

RECEIVED-FPSC

98 NOV 19 PM 4:18

RECORDS AND
REPORTING

AUSLEY & McMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

ORIGINAL

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

November 19, 1998

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
FPSC Docket No. 980007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company are the original and ten (10) copies of the Prepared Direct Testimony of Karen O. Zwolak dated revised November 19, 1998 as requested by Staff on November 18, 1998.

We would appreciate your substituting the enclosed testimony originally filed on October 5, 1998.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

ACK

AF:

2/1/98

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

APP

CAF

CMU

CTR

EAG

LEG

LIN

OPC

RCH

SET

WAS

RECEIVED & FILED

Sincerely,


James D. Beasley

Pohrman

JDB/np

3Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/encl.)

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13079 NOV 19 1998

FPSC RECORDS/REPORTING

ORIGINAL

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
FILED 10/05/1998
REVISED 11/19/1998

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

3 OF

4 KAREN O. ZWOLAK

5

6 Q. Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.

7

8 A. My name is Karen O. Zwolak. My business address is 702

9 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed

10 by Tampa Electric Company in the position of Manager,

11 Energy Issues in the Electric Regulatory Affairs

12 Department.

13

14 Q. Please provide a brief outline of your educational

15 background and business experience.

16

17 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Microbiology in

18 1977 and a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical

19 Engineering in 1985 from the University of South Florida.

20 I began my engineering career in 1986 at the Florida

21 Department of Environmental Regulation and was employed as

22 a Permitting Engineer in the Industrial Wastewater Program.

23 In 1990, I joined Tampa Electric Company as an engineer in

1 the Environmental Planning Department and was responsible
2 for permitting and compliance issues relating to wastewater
3 treatment and disposal. In 1995, I transferred to Tampa
4 Electric's Energy Supply Department and assumed the duties
5 of the plant chemical engineer at the F. J. Gannon Station.
6 In 1997, I was promoted to Manager, Energy Issues in the
7 Electric Regulatory Affairs Department. My present
8 responsibilities include the areas of fuel adjustment,
9 capacity cost recovery, environmental filings and rate
10 design.

11

12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

13

14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission
15 review and approval, both the calculation of the revenue
16 requirements and the estimation of the environmental cost
17 recovery factors for the billing period January 1999
18 through December 1999. My testimony also addresses the
19 recovery of O & M costs associated with the environmental
20 compliance activities for the period January 1, 1999
21 through December 31, 1999 as well as the actual/estimated
22 costs for the April 1998 through December 1998 period.

23

1 Q. Have you provided any exhibits which show the determination
2 of the recoverable environmental cost for the period of
3 January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999?

4

5 A. Yes. Exhibit No. ____ (KOZ-1) includes Forms 42-1P through
6 42-7P, prepared under my direction and supervision
7 calculate and summarize the capital and O & M costs, and
8 develop the environmental cost recovery factors for 1999
9 which are being presented for recovery at this time. Forms
10 42-1E through 42-8E, also prepared under my direction and
11 supervision, calculate the current period true-up amount to
12 be refunded for 1999 and are provided in Exhibit No. ____
13 (KOZ-1).

14

15 Q. What has Tampa Electric calculated as the total true-up to
16 be applied in the period January 1999 through December
17 1999?

18

19 A. The total true-up applicable for this period is an
20 overrecovery of \$1,381,012. This true-up consists of a
21 final true-up overrecovery of \$135,850 approved in
22 Commission Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI and a five-month
23 actual/one month estimated true-up overrecovery of \$386,745

1 for the April 1998 through September 1998 period plus an
2 estimated true-up overrecovery of \$954,258 for the period
3 October 1998 through December 1998. A detailed calculation
4 supporting the estimated true-up is shown on Schedules 42-
5 1E through 42-8E of Exhibit No. ____ (KOZ-1).

6

7 Q. How do the actual/estimated project O&M expenses for April
8 1998 through December 1998 period compare with the original
9 projection?

10

11 A. As shown on Form 42-4E, total O&M activities were
12 \$1,302,574 or 43.7% lower than projected costs. This
13 variance is primarily attributable to two projects.

14

15 1) In June 1998 Tampa Electric received approval from the
16 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to collect SO₂
17 allowance costs from incremental sales. (The SO₂
18 costs charged to interchange sales are based on the
19 projected replacement cost of SO₂ allowances.) Since
20 this time, Tampa Electric has been collecting revenues
21 including SO₂ allowance costs and crediting back the
22 jurisdictional retail customers. This credit is now
23 reflected in the costs passed through the ECRC.

1 Overall, retail customers are projected to realize
2 credits totaling \$508,157 for October, November and
3 December of 1998 based on the impact of estimated
4 economy sales.

5
6 2) The FMPA SO₂ credit resulted in a decreased credit to
7 Tampa Electric's jurisdictional retail customers due
8 to differences in actual unit generation and allowance
9 costs.

10 Q. Are there any new O & M activities and associated expenses
11 for which Tampa Electric is seeking cost recovery?

12
13 A. Yes. Schedule 42-2P itemizes 13 projects in which O & M
14 costs are to be recovered. Of these 13 O & M compliance
15 activities, the only O & M project and associated expenses
16 which have not yet been reviewed by the Commission relate
17 to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
18 (NPDES) Annual Surveillance Fee.

19
20 As Mr. Nelson states in his testimony, the Florida
21 Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), in 1995,
22 enacted a rule requiring payment of annual surveillance
23 fees for the administration of the NPDES program. Tampa

1 Electric Company is seeking, prospectively, recovery of
2 these costs in this projection filing. Because this is a
3 new rule that had not been anticipated at the time of Tampa
4 Electric's rate case and the costs were incurred after
5 April 13, 1993, these costs are appropriate for recovery
6 through the clause.

7

8 Q. Has Tampa Electric Company included any capital project
9 costs for recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery
10 Clause?

11

12 A. Yes. Tampa Electric has included fifteen capital projects
13 for recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery
14 Clause. Of these fifteen projects, seven new projects have
15 been included. As Mr. Nelson points out in his prepared
16 testimony, five of these projects are projects required to
17 meet the NOx emission requirements of Title IV of the Clean
18 Air Act Amendments of 1990. These projects include Big
19 Bend Units 1 and 2 classifier Replacements, Gannon Units 5
20 and 6 Classifier replacements, and Gannon coal crushers.
21 Additionally, Tampa Electric Company will be extending the
22 stacks at Gannon Units 5 and 6 to meet Title V permitting
23 requirements.

1
2 Q. Are there currently any new capital projects already in
3 service for which Tampa Electric is seeking recovery?

4
5 A. Yes. The Gannon Unit 5 Classifier replacement was in
6 service as of December 1997 and the Big Bend Unit 2
7 classifier replacement went into service in May 1998.
8 These projects are being considered together as the basis
9 of Tampa Electric's NOx compliance plan. Capital
10 expenditures for these in-service project are being
11 recovered on a prospective basis and no construction
12 carrying costs are included.

13
14 Q. Do you have any exhibits showing the calculation of the
15 recoverable capital project costs for 1999?

16
17 A. Yes. Schedule 42-3P summarizes all the cost estimates
18 projected for these projects and Schedules 42-4P pages 1
19 through 8, which were prepared under my direction and
20 supervision, show the calculations of these costs.

21
22 Q. Do you have an exhibit which shows the description and
23 progress reports for environmental compliance activities

1 and projects?

2

3 A. Yes. Project descriptions, as well as the projected
4 recoverable cost estimates, are provided in Schedules 42-
5 5P, pages 1 through 17.

6

7 Q. What are the total projected jurisdictional costs estimated
8 for environmental compliance in the year 1999?

9

10 A. Based on cost estimates for the O & M and capital projects
11 summarized on Schedules 42-3P and 42-4P, the total
12 jurisdictional amount to be recovered through the
13 Environmental Cost Recovery Clause calculated on Schedule
14 42-1P, is \$6,017,959.

15

16 Q. How were environmental cost recovery factors calculated?

17

18 A. The environmental cost recovery factors were calculated as
19 shown on Schedules 42-6P and 42-7P. The demand allocation
20 factors are calculated by determining the percentage each
21 rate class contributes to the monthly system peaks. This
22 information is obtained from Tampa Electric's 1997 load
23 data study and is provided in Exhibit No. ____ (KOZ-1). The

1 energy allocation factors are determined by the percentage
2 each rate class contributes to total kWh sales, as adjusted
3 for losses, for each rate class. Form 42-7P presents the
4 calculation of the proposed Environmental Cost Recovery
5 Clause factors by rate class.

6

7 Q. Are the costs Tampa Electric is requesting for recovery
8 through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause for the
9 period January 1999 through December 1999 consistent with
10 criteria established for environmental cost recovery in
11 PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI?

12

13 A. Yes, they are. The costs identified for recovery through
14 the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause are costs that:

15

- 16 1. have been prudently incurred or will be incurred after
17 April 13, 1993;
- 18 2. the activities are legally required to comply with a
19 governmentally imposed environmental regulation which
20 was enacted, became effective or whose effect was
21 triggered after the company's last test year upon
22 which rates are based; and

23

1 3. such costs are not recovered through some other cost
2 recovery mechanism or through base rates.

3
4 Q. What are the Environmental Cost Recovery clause billing
5 factor rates for which you are seeking approved new
6 factors?

7
8 A. The computation of the billing factors is shown on Form 42-
9 7P of my exhibit. In summary, the billing factors are:

	<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Factor (¢/kWh)</u>
12	RS, RST	0.028
13	GS, GST, TS	0.028
14	GSD, GSDT	0.028
15	GSLD, GSLDT, SBF	0.027
16	IS1, IST1, SBI1,	
17	SBIT1, IS3, IST3,	
18	SBI3, SBIT3	0.026
19	SL, OL	0.027

20
21 Q. When does Tampa Electric propose to collect these
22 environmental cost recovery charges?
23

1 A. They should go into effect concurrent with the first
2 billing cycle in January 1999.

3

4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

5

6 A. Yes, it does.

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

**ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY
COMMISSION FORMS**

**42-1P THROUGH 42-7P
JANUARY 1999 THROUGH DECEMBER 1999**

**42-1E THROUGH 42-8E
APRIL 1998 THROUGH DECEMBER 1998**

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY
COMMISSION FORMS

42-1P THROUGH 42-7P
JANUARY 1999 THROUGH DECEMBER 1999

42-1E THROUGH 42-8E
APRIL 1998 THROUGH DECEMBER 1998

<u>DOCUMENT NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	Forms 42-1P	1
2	Forms 42-2P	2
3	Forms 42-3P	3
4	Forms 42-4P	4
5	Forms 42-5P	19
6	Forms 42-6P	36
7	Forms 42-7P	37
8	Forms 42-1E	38
9	Forms 42-2E	39
10	Forms 42-3E	40
11	Forms 42-4E	41
12	Forms 42-5E	42
13	Forms 42-6E	43
14	Forms 42-7E	44
15	Forms 42-8E	45

Tampa Electric Company
Investment Tax Recovery (Lines 11-14)
Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered

For the Projected Period
January 1999 to December 1999

Line No.		Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
1.	Total Jurisdictional Requirements for the projected period			
a.	Projected O&M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7, 8 & 9)	\$1,031,234	\$49,903	\$1,081,137
b.	Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7, 8 & 9)	2,704,865	231,957	2,936,822
c.	Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	3,735,099	281,860	6,017,959
2.	True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period April 1998 to September 1998 (Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	382,429	4,316	386,745
2a.	Less estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for April 1998 - September 1998 already being collected in the current period (October - December 1998) (Approved in Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI)	(39,562)	(447)	(40,009)
2b.	True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the period October 1998 to December 1998 (Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	865,529	88,729	954,258
3.	Final True-up for the period October 1997 to March 1998 (Form 42-1A, Line 3) (Approved in Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI)	175,275	584	175,859
4.	Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 1999 to December 1999 (Line 1 - Line 2a - Line 2b - Line 3)	4,273,305	187,785	4,461,089
5.	Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	\$4,276,382	\$187,920	\$4,464,301

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 1
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-IP
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Notes:
Allocation to energy and demand in each period are in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines 7 and 8 of Forms 42-5 and 42-7 of the estimates and actuals.

