

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

MAY 4, 1999

RE: DOCKET NO. 980214-WS - Application for rate increase in Duval, St. Johns and Nassau Counties by United Water Florida Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge the implementation of the increased rates and charges by United Water Florida, Inc.?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should acknowledge the utility's implementation of increased rates and charges on an interim basis subject to refund pending the outcome of this rate proceeding.

This item was withdrawn based on the recommendations made in Item 32 A.

Issue 2: What is the appropriate security to guarantee the increased revenues collected under proposed agency action rates?

Recommendation: Contingent upon the written guarantee of the parent, UWW, the corporate undertaking filed by the utility, dated April 22, 1999, should be accepted to guarantee any potential refund of revenues collected under the requested rates. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), Florida Administrative Code, the utility should be required to provide a report by the 20th day of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: CL JN JC

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

VOTE SHEET
MAY 4, 1999

DOCKET NO. 980214-WS - Application for rate increase in Duval, St. Johns
and Nassau Counties by United Water Florida Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

collected subject to refund. The utility should be required to file an escrow agreement to guarantee the amount of prospective guaranteed revenue and AFPI charges collected subject to refund. Pursuant to an escrow agreement, the utility would be required to deposit the monthly amount of prospective guaranteed revenue and AFPI charges collected, pending the outcome of this rate proceeding. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), Florida Administrative Code, the utility should be required to provide a report by the 20th day of each month indicating the monthly and total amount of guaranteed revenue and AFPI charges collected subject to refund as of the end of the preceding month.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No, this docket should remain open.