EI and approved by Order No. PSC-93-0443-FOF-EI, issued March 23, 1993?

STAFF: No position pending further discovery.

ISSUE 10D: What is the appropriate 1997 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?

STAFF: \$28.10 per ton

ISSUE 10E: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 1997 waterborne transportation benchmark price?

STAFF: Yes. TECO's actual costs are below the benchmark as calculated by both Staff and the company; therefore, this issue is moot.

ISSUE 10F: How should Tampa Electric complete the refund credit factor as agreed to in the Stipulation approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-EI, in Docket No. 960409-EI, issued October 24, 1996?

STAFF: This \$25 million rate reduction is currently reflected on customers' bills as a line item credit on customers' bills. This rate reduction will be terminated with the last billing cycle in December, 1998. Pursuant to the stipulation in Docket No. 960409-EI and approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-EI, any over or under collections balance remaining will be handled as a true-up component during the next fuel cost recovery hearing.

GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 11A: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved by Florida Power & Light Company during the period October, 1996, through September, 1997?

STAFF: FPL: \$9,707,291 reward

ISSUE 11B: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved by Florida Power Corporation, Tampa Electric Company, and Gulf Power Company during the period October, 1997, through March, 1998?

STAFF: FPC: (\$436,639) penalty
GULF: \$62,632 reward
TECO: (\$188,281) penalty

ISSUE 12A: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period October, 1998 through December, 1998?

STAFF: FPC: See Staff Attachment 1
FPL: See Staff Attachment 1
GULF: See Staff Attachment 1
TECO: See Staff Attachment 1

ISSUE 12B: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January, 1999 through December, 1999?

STAFF: FPC: See Staff Attachment 1
FPL: See Staff Attachment 1
GULF: See Staff Attachment 1
TECO: See Staff Attachment 1

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 13: Should the revised testimony for Florida Power and Light Company, which revises the heat rate results for the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 be incorporated into the results for the period October 1996 through September 1997?

STAFF: Yes. The heat rate results should reflect the capacity increases at the Turkey Point units.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 14: Should the heat rate for Tampa Electric Company's Big Bend Unit 3 be adjusted for the power used by the scrubber at Big Bend Unit 4?

STAFF: Yes. The heat rate for Big Bend Unit 3 should be adjusted for the extra power used by the scrubber at Big Bend Unit 4. This adjustment was made for the period ending March 1998. The historical heat rate data were not adjusted for the projected heat rates, as the historical period now has comparable monthly data reflecting the use of the power by the scrubber at Unit 4.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 15: What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for Florida Power & Light Company for the period October, 1996 through September, 1997?

STAFF: \$0

ISSUE 16: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company for the period October, 1997, through December, 1998?

STAFF: FPL: \$77,177,787 over-recovery
GPC: \$1,315,167 under-recovery

ISSUE 17: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for Florida Power Corporation and Tampa Electric Company for the period April, 1998 through December, 1998?

STAFF: FPC: \$4,856,714 under-recovery
TECO: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: What is the total capacity cost recovery true-up amount to be collected/refunded during the period January, 1999, through December, 1999?

STAFF: FPL: \$77,177,787 over-recovery
FPC: \$4,856,714 under-recovery
TECO: No position at this time.
GPC: \$1,315,167 under-recovery

ISSUE 19: What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January, 1999 through December, 1999?

STAFF: FPL: \$390,683,195
FPC: \$311,506,755
TECO: No position pending resolution of Issues 17 and 18.
GULF: \$9,884,028

ISSUE 20: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period January, 1999 through December, 1999?