Journal of Health Economics
Volume 18 Number 1 March 1989
Calculation of the Projected Periodic Account
January 1990 to December 1999

(A) Line 3 + Line 5

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 2
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-2P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Statistical Methodology)

Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

卷之三

Section	Title	AIA						Method of Classification					
		Projected Age 40	Projected Mileage	Projected Maintenance	Projected Fuel use	Projected Age 40	Projected Mileage	Projected Maintenance	Projected Fuel use	Projected Age 40	Projected Mileage	Projected Maintenance	Projected Fuel use
1a	Big Board Unit 1 Fuel Gas Conditioning	\$101,350	\$101,163	\$101,976	\$101,790	\$101,604	\$101,417	\$101,230	\$101,043	\$101,857	\$101,671	\$101,484	\$101,298
1b	Big Board Units 1 and 2 Fuel Conditioning	\$15,648	\$16,516	\$14,764	\$14,633	\$14,521	\$14,511	\$14,257	\$14,125	\$13,981	\$13,981	\$13,779	\$13,597
1c	Big Board Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	7,800	7,817	8,994	8,976	8,957	8,939	8,921	8,893	8,865	8,865	8,838	8,811
1d	Big Board Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	11,642	11,642	11,155	11,155	11,050	11,050	11,015	11,015	11,543	11,543	11,573	11,573
1e	Big Board Unit 2 Classifier Replacement	9,971	9,971	9,329	9,329	9,008	9,007	8,945	8,945	8,824	8,824	8,781	8,781
1f	Big Board Unit 3 Classifier Replacement	14,690	14,945	14,610	14,570	13,942	13,906	13,872	13,837	13,602	13,602	13,733	13,699
1g	Customer Unit 5 Classifier Replacements	483	463	719	833	5,311	5,311	11,204	11,753	19,539	19,475	19,450	19,385
1h	Customer Unit 6 Classifier Replacements	7,039	11,354	16,827	21,670	26,514	31,133	42,345	51,085	50,981	50,813	50,736	50,587
1i	Customer Unit 7 Stock Extension	247	247	257	263	381	400	412	434	939	2,698	5,139	7,647
1j	Customer Unit 8 Stock Extension	247	247	255	269	283	305	321	325	326	326	326	3,576

卷之三

Project A		Project B		Project C	
Category	Value	Category	Value	Category	Value
Capital Costs	\$1,200,000	Capital Costs	\$1,500,000	Capital Costs	\$1,800,000
Opex - Variable	\$500,000	Opex - Variable	\$600,000	Opex - Variable	\$700,000
Opex - Fixed	\$300,000	Opex - Fixed	\$400,000	Opex - Fixed	\$500,000
Revenue	\$1,000,000	Revenue	\$1,200,000	Revenue	\$1,400,000
EBITDA	\$-300,000	EBITDA	\$-100,000	EBITDA	\$100,000
Net Income	\$-100,000	Net Income	\$-30,000	Net Income	\$30,000
Total Investment	\$1,200,000	Total Investment	\$1,500,000	Total Investment	\$1,800,000
Internal Rate of Return	10%	Internal Rate of Return	12%	Internal Rate of Return	15%
Payback Period	4 years	Payback Period	3.5 years	Payback Period	3 years
Total Investment Project A - Reversible Costs					
Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$100,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$150,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000
Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$100,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$150,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000
Total Investment Project B - Reversible Costs					
Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$150,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$250,000
Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$150,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$250,000
Total Investment Project C - Reversible Costs					
Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$250,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$300,000
Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$250,000	Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$300,000
Total Investment Project A - Non-Reversible Costs					
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$100,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$150,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$100,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$150,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000
Total Investment Project B - Non-Reversible Costs					
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$150,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$250,000
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$150,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$250,000
Total Investment Project C - Non-Reversible Costs					
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$200,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$250,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy	\$300,000
Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$200,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$250,000	Non-Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand	\$300,000

(A) Each project's Total Systems Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4P, Line 9

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 3
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-3P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

English British & Empress
Environmental and Resource Tax (E&R)
Calculation of the Projected/Paid Amount
January 1999 to December 1999

For Project: Big Island Unit 3 Fine Coal Desulfurization Integrations
 (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan '99	Projected Feb '99	Projected Mar '99	Projected Apr '99	Projected May '99	Projected Jun '99	Projected Jul '99	Projected Aug '99	Projected Sep '99	Projected Oct '99	Projected Nov '99	Projected Dec '99	Total of Period Amount
1. Investments															
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Charging to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Retirement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciations		\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638	\$8,239,638
3. Less - Accumulated Depreciations		(792,097)	(816,275)	(815,501)	(814,727)	(813,951)	(813,179)	(812,405)	(811,611)	(810,817)	(809,033)	(808,249)	(807,465)	(806,681)	(805,897)
4. CWP - Non-interest Bearing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)		\$7,437,543	\$7,404,157	\$7,384,931	\$7,365,205	\$7,345,479	\$7,327,253	\$7,308,627	\$7,290,891	\$7,273,573	\$7,256,549	\$7,231,173	\$7,211,897	\$7,191,520	\$7,171,149
6. Average Net Investment		\$7,432,598	\$7,411,770	\$7,394,544	\$7,375,318	\$7,356,092	\$7,336,866	\$7,317,630	\$7,298,414	\$7,279,183	\$7,259,962	\$7,240,736	\$7,221,510	\$7,191,520	\$7,171,149
7. Return on Average Net Investment															
a. Equity Component Capitalized for Taxes (A)		\$4,636	\$4,515	\$4,393	\$4,272	\$4,051	\$3,829	\$3,606	\$3,384	\$3,161	\$2,938	\$2,715	\$2,492	\$2,269	\$2,046,542
b. Debt Component (Line A * 2.82% * 4/12)		17,408	17,422	17,337	17,252	17,167	17,082	16,996	16,911	16,826	16,741	16,656	16,571	16,486	16,401
8. Investment Expenses															
a. Depreciations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Amortizations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Disbursements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		\$1,310	\$1,163	\$9,876	\$9,720	\$9,564	\$9,417	\$9,230	\$9,043	\$8,857	\$8,671	\$8,484	\$8,298	\$8,112	\$1,013,143
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated in Energy		91,350	91,163	90,776	90,290	89,604	89,417	89,230	89,043	88,857	88,671	88,484	88,298	88,112	1,013,143
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated in Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. FERC Jurisdictional Factor /		0.9717435	0.9702469	0.9696490	0.9690436	0.9684477	0.9678417	0.9672357	0.9666397	0.9660335	0.9654375	0.9648312	0.9642352	0.9636379	0.9630314
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.90090421	0.9005425	0.8995765	0.8991174	0.8986124	0.8981473	0.8976714	0.8972014	0.8967237	0.8961772	0.8956312	0.8950752	0.8945192	0.8940132
12. Total Energy-Behind-Behind Recoverable Costs (B)		88,269	88,450	88,635	87,820	87,215	86,531	85,258	83,208	81,341	79,581	77,844	76,184	75,534	74,934
13. Total Demand-Behind Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$88,269	\$88,450	\$88,635	\$87,820	\$87,215	\$86,531	\$85,258	\$83,208	\$81,341	\$79,581	\$77,844	\$76,184	\$75,534	\$74,934

4

Notice:
 (A) Lines 8 & 13/20% & 4/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 18.577% (maximum factor of 1.07002)

- (B) Line 9 + Line 10
- (C) Line 9 + Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE: 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Entered by both Companies
For Common and Last Recovery's Taxes (Line 11 & 14)
An attachment of the Project and Periodic Account
January's Taxes to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FPL Gas Conditioning
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Use up	Total of Period Amount							
1. Investments											
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Changes in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plus in Service/Depreciation Rate											
1. Tax - Accrued/Estimated Rate	\$5,017,714 (179,494)	\$5,017,714 (175,298)	\$5,017,714 (186,502)	\$5,017,714 (176,508)	\$5,017,714 (176,508)	\$5,017,714 (186,510)	\$5,017,714 (187,514)	\$5,017,714 (188,520)	\$5,017,714 (188,520)	\$5,017,714 (189,524)	\$5,017,714 (189,527)
4. CWP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 1 + 4)	\$4,278,040	\$4,254,416	\$4,250,812	\$4,251,218	\$4,251,616	\$4,252,812	\$4,253,218	\$4,254,416	\$4,255,616	\$4,256,812	\$4,257,218
6. Average Net Investment											
7. Return on Average Net Investment											
a. Equity Component Generated Up For Taxes (A)	\$1,407 10,017	\$1,397 10,025	\$1,397 9,971	\$1,397 9,942	\$1,397 9,910	\$1,397 9,878	\$1,397 9,846	\$1,397 9,814	\$1,397 9,782	\$1,397 9,750	\$1,397 9,718
b. Debt Component (Line 6 + 2.82% x 1/12)											
8. Investment Expenses											
a. Depreciations	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604
b. Amortizations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Diminements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Incremental Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)											
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$5,048	\$4,916	\$4,784	\$4,653	\$4,521	\$4,389	\$4,257	\$4,125	\$3,993	\$3,861	\$3,729
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	55,048	54,916	54,784	54,653	54,521	54,389	54,257	54,125	53,993	53,861	53,729
10. Energy Addititonal Factor /											
11. Demand Addititonal Factor	0.9717435 0.9000021	0.9702469 0.9000021	0.96951425 0.9000021	0.96884805 0.9000021	0.96817117 0.9000021	0.96750173 0.9000021	0.96682757 0.9000021	0.96615212 0.9000021	0.96547737 0.9000021	0.96480211 0.9000021	0.96413171 0.9000021
12. Total Energy Related Recoverable Costs (B)											
13. Total Demand Related Recoverable Costs (C)	\$11,693	\$1,382	\$1,282	\$1,184	\$1,084	\$9,499	\$8,830	\$8,164	\$7,504	\$6,841	\$6,178
14. Total Incremental Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)											
15. Total	\$11,693	\$1,382	\$1,282	\$1,184	\$1,084	\$9,499	\$8,830	\$8,164	\$7,504	\$6,841	\$6,178

Notes:
(A) Line 6 + 2.82% x 1/12. Based on RICF of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 35.57% (expansion factor of 1.628027)

- (B) Line 7a + Line 10
(C) Line 8a + Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 2 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company
 Environmental and Recovery Costs
 Schedule of the Power and Thermal Assets
 January 1, 1998 to December 31, 1998

