

Commissioners:  
JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN  
J. TERRY DEASON  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF WATER & WASTEWATER  
CHARLES H. HILL  
DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6900

# Public Service Commission

April 19, 1999

Mr. Richard D. Melson  
Hopping Green Sams & Smith  
P.O. Box 6526  
Tallahassee, FL 32314

Re: Docket No. 960444-WU - Tariff Sheets and Customer Notice

Dear Mr. Melson:

I have reviewed the proposed revised tariff sheets and proposed customer notice for Docket No. 960444-WS. There are several concerns that need addressing before the tariff sheets are approved. These concerns are as follows:

1. Sheet No. 17.0 should be revised to reference the appropriate tariff sheets once staff's concerns, as discussed below, have been met.
2. Eighth Revised Sheet No. 18.1, that was submitted, should be Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 18.0. Once this change is made, Sixth Revised Sheet No. 4.0, that was submitted, should then be revised to reference sheet no. 18.0 for general service customers. Then tariff sheet No. 18.1 may be canceled, as discussed in staff concern No. 14, below.
3. Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 19.0, that was submitted, should reference all meter sizes for residential customers, as approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-99-0635-FOF-WU.
4. The charge contained on Fifth Revised Sheet No. 20.0, which is referenced as a "per dwelling unit" charge, was never approved as such; neither by the settlement nor the Commission. If LUSI wants this charge to be addressed, a separate tariff filing should be submitted. Therefore, staff cannot approve this tariff sheet at this time.
5. Second Revised Sheet No. 22.1, that was submitted, should be Fourth Revised Sheet No. 22.0. Then tariff sheet No. 22.1 may be canceled, as discussed in staff concern No. 14, below.

AFA \_\_\_\_\_  
 APP \_\_\_\_\_  
 CAF \_\_\_\_\_  
 CMU \_\_\_\_\_  
 CTR \_\_\_\_\_  
 EAG \_\_\_\_\_  
 LEG \_\_\_\_\_  
 MAS \_\_\_\_\_  
 OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
 RRR \_\_\_\_\_  
 SEC \_\_\_\_\_  
 WAW \_\_\_\_\_  
 OTH \_\_\_\_\_

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

05001 APR 20 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Richard D. Melson

Page 2

April 19, 1999

6. First Revised Sheet No. 22.2-A, on file with the Commission should be revised to First Revised Sheet No. 22.1. Then sheet No. 22.2 may be canceled, as discussed in staff's concern No. 14, below.
7. Second Revised Sheet No. 25.1, that was submitted, should become Sixth Revised Sheet No. 25.0.
8. Third Revised Sheet No. 25.1-A that was submitted should become Second Revised Sheet No. 25.1. The remaining sheets should be canceled as addressed in staff's concern No. 14, below.
9. Guaranteed Revenues, as referenced on Fifth Revised Sheet No. 27.4 are no longer appropriate. Currently, there are no guaranteed revenues approved for LUSI.
10. First Revised Sheet No. 30.0 has been submitted which leaves this tariff sheet blank. What about tariff sheet Nos. 30.1 and 30.2? Should these sheets be canceled?
11. First Revised Sheet No. 31.0 has been submitted which leaves this tariff sheet blank. What about tariff sheet Nos. 31.01 through 31.15? Should these sheets be canceled?
12. First Revised Sheet No. 33.0, that was submitted, should reflect the appropriate revision number of Second Revised Sheet No. 33.0. The remaining sheets should be canceled as addressed in staff's concern No. 14, below.
13. Original Sheet No. 38.0 references a \$3.00 service fee. This fee is not contained in the rates and charges portion of your tariff. Please provide the Commission's order number where this charge was approved. If this charge has been approved by the Commission, submit the appropriate revised tariff sheet with this charge included. If this charge has not been approved, remove this charge from your application and from tariff sheet No. 38.0
14. To eliminate excess blank pages, staff would propose to cancel the following tariff pages once the above changes have been made:  
3.0-D; 3.0-E; 3.0-F; 3.0-G; 3.0-H; 3.0-I; 3.0-J; 3.0-K; 18.1; 19.1; 19.2;  
20.1; 22.0-A; 22.1-A; 22.2; 22.2-A; 24.1; 25.2; 27.6; 33.1; 33.2

In addition, staff believes that the disposition of interim revenues should be addressed in the customer notice. The customers should receive notice that interim revenues will be refunded. Further, please include the following language:

Mr. Richard D. Melson  
Page 3  
April 19, 1999


“Comments regarding the utility’s service or rate increase may be sent to the Commission at the following address:

Director, Division of Records and Reporting  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

All comments should refer to Docket No. 960444-WS, which is the docket number assigned to this case.”

These revisions should be made and revised tariff sheets and customer notice submitted in the most expeditious manner. If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me at 413-6934 or Mr. Tim Vaccaro at 413-6181.

Sincerely,



Troy Rendell  
Public Utilities Supervisor

cc: Carl Wenz (Utilities, Inc.)  
Don Rasmussen (Utilities, Inc.)  
Tim Vaccaro, Division of Legal Services  
✓ Division of Records and Reporting (Docket File)  
Office of Public Counsel