STAFF:

FPL:

RATE CLASS	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KWH)
RS1	-	.00514
GS1	-	.00467
GSD1	1.89	-
OS2	-	.00332
GSLD1/CS1	1.86	-
GSLD2/CS2	1.87	-
GSLD3/CS3	1.80	-
CILCD/CILCG	1.92	-
CILCT	1.84	-
MET	1.88	-
OL1/SL1/PL-1	-	.00241
SL2	-	.00342

FPC:

	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (CENTS/KWH)
RESIDENTIAL	1.154
GENERAL SERVICE NON-DEMAND	.914
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.905
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.896
GENERAL SERVICE 100% LOAD FACTOR	.623
GENERAL SERVICE DEMAND	.760
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.753
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.745
CURTAILABLE	.638
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.631
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.625
INTERRUPTIBLE	.597
@PRIMARY VOLTAGE	.591
@TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE	.585
LIGHTING	.222

TECO: No position pending resolution of Issues 17 and 18.

GPC: CAPACITY RECOVERY
FACTOR (CENTS/KWH)

RS, RST	.122
GS, GST	.121
GSD, GSDT	.098
LP, LPT	.081
PX, PXT, RTP	.070
OS-1, OS-11	.030
OS-111	.074
OS-IV	.197
SBS	.070


e. Pending Motions

There are no pending motions at this time.

f. Compliance with Order No. PSC-98-1184-PCO-EI

Staff has complied with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of November, 1998.



LESLIE J. PAUGH
Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission
Gerald L. Gunter Building
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power
cost recovery clause and
generating performance incentive
factor.

DOCKET NO. 980001-EI

FILED: NOVEMBER 4, 1998

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one true and correct copy of Staff's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by U.S. Mail this 4th day of November, 1998, to the following:

Ausley & McMullen
James Beasley
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Florida Power & Light Company
Bill Walker
215 S. Monroe Street
Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Beggs & Lane
Jeffery Stone
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32576

Florida Power Corporation
James McGee
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Suzanne Brownless
1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #201
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Destec Energy, inc.
Barry Huddleston
1000 Louisiana St., #5800
Houston, TX 77002

FIPUG
McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin
Vicki Kaufman
117 S. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Public Utilities Co.
Frank Cressman
P.O. Box 3395
West Palm Beach, FL 33402

Gulf Power Company
Susan D. Cranmer
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520

Tampa Electric Company
Angela Llewellyn
Regulatory Affairs
P.O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKET NO. 980001-EI
PAGE 2

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin
John W. McWhirter, Jr.
P.O. Box 3350
Tampa, FL 33601

Rutledge Law Firm
Kenneth Hoffman
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Office of Public Counsel
John Roger Howe
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Steel Hector & Davis
Matthew M. Childs
215 S. Monroe Street
Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301



LESLIE J. PAUGH
Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission
Gerald L. Gunter Building
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6199

GPIF REWARDS/PENALTIES
October 1997 to March 1998

<u>Utility</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reward/Penalty</u>
Florida Power Corporation	(\$436,639)	Penalty
Florida Power and Light Company	\$9,707,291	Reward
Gulf Power Company	\$62,632	Reward
Tampa Electric Company	(\$188,281)	Penalty

Utility/
Plant/Unit

EAF

Heat Rate

<u>FPC</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>
Anclote 1	76.8	79.9	9,944	10,007
Anclote 2	92.7	92.9	10,019	10,080
Crystal River 1	79.9	83.8	9,623	9,570
Crystal River 2	82.8	79.4	9,453	9,510
Crystal River 3	45.2	26.7	10,267	10,082
Crystal River 4	79.7	77.0	9,307	9,436
Crystal River 5	96.5	98.6	9,248	9,342

<u>Gulf</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>
Crist 6	78.6	78.3	10,975	11,107
Crist 7	83.2	72.0	10,521	10,382
Smith 1	92.3	91.6	10,264	10,253
Smith 2	79.6	78.1	10,318	10,067
Daniel 1	67.8	73.6	10,428	10,518
Daniel 2	88.4	84.2	10,235	10,248