Basis on Capital Requirements, Expenditures and Taxes
 For Project Big Bend I less 4.1% minimum transmission allocation
 (in billions)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Increase	Projected Decrease	Projected Age up	Projected New Wk	Projected End up	Projected New Wk	Projected End up
1. Investments									
a. Expenditures/Abandonments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Changes in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Revenues		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plus on Service Department Rate		\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211	\$386,211
197,781) (96,643) (101,513) (101,412) (105,297) (103,346) (110,520) (112,397) (114,624) (118,478)									
(17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607) (17,607)									
\$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817) \$112,817)									
3. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 1 + 4)		\$11,004	\$17,302	\$17,302	\$17,302	\$17,302	\$17,302	\$17,302	\$17,302
b. Average Net Investment									
2. Return on Average Net Investment									
a. Equity Component Generated Up for Taxes (10)		\$,905	\$,991	\$,978	\$,964	\$,950	\$,936	\$,909	\$,875
b. Debt Component (1 net is 2.82% x 1/12)		1,248	1,244	1,239	1,235	1,230	1,226	1,212	1,208
3. Investment Expenses									
a. Depreciation		1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Disposition		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)									
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		7,850	7,812	6,794	6,776	6,917	6,939	6,921	6,923
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		7,850	7,812	6,994	6,976	6,952	6,939	6,921	6,913
c. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Total Energy-Related Expenses (C)									
a. Total Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D)		9,717,235	9,702,409	9,690,089	9,684,825	9,678,817	9,674,713	9,672,737	9,670,757
b. Total Demand Allocated Recoverable Costs (D)		9,900,021	9,905,425	9,895,765	9,891,174	9,881,149	9,874,773	9,864,212	9,853,737
c. Total Non-Demand Allocated Recoverable Costs (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Total Non-Demand Recoverable Costs (E) (Lines 12 + 13)									
7. Total Non-Demand Recoverable Costs (E) (Lines 12 + 13)		\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831	\$6,831

Notes:
 (A) Represents the Net Book Value of the replaced Big Bend Unit 4 CTFB which is currently metered through line 60.
 (B) Lines 4 & 15 is 12.33% x 3/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.57% (exception factor of 1.020002)

(C) Line 8 + Line 10
 (D) Line 9 + Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 3 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Lengenbach Company,
 Instrumental in Recovery of Power (A & P)
 Calculations of the Projected Periodic Amount
 January 1999 to December 1999

Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes
 For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 (Chloride Replacement
 (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Periodic Amount	Projected End-of-Year	Projected Net Income	Projected Depreciation	Projected Interest	Projected Other Income	Projected Net Income	Projected Dividends	End-of-Periodic Amount
1. Investments										
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$17,220	\$16,450	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Changes to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Requirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plus on Service/Depreciation Base		\$1,211,216	\$1,204,536	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346	\$1,305,346
(1,625) (1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)	(1,625)
3. Less Accumulated Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. CWP: Non-Interest Bearing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)		1,210,592	1,209,892	1,295,002	1,295,002	1,295,002	1,295,002	1,295,002	1,295,002	1,295,002
b. Average Net Investment		1,212,975	1,204,345	1,294,837	1,291,297	1,297,307	1,284,117	1,280,537	1,278,917	1,276,757
7. Return on Average Net Investment										
a. Equity Component (Grossed Up For Taxes) (A)		9,213	8,569	9,322	9,093	9,442	9,418	9,385	9,357	9,330
b. Debt Component (B) (see A 2.42% & B 1.12)		2,944	3,019	1,041	1,011	1,026	1,018	1,007	1,001	2,984
8. Investment Expenses										
a. Depreciation		3,651	3,575	3,900	3,590	3,590	3,590	3,590	3,590	3,590
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Disbursement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		15,612	16,123	16,355	16,120	16,055	16,050	16,015	15,981	15,957
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to FERC		15,612	16,123	16,355	16,120	16,083	16,075	16,045	15,991	15,975
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Energy Arbitration/Fees /		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. Demand Arbitration/Fees		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. Retail Energy Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. Retail Demand Related Recoverable Costs (C)		15,371	15,843	15,352	15,653	14,603	14,777	14,715	14,915	15,226
14. Total Arbitration Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$15,371	\$15,843	\$15,352	\$15,653	\$14,603	\$14,777	\$14,715	\$14,915	\$15,226

Note:

- (A) Lines 6 & 8.233% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 35.575% (geometric factor of 1.620002)
- (B) Line 9 x Line 10
- (C) Line 9 x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
 DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (KOZ-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 4
 PAGE 4 of 15

FORM 42-4P
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

For information and/or presentation purposes only
 A Subsidiary of the Tampa Electric Company
 January 1998 to December 1998

For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifer Replacements
 (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Account	Projected Jan-98	Projected Feb-98	Projected Mar-98	Projected Apr-98	Projected May-98	Projected Jun-98	Projected Jul-98	Projected Aug-98	Projected Sep-98	Projected Oct-98	Projected Nov-98	Projected Dec-98	Projected Year-to-Date	End of Period Amount
1. Investments																
a. Expenditures/Additions		50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	
b. Changes in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Requirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plus on Service/Depreciation Rate		\$811,104 (16,418)	\$811,104 (16,418)	\$811,104 (16,400)	\$811,104 (16,397)	\$811,104 (18,134)	\$811,104 (21,328)	\$811,104 (21,302)	\$811,104 (25,676)	\$811,104 (27,859)	\$811,104 (28,624)	\$811,104 (32,198)	\$811,104 (34,327)	\$811,104 (38,344)		
3. Less Accumulated Depreciations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWP - Net Accrued Bearing		\$802,472	\$802,298	\$802,124	\$795,950	\$795,776	\$791,602	\$789,425	\$787,254	\$785,080	\$782,906	\$780,732	\$778,558			
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Average Net Investment		802,539	801,145	799,211	791,017	794,863	792,639	790,515	788,341	786,147	783,993	781,819	779,645			
7. Return on Average Net Investment																
a. Equity Component Generated Up To Term (A)		5,909	5,893	5,877	5,861	5,845	5,829	5,813	5,797	5,781	5,765	5,749	5,733	5,715	5,695	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 1.82% x 1/12)		1,038	1,033	1,028	1,023	1,018	1,013	1,008	1,003	1,000	997	994	991	988	985	
8. Investments in Equipment																
a. Expenditures		2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	2,174	
b. Amortizations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Disbursements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		9,371	9,350	9,329	9,308	9,287	9,266	9,245	9,214	9,184	9,154	9,124	9,094	9,064	9,034	
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		9,371	9,350	9,329	9,308	9,287	9,266	9,245	9,214	9,184	9,154	9,124	9,094	9,064	9,034	
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10. Energy Reimbursement Income /																
11. Demand Reimbursement Income		0.9712435 0.9606421	0.9702409 0.9606421	0.9592098 0.9605442	0.95844005 0.9605442	0.95778117 0.9605442	0.9571715 0.9605442	0.9567257 0.9605442	0.9562757 0.9605442	0.9558286 0.9605442	0.9555033 0.9605442	0.9552121 0.9605442	0.955017377 0.9605442	0.9548210 0.9605442	0.95471894 0.9605442	
12. Total Energy Related Recoverable Costs (B)		9,469	9,454	9,436	9,417	9,396	9,376	9,356	9,336	9,316	9,296	9,276	9,256	9,236	9,216	
13. Total Demand Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14. Total Recoverable Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$9,469	\$9,454	\$9,436	\$9,417	\$9,396	\$9,376	\$9,356	\$9,336	\$9,316	\$9,296	\$9,276	\$9,256	\$9,236	\$9,216	

Notes:
 (A) Lines 6 & 8.5238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.573% (approximate factors of 1.238022)
 (B) Line 9 x Line 10
 (C) Line 9 x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
 DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (KOZ-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 4
 PAGE 5 of 15

FORM 42-4P
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Energy North Company
Environmental Cost Recovery User (E.C.R.U.)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 1, 1998 to December 1998
For Project: Customer 3 Classifier Replacement
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount			Projected Fiscal 99			Projected May 99			Projected Jun 99			Projected Jul 99			Projected Aug 99			Projected Sep 99			Projected Oct 99			Projected Nov 99				
		Projected Jan 99	Projected Feb 99	Projected Mar 99	Projected Apr 99	Projected May 99	Projected Jun 99	Projected Jul 99	Projected Aug 99	Projected Sep 99	Projected Oct 99	Projected Nov 99	Projected Dec 99	Projected Jan 00	Projected Feb 00	Projected Mar 00	Projected Apr 00	Projected May 00	Projected Jun 00	Projected Jul 00	Projected Aug 00	Projected Sep 00	Projected Oct 00	Projected Nov 00	Projected Dec 00					
1.	Investments																													
a.	Expenditures/Additions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50		
b.	Changes to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c.	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Rate	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	\$1,1279,039	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)	(44,633)		
4.	Net Investment (\$Line 2 + 3 - 4)	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419	1,082,2419			
5.	Average Net Investment																													
6.	Return on Average Net Investment																													
7.	Return on Average Net Investment	7,064	7,034	7,006	6,977	6,948	6,919	6,891	6,863	6,836	6,808	6,781	6,753	6,726	6,698	6,671	6,643	6,615	6,587	6,559	6,531	6,503	6,475	6,447	6,419	6,391	6,363	6,335	6,307	
8.	Equity Component Gross Up for Taxes (A)	2,344	2,336	2,327	2,319	2,311	2,303	2,295	2,287	2,280	2,272	2,264	2,256	2,248	2,240	2,232	2,224	2,216	2,208	2,199	2,191	2,183	2,175	2,167	2,159	2,151	2,143	2,135	2,127	
9.	Debt Component (Line 8 x 12.37% + 1/12)																													
10.	Investment Expenses																													
a.	Depreciation	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375	3,375		
b.	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c.	Disbursement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d.	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	14,060	14,045	14,030	13,978	13,942	13,906	13,872	13,837	13,802	13,768	13,733	13,698	13,662	13,627	13,592	13,557	13,522	13,487	13,452	13,417	13,382	13,347	13,313	13,278	13,243	13,208	13,173		
12.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	14,060	14,045	14,030	13,978	13,942	13,906	13,872	13,837	13,802	13,768	13,733	13,698	13,662	13,627	13,592	13,557	13,522	13,487	13,452	13,417	13,382	13,347	13,313	13,278	13,243	13,208	13,173		
b.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy (Line 12 + 1)	0.971435	0.9706409	0.9698425	0.96904425	0.96824473	0.96744771	0.96664771	0.96584771	0.96504771	0.96424771	0.96344771	0.96264771	0.96184771	0.96104771	0.96024771	0.95944771	0.95864771	0.95784771	0.95704771	0.95624771	0.95544771	0.95464771	0.95384771	0.95304771	0.95224771	0.95144771	0.95064771	0.94984771	0.94904771
14.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand (Line 12 + 1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15.	Total Recoverable Expenses (Lines 13 + 14)	\$13,062	\$13,047	\$13,034	\$13,020	\$13,006	\$12,982	\$12,958	\$12,934	\$12,910	\$12,886	\$12,852	\$12,818	\$12,784	\$12,750	\$12,716	\$12,682	\$12,648	\$12,614	\$12,579	\$12,545	\$12,511	\$12,476	\$12,442	\$12,408	\$12,374	\$12,340	\$12,306	\$12,272	\$12,238

NOTES:
 (A) Lines 6 & 8 x 12.37% + 1/12. Based on ECR of 11.71% and weighted income tax rate of 34.533% (Expansion factor of 1.62380027)
 (B) Line 9 x Line 10
 (C) Line 9 x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
 DOCKET NO. 980007-E1
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (KOZ-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 4
 PAGE 6 of 15

FORM 42-4P
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Long-term Capital Expenditures
For Investment in Fixed Assets - At Risk
in Advance of the Project's Actual Financial
Statement Dates by December 31, 1998