<u>TECO</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>
Big Bend 1	79.3	76.3	10,084	9,998
Big Bend 2	79.7	75.7	9,961	9,993
Big Bend 3	74.1	71.2	9,680	9,786
Big Bend 4	81.1	81.5	10,025	10,011
Gannon 5	77.3	63.5	10,378	10,604
Gannon 6	88.4	72.6	10,692	10,453

GPIF REWARDS/PENALTIES
October 1996 to September 1997

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>	
	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>
FPL				
Cape Canaveral	93.5	95.0	9,428	9,435
1	92.7	93.7	9,479	9,638
Cape Canaveral	93.4	96.5	7,277	7,277
2	91.8	92.5	7,270	7,193
Fort Lauderdale	76.1	76.3	9,343	9,164
4 Fort	95.2	97.5	6,922	7,029
Lauderdale 5	86.6	97.7	6,902	6,994
Fort Myers 2	94.9	98.8	9,462	9,603
Martin 3	78.1	84.2	9,539	9,737
Martin 4	89.3	91.4	8,705	8,923
Port Everglades	87.8	89.8	8,489	8,822
3	86.6	84.9	9,994	10,052
Port Everglades	75.0	97.6	10,912	10,922
4	81.2	87.5	10,935	10,916
Putnam 1	82.1	85.9	11,024	11,021
Putnam 2	89.2	94.2	11,066	11,095
Scherer 4				
St. Lucie 1				
St. Lucie 2				
Turkey Point 3				
Turkey Point 4				

GPIF TARGETS
October 1998 to December 1998

Utility/
Plant/UnitEAFHeat Rate

	<u>EAF</u>	<u>Company</u>		<u>Staff</u>	<u>Company Staff</u>	
		<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>			
<u>FPC</u>						
Anclote 1	88.8	7.6	3.6	Agree	10,192	Agree
Anclote 2	45.1	53.2	1.7	Agree	10,284	Agree
Crystal River 1	91.7	0.0	8.3	Agree	9,625	Agree
Crystal River 2	89.5	0.0	10.5	Agree	9,657	Agree
Crystal River 3	90.7	0.0	9.3	Agree	10,427	Agree
Crystal River 4	91.9	0.0	8.1	Agree	9,460	Agree
Crystal River 5	89.6	7.6	2.8	Agree	9,301	Agree

	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>			
<u>Gulf</u>						
Crist 6	85.9	9.8	4.3	Agree	10,737	Agree
Crist 7	76.8	9.8	13.4	Agree	10,156	Agree
Smith 1	98.1	0.0	1.9	Agree	10,207	Agree
Smith 2	87.1	9.8	3.1	Agree	10,246	Agree
Daniel 1	17.3	80.4	2.3	Agree	10,655	Agree
Daniel 2	83.1	9.8	7.1	Agree	10,300	Agree

	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>			
<u>TECO</u>						
Big Bend 1	60.3	27.4	12.3	Agree	10,311	Agree
Big Bend 2	85.4	0.0	14.6	Agree	10,311	Agree
Big Bend 3	81.9	0.0	18.1	Agree	10,051	Agree
Big Bend 4	69.6	22.8	7.6	Agree	9,945	Agree
Gannon 5	66.2	15.2	18.6	Agree	10,242	Agree
Gannon 6	82.6	0.0	17.4	Agree	10,453	Agree