Item 10: Capital Expenditures, Depreciations and Taxes
For Project 1 (Lines 1 & 2) based on Projected amounts
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Available Projected Line 99	Projected Feb 99	Projected Mar 99	Projected Apr 99	Projected May 99	Projected Jun 99	Projected Jul 99	Projected Aug 99	Projected Sep 99	Projected Oct 99	Projected Nov 99	Projected Dec 99	End of Period Available
1.	Investments													
a.	Expansions/Additions	\$11,000	\$11,000	\$16,024	\$15,577	\$499,258	\$121,248	\$105,428	\$117,560	\$12	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.	Chargings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	Plus or minus Depreciations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3.	Plus or minus Depreciations Rate	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4.	Less: Accumulated Depreciations	\$1,275	\$0,241	\$0,141	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)	\$1,235	\$0,241	\$0,141	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175	\$0,175
6.	Average Net Investment													
7.	Estimate of Average Net Investment													
a.	Energy Component Capital Up-Front Costs (A)	\$66	\$57	\$63	\$68	\$68	\$63	\$68	\$63	\$63	\$63	\$63	\$63	\$63
b.	Debt Component (Line 6 + 2,82% x 1/12)	117	140	179	214	214	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219
8.	Investment Exports													
a.	Depreciations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b.	Amortizations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Depletion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Export (Lines 7 + 8)													
a.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	483	603	729	883	883	5,311	5,311	13,204	17,925	19,529	19,475	19,430	19,385
b.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	483	603	729	883	883	5,311	5,311	13,204	17,925	19,529	19,475	19,430	19,385
10.	Energy Debt/Cost Factor *													
11.	Demand Debt/Cost Factor													
12.	Retail Energy-Recoverable Costs (B)	0.9717435	0.9702609	0.9690209	0.9584805	0.9478817	0.9364713	0.9277257	0.9207597	0.9153306	0.9106203	0.9071894	0.9031232	0.9007189
13.	Retail Demand-Recoverable Costs (C)	0.9600421	0.96015425	0.96515765	0.96117448	0.96512460	0.96447771	0.96467644	0.96462440	0.96213212	0.96117377	0.96015232	0.95671232	0.95371232
14.	Total Demand-Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	0.9600421	0.96015425	0.96515765	0.96117448	0.96512460	0.96447771	0.96467644	0.96462440	0.96213212	0.96117377	0.96015232	0.95671232	0.95371232
15.	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$469	\$585	702	846	846	4,932	4,932	12,097	16,538	17,296	18,259	18,136	18,049
16.	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$469	\$585	702	846	846	4,932	4,932	12,097	16,538	17,296	18,259	18,136	18,049

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 & 8,213%; x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (geometric factor of .929002)

(B) Line 9 & Line 10

(C) Line 9 & Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 7 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Original Exhibit 4 contains:
 1. Investment in and Recovery of Net Assets
 2. Subsidiary's Share in Intercompany Items
 3. Projected Income Statement
 4. Projected Cash Flow Statement
 5. Projected Capital Expenditures, Depreciation and Taxes
 6. Projected Income Statement
 (1. - Millions)

Projected Capital Expenditures, Depreciation and Taxes

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan '99	Projected Feb '99	Projected Mar '99	Projected Apr '99	Projected May '99	Projected Jun '99	Projected Jul '99	Projected Aug '99	Projected Sep '99	Projected Oct '99	Projected Nov '99	Projected Dec '99	End of Period Amount	
1. Investments																
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$160	\$160	\$170	\$1,420	\$2,500	\$1,530	\$2,600	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160	
b. Changes in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Accumulations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plus in Service/Depreciation Rate		30	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	
3. Less Accumulated Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWP - Non-Interest Bearing		21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	21,800	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)		24,960	25,370	26,210	26,845	27,740	29,700	31,740	33,810	35,990	35,990	35,990	35,990	35,990	35,990	
6. Average Net Investment																
7. Return on Average Net Investment																
a. Equity Component Capitalized Up for Taxes (A)		187	195	197	204	211	213	243	246	246	247	247	247	247	247	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 + 2.22% * 1/12)		60	62	63	65	65	70	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
8. Investment Expenses																
a. Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Disbursement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9. Total System Reversible Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		247	255	260	269	288	308	321	325	325	326	326	326	326	326	
a. Reversible Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b. Reversible Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10. Energy Induced/Effected Factor ¹		0.9121415	0.9120409	0.9120389	0.9120361	0.9120341	0.9120317	0.9120297	0.9120277	0.9120256	0.9120235	0.9120215	0.9120194	0.9120173	0.9120152	0.9120131
11. Demand Induced/Effected Factor		0.90506421	0.905054215	0.90505265	0.905051765	0.905051743	0.905051240	0.905051233	0.905051232	0.905051231	0.905051230	0.905051229	0.905051228	0.905051227	0.905051226	0.905051225
12. Retail Energy-Related Reversible Costs (F)		240	247	247	255	261	261	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	
13. Retail Demand-Related Reversible Costs (F')		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14. Total Induced/Effected Reversible Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		524	5247	5247	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	5252	

Notes:
 (A) Lines 6 & 8.22% * 1/12. Based on DOE of 11.7% and weighted income tax rate of 51.37% (projection factor of 1.63002)

(B) Line 9 & Line 10
 (C) Line 9 & Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 8 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Example 1: Block 1 contains

**Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: German Unit & Stock Expansion**
(in billions)

Line	Description
1.	Investments
a.	Expenditures/Additions
b.	Churnings in Plant
c.	Reinvestments
d.	Other
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Rate
3.	Loss: Accumulated Depreciations
4.	Change: Non-current Reserves
5.	Net Investment (\$1,000 U.S. \$ 1 = € 0.87)
6.	Average Net Investments
7.	Return on Average Net Investments
a.	Equity Capitalized Up Front Taxed
b.	Data Components (Line 6 x 2.82% & 1.012%)
8.	Investment Expenses
a.	Depreciation
b.	Amortization
c.	Diminution
d.	Property Taxes
e.	Other
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines
a.	8, B10, B12 Reconcileable Costs
b.	Demand-Balanced Recoverable Costs
c.	Surplus Demand-Balanced Recoverable Costs
d.	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines
10.	Energy Interventions Factor ¹
11.	Demand Interventions Factor
12.	Balch Energy-Balanced Recoverable Costs
13.	Surplus Demand-Balanced Recoverable Costs
14.	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 9 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

EXHIBIT NO.
 DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (KOZ-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 4
 PAGE 11 of 15

FORM 42-4P
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Estimated Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
 For Project Economic Generation and Fuel
 (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Increase	Projected Maintenance	Projected Aging	Projected Depreciation	Projected Income	Projected Net Income	Projected Dividends	Projected Tax Rate	Projected Dividends
1. Investments											
a. Acquisitions/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	10%	\$0
b. Changes in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Accumulations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plus-in-Service Depreciation Base		5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752	5589,752
3. Less-Accumulated Depreciation		(21,415) (21,337)	(21,249)	(21,164)	(20,081)	(13,834)	(13,834)	(13,834)	(13,834)	(42,542)	(44,419)
4. Other (A)		(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)	(294,000)
5. Net Increases (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)		302,333	302,333	302,333	302,333	302,333	302,333	302,333	302,333	281,250	279,111
6. Average Net Investments		301,179	299,462	291,345	295,623	293,211	291,794	290,377	287,560	282,209	280,292
7. Return on Average Net Investments											
a. Equity Component (Gross Up For Taxes (B))		2,216	2,202	2,188	2,174	2,160	2,146	2,132	2,117	2,103	2,084
b. Debt Component (Line 6 + 2.87% x 1/12)		708	704	699	695	690	685	681	677	672	665
8. Investment Expenses											
a. Depreciation		1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Eliminations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		4,341	4,323	4,304	4,286	4,267	4,249	4,230	4,211	4,192	4,173
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		4,341	4,323	4,304	4,286	4,267	4,249	4,230	4,211	4,192	4,173
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9717415	0.9702669	0.9688029	0.9674486	0.9661181	0.9648117	0.9635217	0.9622317	0.9619312	0.9607189
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.90606421	0.9051525	0.90415265	0.90315248	0.90215240	0.90115232	0.90015222	0.89915212	0.89815202	0.89715192
12. Round Energy Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. Round Demand Related Recoverable Costs (D)		4,376	4,353	4,332	4,315	4,297	4,280	4,264	4,248	4,177	51,637
14. Total Recoverable Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		54,376	54,353	54,332	54,311	54,289	54,266	54,244	54,222	54,177	55,637

Note:
 (A) Represents the Capital Costs of the Geronimo Spurwind Oil Tank currently recovered through base rates.

- (B) Lines 6 & 8.8125% x 1/12. Based on RIDE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 34.375% (approximately factor of 1.628002)
- (C) Line 9 x Line 10
- (D) Line 9 x Line 11

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Tax (E.C.R.T.)
Calculation of the Projected Profit Amount
January 1999 to December 1999

Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning-of-Period Amount		Projected Year-end		Projected Year-end		Projected Year-end		Projected Year-end		End-of-Period Amount
		Projected Year-end	Projected Year-end	Projected Year-end	Actual Year	Projected Year-end	Actual Year	Projected Year-end	Actual Year	Projected Year-end	Actual Year	
1. Investments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a. Investments/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Changes in Plan		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Reinvestments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Plus-on-Site Depreciations Before		\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000	\$443,000
(2,614)	(1,108)	(4,142)	(1,129)	(6,210)	(7,244)	(6,278)	(7,312)	(10,346)	(11,380)	(12,414)	(13,448)	(14,482)
3. Less Accumulated Depreciations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. CWPB: Non-Bearer Bearing		402,926	419,902	419,924	419,790	419,756	419,722	419,688	419,650	419,588	419,512	419,516
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)		402,926	419,902	419,924	419,790	419,756	419,722	419,688	419,650	419,588	419,512	419,516
6. Average Net Investment		402,607	419,175	419,141	417,367	416,211	414,205	413,171	412,137	411,103	410,069	409,035
7. Return on Average Net Investment		3,238	3,231	3,225	3,216	3,208	3,200	3,193	3,185	3,179	3,162	3,155
a. Equity Component Generated for Taxes (A)		1,035	1,033	1,030	1,028	1,025	1,023	1,018	1,013	1,011	1,008	1,000
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 1.337% x 1/12)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Investment Expenses		1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034	1,034
a. Depreciations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Amortizations		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Investments		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		3,307	3,298	3,287	3,278	3,267	3,257	3,247	3,237	3,228	3,217	3,207
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		3,307	3,298	3,287	3,278	3,267	3,257	3,247	3,237	3,228	3,217	3,207
10. Energy Judicial/Chemical Factor ¹		0.9717433	0.9702469	0.9698486	0.9694881	0.9691271	0.9687757	0.9684237	0.9680790	0.9677354	0.9673984	0.9670623
11. Demand Judicial/Chemical Factor		0.9009021	0.9005425	0.9001765	0.9001174	0.9001249	0.9001473	0.9001744	0.9001973	0.9002126	0.9002319	0.9002517
12. Retail Energy Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792	4,792
14. Total Recoverable Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298	54,298

Notes:
(A) Lines 6 x 1.337% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.7% and weighted income tax rate of 35.317% (expansion factor of 1.630002)
(B) Line 9 x Line 10
(C) Line 9 x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 12 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 13 of 15

FORM 42-4P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Exhibit 42-4P
Statement of the Proposed Periodic Income
Statement for the Period Ended December
31, 1998 - Tampa Electric Company

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount		Proposed Jan 99		Projected Feb 99		Projected Mar 99		Projected Apr 99		Projected May 99		Projected Jun 99		Projected Jul 99		Projected Aug 99		Projected Sep 99		Projected Oct 99		Projected Nov 99		Projected Dec 99		Final of Period Amount	
		Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount	Period Amount		
1. Investments																													
a. Acquisitions/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
b. Purchases in Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation/Bal.		\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000			
3. Less Accumulated Depreciation		(7,741)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)	(7,771)			
4. Net New Accrued Receiving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
5. Net Increases (1 and 2 + 1 - 4)		\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259	\$11,259			
6. Average Net Investment		\$116,092	\$116,135	\$112,274	\$110,430	\$108,585	\$106,477	\$104,547	\$102,597	\$100,563	\$98,532	\$96,497	\$94,463	\$92,429	\$90,395	\$88,361	\$86,327	\$84,293	\$82,259	\$80,225	\$78,191	\$76,157	\$74,123	\$72,089	\$70,055	\$67,021	\$64,987		
7. Return on Average Net Investment																													
a. Equity Component Capitalized for Taxes (A)		6,001	5,987	5,973	5,959	5,945	5,931	5,917	5,893	5,879	5,865	5,851	5,837	5,823	5,809	5,795	5,781	5,767	5,753	5,739	5,725	5,711	5,696	5,682	5,668	5,654	571,066		
b. Debt Component (Line 6 + 1.82% x 1/12)		1,918	1,911	1,906	1,904	1,902	1,899	1,895	1,891	1,887	1,883	1,879	1,875	1,871	1,867	1,863	1,859	1,855	1,851	1,847	1,843	1,839	1,835	1,831	1,827	1,823	1,819	22,716	
8. Investment Expenses																													
a. Depreciation		1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909	1,909		
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
c. Disbursements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		9,828	9,807	9,791	9,772	9,754	9,735	9,717	9,698	9,680	9,661	9,642	9,623	9,604	9,585	9,566	9,547	9,528	9,509	9,489	9,469	9,449	9,429	9,409	9,389	9,369	9,349		
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		9,828	9,807	9,791	9,772	9,754	9,735	9,717	9,698	9,680	9,661	9,642	9,623	9,604	9,585	9,566	9,547	9,528	9,509	9,489	9,469	9,449	9,429	9,409	9,389	9,369	9,349		
10. Energy Adjustment Factor		0.9717435	0.9702469	0.9688089	0.96744265	0.96708177	0.96672537	0.96637257	0.96602097	0.96567386	0.96532797	0.96502315	0.96472199	0.96442079	0.96412059	0.96382039	0.96352019	0.96322099	0.96292079	0.96262059	0.96232039	0.96202019	0.96172059	0.96142039	0.96112019	0.96082059	0.96052039	0.96022019	
11. Demand Adjustment Factor		0.96046421	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425	0.96045425		
12. Total Energy Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
13. Total Demand Related Recoverable Costs (C)		8,835	8,821	8,807	8,792	8,779	8,765	8,750	8,737	8,722	8,707	8,692	8,678	8,663	8,648	8,634	8,619	8,604	8,589	8,574	8,559	8,544	8,529	8,514	8,500	8,485	8,470		
14. Total Institutional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		54,835	54,813	54,791	54,769	54,747	54,725	54,702	54,679	54,657	54,635	54,612	54,589	54,566	54,543	54,520	54,497	54,474	54,451	54,428	54,405	54,382	54,359	54,336	54,313	54,290	54,267		

Notes:
(A) Line 6 is 8.821075% x 1/12. Based on KEB of 11.37% and weighted income tax rate of 38.377%. (B) Line 10 is 11.37%.

(B) Line 9 + Line 10

(C) Line 9 + Line 11

Between the expected improvements, improvements and losses
from Project Proliferate through Trial 4 for CDFI

Government's claim to compensation from
the Government of the Punjab and the Central
Government.

• 800-800-8000 | 800-800-8000 |

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 1 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration

Project Description:

The existing FGD system on Big Bend Unit 4 was tested and found to be capable of cleaning the flue gases from Unit 3 at a fraction of the cost of adding a new FGD system for this purpose.

This project involved the integration of Big Bend Unit 3 flue gases into the Big Bend Unit 4 FGD system. The integration was accomplished by installing interconnecting ductwork between Unit 3 precipitator outlet ducts and the Unit 4 FGD inlet duct. The Unit 4 FGD outlet duct was interconnected with the Unit 3 chimney via new ductwork and a new stack breaching. New ductwork, linings, isolation dampers, support steel, and stack annulus pressurization fans were procured and installed. Modifications to the materials handling systems and controls were also necessary.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$555,373 compared to the original projection of \$555,373, representing a variance of 0%.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$571,608 compared to the original projection of \$652,493, representing a variance of 12.39%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is expected to be \$275,168. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 to December 1998 are projected to be \$420,017.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is expected to be \$1,083,883. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$1,429,470.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 2 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning

Project Description:

The existing electrostatic precipitators were not designed for the range of fuels needed for compliance with the CAAA. Flue gas conditioning was required to assure operation of the generating units in accordance with applicable permits and regulations.

The project involved the addition of liquid sulfur unloading, storage and conveying to sulfur burners and catalytic converters where SO₂ is converted to SO₃. The control and injection system then injects this into the ductwork ahead of the electrostatic precipitators.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$335,436 compared to the original projection of \$335,436, representing a variance of 0%.

The actual/estimated O & M for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$14,874 compared to the original projection of \$16,500, representing a variance of (9.85%).

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is projected to be \$165,936. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be \$9,345.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$651,873. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$41,376.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 3 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors

Project Description:

Continuous emissions monitors (CEMs) were installed on the flue gas inlet and outlet of Big Bend Unit 4 monitor compliance with the CAAA requirements. The monitors are capable of measuring, recording and electronically reporting SO₂, NO_x and volumetric gas flow out of the stack. The project consisted of monitors, a CEM building, the CEMs control and power cables to supply a complete system.

40 CFR Part 75 includes the general requirements for the installation, certification, operation and maintenance of CEMs and specific requirements for the monitoring of pollutants, opacity and volumetric flow. These regulations are very comprehensive and specific as to the requirements for CEMs, and in essence, they define the components needed and their configuration.

Project Accomplishment:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$42,892 compared to the original projection of \$42,892, representing a variance of 0%.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$0 compared to the original projection of \$0, representing a variance of 0%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is projected to be \$21,200. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be \$0.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$109,539. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 4 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: SO₂ Emission Allowances

Project Description:

The acid rain control title of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAA) of 1990 sets forth a comprehensive regulatory mechanism designed to control acid rain by limiting sulfur dioxide emissions by electric utilities. The CAAA require reductions in sulfur dioxide emissions in two phases. Phase I began on January 1, 1995, and applies to 110 mostly coal-fired utility plants containing about 260 generating units. These plants are owned by about 40 jurisdictional utility systems that are expected to reduce annual sulfur dioxide emissions by as much as 4.5 million tons. Phase II begins on January 1, 2000, and applies to virtually all existing steam-electric generating utility units with capacity exceeding 25 megawatts and to new generating utility units of any size. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issues to the owners of generating units allowances (defined as an authorization to emit, during or after a specified calendar year, one ton of sulfur dioxide) equal to the number of tons of sulfur dioxide emissions authorized by the CAAA. EPA does not assess a charge for the allowances it awards.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 is \$0, compared to the original projection of \$0, representing a variance of 0%.

The actual/estimated O & M for the period April 1998 through September 1998 is \$1,191,463 compared to the original projection of \$1,431,093, representing a variance of (16.74%).

The SO₂ emission allowance credit from the Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) wholesale sale was \$15,759 for the period April 1998 through September 1998 compared to the original projection of \$20,000, representing a variance of (21.2%).

Project Summary:

SO₂ Emission Allowances are being used by Tampa Electric to meet compliance standards for Phase I of the CAAA.

Project Projections:

Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be (\$508,157).

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$1,760,766.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 5 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 1 are part of Tampa Electric's comprehensive Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x values.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement will be used by Tampa Electric to meet NO_x compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement is scheduled to go into service in December 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$181,162.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 6 of 17

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 2 are part of Tampa Electric's comprehensive Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x values.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement will be used by Tampa Electric to meet NO_x compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Project is complete and in service as of May 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$111,765.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 7 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Addition

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Gannon Unit 5 are part of Tampa Electric's comprehensive Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x values.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Addition will be used by Tampa Electric to meet NO_x compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Project is complete and in service as of December 1997.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$157,513.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 8 of 17

Project Title: Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Addition

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Gannon Unit 6 are part of Tampa Electric's comprehensive Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x values.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Addition will be used by Tampa Electric to meet NO_x compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Project is complete and in service as of December 1997.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$128,204.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Gannon Coal Crusher For NO_x Control

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 9 of 17

FORM 42-SP
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The Gannon Coal Crusher will be used in conjunction with the boiler modifications at Gannon as part of Tampa Electric's comprehensive Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The coal crusher will assist in achieving compliance by providing a more uniform particle size. The finer coal particles, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x values.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Gannon Coal Crusher will be used by Tampa Electric to meet NO_x compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Gannon Coal Crusher Project is scheduled to go into service July 1999.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$387,379.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 10 of 17

Project Title: Gannon Unit 5 Stack Extension

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

In accordance with the CAAA, Tampa Electric is pursuing a Title V Operation Permit for Gannon Station. During the permitting process it was determined by FDEP that our current station cap of 2.4 lbs. of SO₂/MMBtu results in modeled exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for SO₂. As such, Tampa Electric would be required to reduce SO₂ emissions at Gannon Station by approximately 50% in the new Title V permit.

Alternatively, Tampa Electric has completed revised dispersion modeling for Gannon Station under many different scenarios using more updated meteorological data, increased stack heights, and various SO₂ emission sets, (e.g., various sulfur content fuels consistent with the overall Acid Rain fuel strategy). It was determined that by increasing Gannon Unit 5 stack to 110 meters and limiting the Station to an SO₂ cap of 1.9 lb./MMBtu, the Station can demonstrate compliance with the air dispersion modeling.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Gannon Unit 5 Stack Extension will also be used by Tampa Electric to meet SO₂ compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Gannon Unit 5 Stack Extension Project is scheduled to go into service December 2000.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$3,375.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 11 of 17

Project Title: Gannon Unit 6 Stack Extension

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

In accordance with the CAAA, Tampa Electric is pursuing a Title V Operation Permit for Gannon Station. During the permitting process it was determined by FDEP that our current station cap of 2.4 lbs. of SO₂/MMBtu results in modeled exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for SO₂. As such, Tampa Electric would be required to reduce SO₂ emissions at Gannon Station by approximately 50% in the new Title V permit.

Alternatively, Tampa Electric has completed revised dispersion modeling for Gannon Station under many different scenarios using more updated meteorological data, increased stack heights, and various SO₂ emission sets, (e.g., various sulfur content fuels consistent with the overall Acid Rain fuel strategy). It was determined that by increasing Gannon Unit 6 stack to 110 meters and limiting the Station to an SO₂ cap of 1.9 lb./MMBtu, the Station can demonstrate compliance with the air dispersion modeling.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: N/A.

Progress Summary: The Gannon Unit 6 Stack Extension will be used by Tampa Electric to meet SO₂ compliance standards for Phase II of the CAAA. The Gannon Unit 6 Stack Extension Project is scheduled to go into service December 1999.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$16,495.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 12 of 17

Project Title: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The Big Bend Oil Storage Tank No. 1 is a 500,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule requires various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

Present scope of work for this project includes:

- ▶ Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- ▶ Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- ▶ Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- ▶ Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- ▶ Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- ▶ Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- ▶ Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$928 compared to an original projection of \$16,807, representing a variance of (94%).