GPIF TARGETS
October 1998 to December 1998

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>			
	<u>Company</u>	<u>Staff</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Staff</u>		
<u>FPL</u>	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>			
Cape Canaveral 2	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	9,613	Agree
Fort Lauderdale 4	96.0	0.0	4.0	Agree	7,262	Agree
Fort Lauderdale 5	63.7	33.7	2.6	Agree	7,257	Agree
Fort Myers 2	93.9	0.0	6.1	Agree	9,156	Agree
Manatee 2	88.8	0.0	11.2	Agree	10,198	Agree
Martin 3	81.5	14.7	3.8	Agree	6,999	Agree
Martin 4	96.0	0.0	4.0	Agree	6,913	Agree
Port Everglades 3	96.0	0.0	4.0	Agree	9,801	Agree
Riviera 3	94.4	0.0	5.6	Agree	9,781	Agree
Riviera 4	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	9,913	Agree
Sanford 5	89.9	0.0	10.1	Agree	9,955	Agree
St. Lucie 1	92.8	0.0	7.2	Agree	10,871	Agree
St. Lucie 2	57.1	39.1	3.8	Agree	10,876	Agree
Turkey Point 3	67.1	28.3	4.6	Agree	11,030	Agree
Turkey Point 4	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	11,138	Agree
Scherer 4	94.6	0.0	5.4	Agree	10,175	Agree

GPIF TARGETS
January 1999 to December 1999

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>				<u>Heat Rate</u>	
	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>		<u>Company</u>	<u>Staff</u>
<u>FPC</u>						
Anclote 1	83.8	13.2	3.0	Agree	10,006	Agree
Anclote 2	94.5	0.0	5.1	Agree	9,912	Agree
Crystal River 1	76.2	16.7	7.1	Agree	9,841	Agree
Crystal River 2	85.2	1.9	12.9	Agree	9,764	Agree
Crystal River 3	80.4	12.3	7.3	Agree	10,404	Agree
Crystal River 4	90.2	1.9	7.9	Agree	9,395	Agree
Crystal River 5	83.8	13.7	2.5	Agree	9,330	Agree
<u>Gulf</u>						
Crist 6	88.4	6.3	5.3	Agree	10,624	Agree
Crist 7	82.5	6.3	11.2	Agree	10,232	Agree
Smith 1	75.9	22.2	1.9	Agree	10,190	Agree
Smith 2	88.8	6.8	4.4	Agree	10,263	Agree
Daniel 1	81.0	10.7	8.3	Agree	10,455	Agree
Daniel 2	74.7	19.7	5.6	Agree	10,264	Agree
<u>TECO</u>						
Big Bend 1	79.8	3.8	16.4	Agree	10,230	Agree
Big Bend 2	82.2	3.8	14.0	Agree	10,247	Agree
Big Bend 3	72.5	11.5	16.0	Agree	9,992	Agree
Big Bend 4	85.0	5.8	9.2	Agree	9,938	Agree
Gannon 5	73.6	5.8	20.6	Agree	10,150	Agree
Gannon 6	71.5	13.4	15.1	Agree	10,401	Agree

GPIF TARGETS
January 1999 to December 1999

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>		Company	Staff	Company	Staff
	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>				
<u>FPL</u>								
Cape Canaveral 2	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	9,602	Agree		
Fort Lauderdale 4	93.2	3.0	3.8	Agree	7,290	Agree		
Fort Lauderdale 5	93.2	3.0	3.8	Agree	7,289	Agree		
Fort Myers 2	90.0	4.1	5.9	Agree	9,188	Agree		
Manatee 2	88.8	0.0	11.2	Agree	10,138	Agree		
Martin 3	92.3	3.0	4.7	Agree	7,016	Agree		
Martin 4	93.6	2.6	3.8	Agree	6,926	Agree		
Port Everglades 3	80.4	16.2	3.4	Agree	9,786	Agree		
Port Everglades 4	96.0	0.0	4.0	Agree	9,836	Agree		
Riviera 3	94.4	0.0	5.6	Agree	9,770	Agree		
Sanford 4	91.0	0.0	9.0	Agree	9,737	Agree		
Sanford 5	89.9	0.0	10.1	Agree	9,939	Agree		
St. Lucie 1	83.6	9.9	6.5	Agree	10,879	Agree		
St. Lucie 2	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	10,895	Agree		
Turkey Point 3	93.6	0.0	6.4	Agree	11,047	Agree		
Turkey Point 4	84.3	9.9	5.8	Agree	11,166	Agree		
Scherer 4	86.6	8.5	4.9	Agree	10,120	Agree		