Project Progress Summary: The project is scheduled to go into service December 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is projected to be \$11,150. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be \$0.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$63,027. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 13 of 17

Project Title: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Description:

The Big Bend Oil Storage Tank No. 2 is a 4,200,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule requires various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

Present scope of work for this project includes:

- ▶ Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- ▶ Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- ▶ Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- ▶ Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- ▶ Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- ▶ Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- ▶ Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$616 compared to an original projection of \$35,341, representing a variance of (98%).

Project Progress Summary:

The project is complete and in service as of January 1998.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is projected to be \$14,682. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be \$0.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$116,710. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 1999 Through December 1999
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 16 of 17

FORM 42-5P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Project Title: Gannon Ignition Oil Tank Upgrade

Project Description:

The Gannon Ignition Oil Storage Tank is a 300,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule requires various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

Present scope of work for this project includes:

- ▶ Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- ▶ Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- ▶ Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- ▶ Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- ▶ Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- ▶ Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- ▶ Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

This project is in the construction stage.

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period April 1998 through September 1998 was \$29,276 compared to an original projection of \$19,789, representing a variance of 48%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service as of December 1997.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is projected to be \$14,635. Estimated O & M costs for the period October 1998 through December 1998 are projected to be \$0.

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 1999 through December 1999 is projected to be \$56,869. Estimated O & M costs for the period January 1999 through December 1999 are projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECCR)
Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % by Rate Class
January 1999 to December 1999

Rate Class	(1) Average 12 CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	(2) Projected Sales at Meter (kWh)	(3) Projected Avg 12 CP at Meter (kW)	(4) Demand Loss Expansion Factor	(5) Energy Loss Expansion Factor	(6) Projected Sales at Generation (kWh)	(7) Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation (kW)	(8) Percentage of kWh Sales at Generation (%)	(9) Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)	(10) 12 CP & 1/13 Allocation factor (%)
RS, RST	52.7220%	7,046,661,000	1,521,761	1.061628	1.062297	7,485,648,965	1,619,791	44.34%	59.53%	58.17%
GS, GST, TS	63.0221%	921,385,000	172,327	1.061896	1.062297	1,010,653,431	182,993	5.99%	6.72%	6.66%
GND, GNDI	78.21957%	4,301,105,000	627,871	1.060310	1.061240	4,566,819,398	665,753	27.75%	24.47%	24.67%
GSL, GSLDT, SBF, SBF-T	86.12625%	1,779,258,000	235,830	1.045147	1.045213	1,859,701,592	246,477	11.02%	9.06%	9.21%
ISI, ISI-T, SBF, SBF-T, ISI, ISI-T, SBF, SBF-T	101.56414%	1,742,961,000	0	1.020766	1.021211	1,779,930,946	0	10.54%	0.00%	0.81%
SLAM.	129.52368%	166,512,000	5,769	1.058824	1.062295	176,906,111	6,108	1.05%	0.22%	0.28%
TOTAL		15,990,104,000	2,567,562			16,579,652,443	2,721,124	100.00%	100.00%	

Notes:

- (1) Average 12 CP load factor based on actual 1997 load research data
- (2) Projected kWh sales for the period January 1999 to December 1999
- (3) Calculated: (Column 2) / (8,760 hours X Column 1)
- (4) Based on actual 1997 load research data
- (5) Based on actual 1997 load research data
- (6) Column 2 X Column 5
- (7) Column 3 X Column 4
- (8) Column 6 / Total Column 6
- (9) Column 7 / Total Column 7
- (10) Column 8 X 1/13 + Column 9 X 12/13

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class
January 1999 to December 1999⁶

Rate Class	(1) Percentage of kWh Sales at Generation (%)	(2) 12 CP & 1/13 Allocation Factor (%)	(3) Energy- Related Costs (\$)	(4) Demand- Related Costs (\$)	(5) Total Environmental Costs (\$)	(6) Projected Sales at Meter (kWh)	(7) Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (\$/kWh)
RS, RST	44.34%	58.37%	1,896,148	109,689	2,005,837	7,046,663,000	0.028
GS, GST, TS	5.99%	6.66%	256,155	12,515	268,670	951,385,000	0.028
GSD, GSDT	27.06%	24.67%	1,157,189	46,360	1,203,549	4,303,305,000	0.028
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF, SBFT	11.02%	9.21%	471,257	17,307	488,564	1,779,258,000	0.027
IS1, IST1, SBI1, IS3, IST3, SBI3	10.54%	0.81%	450,731	1,522	452,253	1,742,961,000	0.026
SL/OL	1.05%	0.28%	44,902	526	45,428	166,532,000	0.027
TOTAL	100.00%	100.00%	4,276,382	187,920	4,464,302	15,990,104,000	

Notes:

- (1) From Form 42-6P, Column 8
- (2) From Form 42-6P, Column 10
- (3) Column 1 x Total Jurisdictional Energy Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (4) Column 2 x Total Jurisdictional Demand Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (5) Column 3 + Column 4
- (6) Projected KWH sales for the period January 1999 to December 1999
- (7) Column 5 / Column 6 x 100

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 7
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-7P
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company

Form 42-1E

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Current (Actual/Estimated) Period True-Up

April 1998 to December 1998

Line	(in Dollars)	<u>Apr-98 - Sep-98</u>	<u>Oct-98 - Dec-98</u>
		Period Amount	Period Amount
1.	Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period (Form 42-2E, Line 5)	\$371,903	\$938,840
2.	Interest Provision (Form 42-2E, Line 6)	14,842	15,418
3.	Sum of Current Period Adjustments (Form 42-2E, Line 10)	0	0
4.	Current Period True-Up Amount to be refunded/recovered in the projection period January 1999 to December 1999 (Lines 1 + 2 + 3)	<u>\$386,745</u>	<u>\$954,258</u>

EXHIBIT NO.
DOCKET NO. 980007-E1
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 8
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-1E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company

Investment Grade and Present Value Lines 1-10 & Pt. I
Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Period True Up Amount
April 1998 to December 1998

Current Period True-Up Amount
(in Dollars)

Line	Actual Apr-98	Actual May-98	Actual Jun-98	Actual Jul-98	Actual Aug-98	Estimated Sep-98	Estimated Oct-98	Estimated Nov-98	Estimated Dec-98	Oct-98 - Dec-98	
										End of Period	Total
1. ERC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	380,177	\$394,6096	\$494,731	\$521,379	\$496,174	\$497,148	\$2,786,305	\$442,723	\$482,211	\$388,521	\$1,213,455
2. True-Up Provision	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,928	137,563	45,283	45,283	45,284	135,850
3. ERC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	403,104	417,623	517,658	546,306	519,101	520,076	2,921,868	488,006	427,694	433,805	1,349,105
4. Jurisdictional ERC Costs											
a. O & M Activities (Form 42-3E, Line 9)	238,671	238,462	321,539	354,189	298,158	197,304	1,649,023	(51,547)	(61,573)	(80,819)	(72,793)
b. Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7E, Line 9)	152,428	151,125	148,730	149,270	149,374	152,015	902,942	157,579	162,019	163,660	483,258
c. Total Jurisdictional ERC Costs	391,099	389,587	470,269	503,659	447,532	349,819	2,551,965	164,032	321,592	321,592	410,463
5. Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 + Line 4c)	12,005	28,036	47,389	42,647	71,569	170,257	371,903	383,974	101,962	450,964	938,840
6. Interest Provision (Form 42-3E, Line 10)	2,235	2,222	2,316	2,439	2,593	3,037	14,842	4,158	5,087	6,173	15,418
7. Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision											
a. Deferred True-Up from October 1997 to March 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI) and	137,563	128,876	136,207	162,985	185,144	236,379	137,563	738,462	1,081,311	1,145,017	738,462
b. True-Up Collected/Refunded (see Line 2)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,928)	(137,563)	(45,283)	(45,283)	(45,284)	(135,850)
9. End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5 + 6 + 7 + 7a + 8)	480,593	487,924	514,702	536,361	583,096	738,462	738,462	1,081,311	1,145,017	1,556,870	1,556,870
10. Adjustment to Period True-Up including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. End of Period Total Net True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	\$480,593	\$487,924	\$514,702	\$536,361	\$583,096	\$738,462	\$738,462	\$1,081,311	\$1,145,017	\$1,556,870	\$1,556,870

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-I)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 9
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-2E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Exhibit 4 (Initial) Analysis

In accordance with FERC Order 888, this form is filed to provide information concerning the calculation of the Actual vs. Estimated Periodic True Up.

Periodic True Up Calculated From April 1998 to December 1998

Interest Provision
(in Dollars)

Line		Apr-98 - Sep-98						Oct-98 - Dec-98	
		Actual Apr-98	Actual May-98	Actual Jun-98	Actual Jul-98	Actual Aug-98	Estimated Sep-98	Estimated Oct-98	Estimated Nov-98
1	Beginning Balance True-Up Amount (For $= 42-21$; Line 7 + 7a + 10)	\$489,290	\$490,593	\$487,924	\$514,702	\$536,861	\$538,096	\$538,462	\$51,981,311
2	Funding True-Up Amount Before Interest	478,158	485,702	512,356	514,422	515,503	715,425	1,077,153	1,149,930
3	Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up Amount (Lines 1 & 2)	967,638	986,295	1,000,316	1,069,124	1,122,364	1,321,521	1,815,615	2,212,241
4	Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 ÷ 12)	431,819	433,148	500,155	524,562	561,182	661,761	707,208	1,110,621
5	Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	5.53%	5.53%	5.50%	5.60%	5.56%	5.57%	5.59%	5.59%
6	Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	5.53%	5.50%	5.60%	5.56%	5.52%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
7	Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 & 6)	11.08%	11.03%	11.10%	11.16%	11.08%	11.02%	11.09%	11.08%
8	Average Interest Rate (Line 7 ÷ 12)	5.5407%	5.5155%	5.5507%	5.5407%	5.5107%	5.5107%	5.5007%	5.5007%
9	Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 ÷ 12)	0.4622%	0.460%	0.463%	0.465%	0.462%	0.459%	0.458%	0.458%
10	Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	\$2,215	\$2,222	\$2,316	\$2,439	\$2,593	\$1,037	\$4,158	\$15,418

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 10
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-3E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount
April 1998 to December 1998

Variance Report of O & M Activities
(In Dollars)

Line
No.

1. Description of Investment Projects

	(1) Actual/ Estimated	(2) Original Projection	(3) Variance Amount	(4) Percent
1a Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$991,625	\$971,599	\$20,026	2.1%
1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	24,219	24,750	(\$531)	-2.1%
1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	0	0	0	0.0%
1d Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	0	0	0	0.0%
1e Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	0	0	0	0.0%
1f Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	0	0	0	0.0%
1g Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	0	0	0	0.0%
1h Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	0	0	0	0.0%
1i SO2 Emissions Allowances	683,306	2,001,134	(\$1,317,828)	-65.9%
1j SO2 Credit - FMPA	(15,759)	(20,000)	(4,241)	21.2%
2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$1,683,391	\$2,977,483	(\$1,302,574)	-43.7%
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$1,683,391	\$2,977,483	(\$1,302,574)	-43.7%
4. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5E (sum Apr-98-Sep-98 and Oct-98-Dec-98)

Column (2) is the approved Projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI
 and Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI (sum Apr-98-Sep-98 and Oct-98-Dec-98)

Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2)

Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(K0Z-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 11
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-4E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

1. Total O&M Activities
2. Total O&M Activities Allocated
3. Allocation of the Current Period's Demand Allocated
April 1998 to December 1998

O&M Activities
(in Dollars)

Line	Actual Apr '98	Actual May '98	Actual Jun '98	Actual Jul '98	Actual Aug '98	Estimated Sept '98	Apr '98 - Sept '98			Oct '98 - Dec '98		
							1st of Period Total	Projected Oct '98	Projected Nov '98	Projected Dec '98	End of Period Total	Method of Classification Demand
1 Description of O&M Activities												
1a	Dog Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$109,879	\$11,684	\$66,748	\$118,702	\$197,945	\$34,650	\$571,668	\$94,723	\$295,245	\$120,049	\$420,817
2,215	0	4,452	0	0	0	5,032	1,115	\$14,874	3,115	3,115	3,115	\$14,845
1b	Dog Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1c	Dog Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1d	Garnet Ignition Oil Tank	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1e	Dog Bend Fuel Oil Tanks #1 Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1f	Dog Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1g	Phantom Upgrade Task #1 for FMPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1h	Phantom Upgrade Task #4 for FMPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1i	SOC2 Emissions Allowances	115,146	221,850	277,253	280,891	212,028	121,025	\$1,194,463	(153,094)	(153,011)	(153,011)	(153,017)
1j	SOC2 Credits - FMPA	(115,209)	0	0	0	0	0	(151,279)	(151,279)	(151,279)	(151,279)	0
2	Total of O&M Activities	249,731	253,534	348,453	381,593	320,025	206,850	1,262,180	(153,256)	166,749	(190,288)	(178,295)
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	249,731	253,534	348,453	381,593	320,025	206,850	1,262,180	(153,256)	166,749	(190,288)	(178,295)
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0 943,5018	0 940,5529	0 922,7622	0 928,7095	0 931,6706	0 947,7098	0 969,6270	0 948,9860	0 952,3975	0 952,3975	0 952,3975
6	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0 908,7903	0 907,8499	0 924,7896	0 926,5690	0 927,9481	0 915,1951	0 914,7815	0 905,2523	0 901,2513	0 901,2513	0 901,2513
7	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	2,16,870	2,18,463,2	3,21,139	3,54,489	2,98,158	1,97,864	1,647,222	(33,547)	161,573	(180,817)	(172,793)
7a	Add Back FMPA/JAK Excess Emissions Allocation	1,401	0	0	0	0	0	1,001	0	0	0	0
8	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$238,671	\$238,662	\$321,519	\$354,489	\$298,158	\$197,864	\$1,648,023	(33,547)	\$161,573	(180,817)	(172,793)

Notes:

- (A) Line 3 + Line 5
- (B) Line 4 + Line 6

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 12
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-5E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (EERC)
Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount
April 1998 to December 1998

Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs
(In Dollars)

Line
No.**1. Description of Investment Projects**

	(1) Actual/ Estimated	(2) Original Projection	(3) Amount	(4) Variance Percent
1a Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$830,541	\$830,541	\$0	0.0%
1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	\$501,372	501,372	\$0	0.0%
1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	\$64,092	64,092	\$0	0.0%
1d Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	\$43,911	35,308	\$8,603	24.4%
1e Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	\$12,078	26,601	(\$14,523)	-54.6%
1f Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	\$15,298	50,559	(\$35,261)	-69.7%
1g Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	\$768	2,538	(\$1,770)	-69.7%
1h Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	\$1,091	2,997	(\$1,906)	-63.6%

2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs

1,469,151	1,514,008	(44,857)	-3.0%
-----------	-----------	----------	-------

3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy

1,396,005	1,396,005	0	0.0%
-----------	-----------	---	------

4. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand

\$73,146	\$118,003	(\$44,857)	-38.0%
----------	-----------	------------	--------

43

Notes:

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7E

Column (2) is the approved Projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI
and Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI

Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2)

Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

EXHIBIT NO.
 DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (K0Z-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 13
 PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-6E
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company
April Form to December Form
April Form to December Form

**Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs
(in Dollars)**

Line	Description of Investment Projects (A)	Apr-98 - Sep-98			Oct-98 - Sep-98			Oct-98 - Dec-98			
		Actual Apr-98	Actual May-98	Actual Jun-98	Actual Jul-98	Actual Aug-98	Estimated Sep-98	Projected Oct-98	Projected Nov-98	Projected Dec-98	End of Period Total
1	Description of Investment Projects (A)										
1a	Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$91,028	\$92,342	\$92,656	\$92,669	\$92,282	\$92,096	\$555,373	\$91,309	\$91,723	\$273,168
1b	Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	56,126	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	\$133,436	55,312	55,312	\$165,936
1c	Big Bend Units 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	7,194	7,176	7,158	7,140	7,121	7,103	\$42,892	7,085	7,067	\$21,200
1d	Big Cypress Ignition Oil Tank	4,408	4,348	5,360	5,101	4,915	4,915	\$29,276	4,897	4,878	\$14,635
1e	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	17	34	50	53	53	54	\$80	\$928	4,012	5,260
1f	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	12	26	43	75	115	143	\$616	1,269	4,744	11,150
1g	Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	3	5	11	26	41	59	\$143	\$88	176	81,199
1h	Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	3	5	7	9	13	32	\$69	64	210	562,3
Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs		160,901	160,840	161,168	160,743	160,379	160,704	964,735	163,092	160,131	173,193
2	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	156,458	156,122	155,796	155,489	155,111	154,775	933,201	154,438	154,102	153,764
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	4,443	4,718	5,342	5,294	5,268	5,248	31,034	8,054	14,029	19,479
4	Total Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9485018	0.9485529	0.9227622	0.9232095	0.916706	0.9471060	0.9600070	0.9600050	0.9600033	0.9600013
5	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9003903	0.9076499	0.9242896	0.9253690	0.929481	0.9151953	0.9147813	0.9053533	0.9051503	0.9050503
6	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	160,401	160,341	143,751	144,367	144,512	146,589	874,463	149,461	149,319	146,112
7	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	4,027	4,284	4,977	4,903	4,862	5,426	28,479	7,918	12,760	17,588
8	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)										18,166
9	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)										
		\$152,428	\$151,125	\$148,790	\$149,270	\$149,374	\$152,015	\$902,942	\$157,579	\$162,019	\$163,560

Notes
(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4E, Line 9

(B) Line 3 + Line 5

(C) Line 3 + Line 6

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 14
PAGE 1 of 1

FORM 42-7E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-E1
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 1 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciations and Taxes
For Project Big Bend Unit 3 (Net Tax Deductibilites in Italicization
(in Dollars))

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Apr.-98	Actual May-98	Actual Jun-98	Actual Jul-98	Actual Aug-98	Estimated Sep-98	Estimated Oct-98	Estimated Nov-98	Estimated Dec-98	Estimated End of Period Amount
1	Investments											
a	Expenditures/Additions	\$0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	\$0
b	Charges to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Rate	\$1,219,658 (624,015)	\$,219,658 (643,241)	\$,219,658 (662,467)	\$,219,658 (681,693)	\$,219,658 (700,919)	\$,219,658 (720,145)	\$,219,658 (739,371)	\$,219,658 (758,597)	\$,219,658 (777,821)	\$,219,658 (797,049)	\$,219,658 (817,261)
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	7,596,417	7,577,191	7,557,965	7,538,739	7,519,513	7,490,387	7,461,815	7,442,679	7,423,549	7,404,423	7,385,304
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$7,615,843										
6	Average Net Investment	7,606,030	7,586,804	7,567,578	7,548,352	7,529,126	7,509,900	7,490,674	7,471,448	7,452,221	7,432,995	7,413,770
7	Return on Average Net Investment											
a	Equity Component Generated Up For Taxes (A)	55,928	55,787	55,646	55,504	55,363	55,222	55,080	54,939	54,797	54,656	54,514
b	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	17,674	17,529	17,384	17,239	17,093	17,648	17,603	17,558	17,513	17,469	17,424
8	Investment Expenses											
a	Depreciation	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226
b	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Disbursement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	93,028	92,842	92,656	92,469	92,282	92,096	91,909	91,723	91,536	91,350	91,164
a	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	91,628	92,842	92,656	92,469	92,282	92,096	91,909	91,723	91,536	91,350	91,164
b	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9435018	0.9405529	0.93227622	0.93162095	0.93162095	0.94710930	0.96926720	0.96926720	0.96926720	0.96926720	0.96926720
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.90570933	0.9070499	0.9247899	0.9253649	0.9253649	0.9147815	0.9151953	0.9151953	0.9151953	0.9151953	0.9151953
12	Retail Energy Related Recoverable Costs (II)	88,237	87,123	85,999	85,877	85,976	87,225	89,066	88,876	88,691	88,506	88,321
13	Retail Demand Related Recoverable Costs (III)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$88,237	\$87,123	\$85,999	\$85,877	\$85,976	\$87,225	\$89,066	\$88,876	\$88,691	\$88,506	\$88,321

Notes:

- (A) Line 6 x 8.5238% x 1/12. Based on EOE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.5335% (expansion factor of 1.020002)
- (B) Line 9a Line 10
- (C) Line 9b Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 2 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Plus Gas Conditioning
April 1998 to December 1998
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Apr-98	Actual May-98	Actual Jun-98	% Chg Jul-98	Actual Aug-98	Estimated Sep-98	Estimated Oct-98	Estimated Nov-98	Estimated Dec-98	Estimated End of Period Amount
1	Investments								\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a	Expenditures/Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
b.	Clearings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Plus	\$5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734
(b17,258)	(b17,258)	(b17,258)	(b44,466)	(b58,070)	(b71,674)	(b85,273)	(b98,382)	(b112,486)	(b126,090)	(b139,694)	(b152,298)	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	\$4,490,476	4,386,377	4,371,268	4,359,664	4,346,060	4,332,456	4,318,352	4,305,248	4,291,644	4,278,040	4,264,342
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)	4,393,674	4,190,070	4,086,466	4,152,362	4,139,258	4,125,654	4,112,050	4,278,446	4,264,342	4,264,342	4,264,342
6.	Average Net Investment											
7.	Returns on Average Net Investment											
a.	Equity Component Grouned Up For Taxes (A)	32,307	32,207	32,107	32,007	31,907	31,807	31,707	31,607	31,507	31,407	31,307
b.	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.97% x 1/12)	10,325	10,293	10,261	10,229	10,197	10,165	10,133	10,101	10,069	10,037	10,000
8.	Investment Expenses											
a.	Depreciation	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604
b.	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Dismantlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	56,216	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	55,444	55,312	55,180	50,1,372	46,2,436
10.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	56,216	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	55,444	55,312	55,180	50,1,372	46,2,436
a.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.948,5018	0.940,5529	0.922,7622	0.923,7095	0.913,6706	0.947,090	0.969,0670	0.968,9580	0.962,2395	0.962,2395	0.962,2395
12.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.963,3903	0.907,6499	0.924,7396	0.926,3690	0.922,9481	0.915,1953	0.914,7815	0.905,2523	0.901,2013	0.901,2013	0.901,2013
13.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)	53,340	52,769	51,649	51,459	51,902	52,637	53,729	53,595	52,434	47,3,914	46,2,436
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		\$53,340	\$53,769	\$51,649	\$51,459	\$51,902	\$52,637	\$53,729	\$53,595	\$52,434	\$47,3,914	\$46,2,436

Notes:

- (A) Lines 6 x 2.9238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.62802)
(1) Line 9a x Line 10
(C) Line 9b x Line 11

**Recoverable Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Lummus Masters
(in Dollars)**

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Available		Actual Activity		Actual Activity		Estimated Recovery		Estimated Recovery		End of Period Available
		As of 1/1	As of 12/31	Inc. '98								
1	Investments											
a	Expenditures/Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b	Changes in Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Plant or Services/Depreciation Line											
a	Less Accumulated Depreciation	\$86,211 (80,883) (236,408)	\$86,211 (84,462) (236,408)	\$86,211 (84,462) (236,408)	\$86,211 (84,319) (236,408)	\$86,211 (84,273) (236,408)	\$86,211 (82,500) (236,408)	\$86,211 (84,277) (236,408)	\$86,211 (85,504) (236,408)	\$86,211 (87,701) (236,408)	\$86,211 (87,701) (236,408)	
3	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$34,915	\$47,018	\$45,163	\$44,284	\$41,407	\$39,530	\$37,653	\$35,776	\$33,899	\$31,969	\$31,969
4	Other (A)											
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)											
6	Average Net Investment											
7	Returns on Average Net Investment											
a	Equity Component Generated Up to Tax Year (B)	4,016	4,002	3,984	3,974	3,960	3,947	3,933	3,919	3,905	3,891	3,878
b	Debt Component (Line 5 x 2.87% x 1/12)	1,283	1,283	1,279	1,275	1,266	1,261	1,257	1,252	1,247	1,243	1,243
8	Investment Expenses											
a	Depreciation	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,873
b	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Disbursement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)											
a	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	7,194	7,176	7,158	7,140	7,121	7,103	7,085	7,067	7,048	7,028	6,992
b	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor											
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor											
12	Initial Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (C)											
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (D)	6,824	6,749	6,665	6,551	6,534	6,527	6,506	6,488	6,467	6,436	6,401
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)											
		\$6,824	\$6,749	\$6,665	\$6,551	\$6,534	\$6,527	\$6,506	\$6,488	\$6,467	\$6,436	\$6,401

Notes

- (A) Represents the Net Book Value of the replicated Big Bend Unit 4 CEMs which is currently recovered through base rates.
- (B) Line 6 x 8.230% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 34.575% (expansion factor of 1.23002).
- (C) Line 9a + Line 10
- (D) Line 9b + Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 3 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

**Review on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
for Project Games System (Id 1 and**

Line Item	Description	Beginning of Period Amounts			Actual Activity			Actual Activity			Estimated Activity			Estimated Activity			Estimated Activity			Estimated Future Net Book Value		Final Period Amount
		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	
1 Investments																						\$0
a Expenses on Investments		(1,391,097)	595,929	50		(523,000)		50		50		50		50		50		50		50		\$0
b Changes in Plant		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
c Environment		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
d Other		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
2 Plant or Service Equipment at Fair Value																						\$0
a Less Accumulated Depreciations		5,172,750 (4,288)	5,181,821 (5,051)	6,12,752 (7,885)		589,732 (11,380)		589,732 (11,347)		589,732 (11,364)		589,732 (11,341)		589,732 (11,369)		589,732 (11,349)		589,732 (11,341)		589,732 (11,341)		\$0
b Less Interest Bearing		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
c CWP - Non Interest Bearing		(2,06,000)	(2,06,000)	(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)		(2,06,000)
d Other (A)		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)		\$1,02,342	284,269	13,86,27		311,922		310,005		308,058		306,171		304,254		302,332		301,296		301,296		
6 Average Net Investment																						
7 Return on Average Net Investment																						
a Equity Component Generated Up to Taxes (B)		2,002	2,135	2,684		2,385		2,287		2,272		2,258		2,244		2,230		2,230		2,230		\$20,297
b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.12% + 1.12%)		640	682	794		762		731		726		722		717		713		6,487		6,487		
8 Investment Expenses																						
a Depreciation		1,260	1,231	1,091		1,054		1,017		1,017		1,017		1,017		1,017		1,017		1,017		17,127
b Amortization		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
c Disamortization		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
d Property Taxes		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
e Other		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)																						
a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		4,408	-48	5,269		5,101		4,935		4,915		4,897		4,878		4,850		4,830		4,810		4,791
b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
10 Energy Induced Cost Factor																						
a Demand Induced Cost Factor		0	0	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
11 Total Energy Related Recoverable Costs (C)																						
a Total Energy Related Recoverable Costs (D)		3,995	4,219	4,873		4,725		4,555		4,480		4,416		4,350		4,310		40,151		40,151		
b Total Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		1,995	1,943	2,073		2,025		2,000		1,975		1,950		1,925		1,900		1,875		1,850		1,825
c Total Demand-Induced Recoverable Costs (F)		1,995	1,943	2,073		2,025		2,000		1,975		1,950		1,925		1,900		1,875		1,850		1,825

Source: The Central Bank of the Gambia [Section One Task currently recovering through loans.

Repayment date: 11/03/2013 Standard rate of 11.75% on principal balance in case of 11.75% (maximum term of 11.75%)

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 4 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Example 1 (with 1 component)
 In our code ([IP](#)), we can see that [IP](#) is
 a subcomponent of [IP](#). It contains the code for the [IP](#)-
 based system.

**Return on Capital Investments, Depreciations and Taxes
For Project Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade
(in Dollars)**

10

(A) $\frac{1}{1.05} \times \$52,100 = \$49,143$ Based on HOE of 11.2% and weighted income tax rate of 38.57% (conversion factor of 1.628092)

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 5 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

April 15, 1999
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Apr '98	Actual May '98	Actual Jun '98	Actual Jul '98	Estimated Sep '98	Estimated Oct '98	Estimated Nov '98	Estimated Dec '98	Final Period Amount
1 Investments											
a Expenditures/Additions	\$1,530	\$1,562	\$2,296	\$1,920	\$4,189	\$41,027	\$244,649	\$174,517	\$141,799		
b Clearings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 Plant-Service/Depreciation Base											
3 Less Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4 CWP - Non-Interest Bearing	416	1,946	3,508	3,864	9,724	13,513	56,935	361,584	676,201	817,046	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)	\$416	1,946	3,508	3,864	9,724	13,913	56,935	301,584	676,201	817,046	
6 Average Net Investment											
7 Return on Average Net Investment	9	20	14	57	87	260	1,118	3,595	5,490	10,870	
a Energy Component Generated Up For Taxes (A)	3	6	11	18	28	43	421	1,149	1,755	3,474	
b Debt Component (Line 5 + 2 87% x 1/12)											
8 Investment Expenses											
a Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c Depletion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)											
a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	12	26	45	75	115	141	1,739	4,744	8,199	13,298	
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor											
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor											
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)											
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	11	24	42	69	106	114	1,991	4,295	7,405	13,857	
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$11	\$24	\$42	\$69	\$106	\$114	\$1,991	\$4,295	\$7,405	\$13,857	

Notes

(A) Lines 9 & E 82.38% x 1/12 Based on RIC of 11.73% and weighted income tax rate of 38.5275% (exception factor of 1.028902)

(B) Line 9 x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. _____
 DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
 (KOZ-1)
 FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
 DOCUMENT NO. 15
 PAGE 6 of 8

FORM 42-8E
 REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company
Investments and Related Revenues - Line Item #1
Schedule of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period
April 1 thru December 31, 1998

Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project Phillips Upgrade Task #1 for P101P
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Apr '98	Actual May '98	Actual Jun '98	Actual Jul '98	Estimated Sep '98	Estimated Oct '98	Estimated Nov '98	Estimated Dec '98	Last of Period Amount
1	Investments										
a	Expenditures/Additions	\$170	50	\$1,019	\$2,219	\$436	\$1,000	\$11,000	\$11,000	\$10,856	
b	Chargings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0,500
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(50)
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	\$70	\$70	1,589	3,608	4,544	7,044	10,644	25,644	0
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	\$70	\$70	1,589	3,608	4,544	7,044	10,644	25,644	\$0,442
6	Average Net Investment	285	\$70	1,079	2,098	4,226	6,144	9,144	18,144	31,043	
7	Return on Average Net Investment										
a	Equity Component Generated Up For Taxes (A)	2	4	8	20	31	45	67	133	238	\$538
b	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	1	1	3	6	10	14	21	43	73	172
8	Investment Expenses										
a	Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	Disbursement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	3	5	11	26	41	59	88	176	359	768
a	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b	Nonrecoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	3	5	11	26	41	59	88	176	359	768
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9485018 0.9003903	0.94055329 0.90786499	0.9227622 0.9247896	0.91316206 0.9263460	0.9471090 0.9229481	0.91511953 0.9147315	0.96792670 0.9032523	0.96892670 0.9032523	0.9620133 0.9020133	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor										
12	Total Energy Recoverable Taxes (H)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Total Demand Recoverable Taxes (I)	1	5	10	24	38	54	81	159	324	\$408
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$1	\$5	\$10	\$24	\$38	\$54	\$81	\$159	\$324	\$408

Notes

(A) Lines 6 x 8.238% x 1/12 Based on 8.238% of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.5175% (expansion factor of 1.23802/2)

(B) Line 9 x Line 10

(C) Line 9 x Line 11

* Estimated Expenditure

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 7 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

Tampa Electric Company

Unconsolidated Cost Recovery Charge (UCCR)
Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period
April 1994 to December 1994Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project Phillips Upgrade Task #4 for FTEP
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Apr '94	Actual May '94	Actual Jun '94	Actual Jul '94	Estimated Aug '94	Estimated Sep '94	Estimated Oct '94	Estimated Nov '94	Estimated Dec '94	End of Period Amount
1	Investments											\$150,000
a	Expenditures/Additions	\$571	30	\$277	\$163	\$176	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$29,591	\$0	\$0	
b	Drawings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c	Retirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Rate	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$7,400
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(138)
4	CWIP New Interest Bearing	0	\$71	\$71	\$48	\$1011	\$1,807	\$4,507	\$7,807	\$7,400	\$0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 - 4)	\$0	\$71	\$71	\$48	\$1,011	\$1,807	\$4,807	\$7,807	\$7,400	\$0	\$7,262
6	Average Net Investment	286	571	710	930	1,029	1,307	3,307	22,604	22,331		
7	Return on Average Net Investment											
a	Equity Component Generated Up for Taxes (A)	2	4	5	7	10	24	46	166	458	572	
b	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.72% x 1/12)	1	1	2	2	3	8	15	53	146	231	
8	Investment Expenses											
a	Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c	Disbursement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d	Property Taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e	Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	3	5	7	9	13	12	61	219	742	1,091	
a	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	3	5	7	9	13	12	61	219	742	1,091	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9425018 0.9602033	0.9405529 0.9616599	0.9227622 0.9247896	0.9237095 0.9263693	0.9310706 0.9272941	0.9471090 0.9151951	0.91477815 0.9132523	0.9060070 0.9047580	0.9052395 0.9032033		
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor											
12	Retail Energy Related Recoverable Costs (H)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13	Retail Demand Related Recoverable Costs (I)	1	5	6	8	12	29	50	198	670	947	
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$1	\$5	\$6	\$8	\$12	\$29	\$50	\$198	\$670	\$947	

Notes

(A) Lines 6 x 8.233% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a + Line 10

(C) Line 9b + Line 11

* Estimated Expenditure

EXHIBIT NO. _____
DOCKET NO. 980007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
FILED: OCTOBER 5, 1998
DOCUMENT NO. 15
PAGE 8 of 8

FORM 42-8E
REVISED: NOVEMBER 19, 1998