

ORIGINAL

DOCKET NO.: 981637-WS - United Water Florida,
Inc.

WITNESS: Direct Testimony Of CAROLINE
SILVERS, Appearing on Behalf Of Staff

DATE FILED: May 13, 1999

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~06100~~ MAY 13 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CAROLINE SILVERS

1
2 Q. Please state your name and business address?

3 A. My name is Caroline Silvers, and I am the lead hydrologist for the St.
4 Johns River Water Management District's, Jacksonville Service Center and
5 officially hold the title of Hydrologist IV P.G.. Our address is: St. Johns
6 River Water Management District, 7775 Baymeadows Way, Suite 102, Jacksonville,
7 Florida 32256.

8 Q. Please state a brief description of your educational background and
9 experience?

10 A. I have a Bachelor of Science, Geology, 1980, James Madison University
11 Senior Geophysicist, was employed by LANDMARK GRAPHICS CORPORATION, 6/84 -
12 10/84, where I contributed geological and geophysical expertise towards
13 development of seismic stratigraphic software for use in a company which
14 manufactured 3D microcomputer graphic workstations now used by oil industries
15 worldwide. I also designed software architecture to illuminate structural and
16 tectonic features indicative of hydrocarbon traps, and worked closely with
17 programmers to ensure accuracy of geophysical functions and ease of software
18 design. I marketed Landmark workstation by providing demonstrations and
19 training to exploration geophysicists with major oil companies. I was a
20 geophysicist, employed by DIGICON GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION, 2/81 - 5/84, where
21 I enhanced land and off-shore gas/oil prospect seismic data for Marathon Oil.
22 I evaluated, tested and presented newly developed advanced geophysical
23 software. I also investigated geophysical seismic modeling problems for sixty
24 geophysicists.

25 Q. How long have you been employed by the SJRWMD?

1 A. It will be 14 years in August, 1999.

2 Q. What are your general responsibilities at the SJWMD?

3 A. My responsibilities include processing complex and resource sensitive
4 consumptive use permits for the five county Jacksonville Service Center area
5 I coordinate multi-party resource and reuse negotiations and mediate divergent
6 interests among regulatory agencies, developers, utilities, industry,
7 consultants, and local government. I provide daily supervision and technical
8 support Jacksonville Service Center to two Consumptive Use permitting
9 hydrologists, water use compliance and well construction staff. I work
10 closely with District surface water engineers and environmental specialists
11 to incorporate stormwater treatment design aspects that minimize ground water
12 demands and wetland impacts. I collaborate with District Ground Water
13 Modeling Group, USGS, Lower Basin SWIM Program and FDEP technical staff to
14 ensure coordination and consistency with District and other agency objectives
15 and priorities. I am an active rule development participant - Water
16 Conservation rule, augmentation rule, and agency reuse committees.

17 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?

18 A. The purpose of my testimony is to identify the concerns the SJRWMD has
19 with respect to the provision of water and wastewater service within its
20 District, and specifically within the area included in the amendment
21 application of United Water Florida (UWF): My testimony will also address the
22 extent to which UWF, JEA, Intercoastal Utilities and St. Johns County are
23 capable of providing potable water service to this area in a manner that is
24 consistent with the goals and objectives of the SJRWMD.

25 Q. Would you first discuss the issues of concern for the SJRWMD that relate

1 | to the provision of potable water service by any utility in the District?

2 | A. Yes. The District is primarily concerned with ensuring the availability

3 | of an adequate and affordable supply of water for all reasonable-beneficial

4 | uses while protecting the water and related resources of the District. Also,

5 | the District is concerned with protecting existing surface and ground water

6 | quality from degradation and, where appropriate, to improve and restore the

7 | quality of water not currently meeting water quality standards. With respect

8 | to the concern of water supply, the District, through the Consumptive Use

9 | Permitting process, evaluates the ability of each utility to adequately supply

10 | their projected water needs through their existing or proposed resources

11 | without unacceptable adverse impacts. This process involves evaluating each

12 | utility for the following: 1)whether the requested use is in such quantity

13 | as is necessary for economic and efficient utilization (evaluated through

14 | audit process); 2)whether the use is both reasonable and consistent with the

15 | public interest; and 3)whether the source of water is capable of producing the

16 | requested amounts of water. The environmental or economic harm caused by the

17 | consumptive use permit must be reduced to an acceptable amount. All available

18 | water conservation measures must be implemented unless the applicant

19 | demonstrates that implementation is not economically, environmentally or

20 | technologically feasible. When reclaimed water is readily available it must

21 | be used in place of higher quality water sources unless the applicant

22 | demonstrates that its use is either economically, environmentally or

23 | technologically feasible. The lowest acceptable water quality source,

24 | including reclaimed water must be utilized for each consumptive use. The

25 | consumptive use should not cause significant saline water intrusion or further

1 | aggravate existing saline water intrusion problems. The water quality of the
2 | source of the water should not be seriously harmed by the consumptive use.

3 | Q. Is the area included in the UWF amendment is located within a Priority
4 | Water Resource Caution Area (PWRCA)?

5 | A. Yes. A Priority Water Resource Caution Area is defined an area where
6 | a water supply assessment projects resource problems are to occur if future
7 | water supply plans are implemented. The Southeastern Duval and Northern St.
8 | John's County areas were given this designation because both have significant
9 | planned growth without an identified source of water supply.

10 | Q. What type of water demand is predicted for this area?

11 | A. This PRWCA area, also designated as Work Group V in the Water 20/20
12 | Planning process, has the greatest anticipated increase in water in the public
13 | supply category. Public supply needs are expected to increase from about 65.9
14 | million gallons per day (mgd) in 1995, to approximately 112.1 mgd in 2020, or
15 | about 46 mgd (70 percent). The increase in public supply needs is a direct
16 | result of increases in population. During the same period, the population of
17 | St. Johns and Duval Counties is expected to increase by a total of about
18 | 300,900 people, from 816,500 to 1,117,400. By 2020, all other needs are also
19 | expected to increase by about 11.2 mgd, except for domestic self-supply which
20 | is projected to decrease by 4.3 mgd in 2020. Therefore, the net change in all
21 | other use categories is an expected increase of 7 mgd or 11 percent by 2020.
22 | This means that the total water use in the area of Work Group V is expected
23 | to rise during the planning period by about 53 mgd to a total water use of
24 | about 180 mgd.

25 | Q. Are there other findings by the study that would relate to the ability

1 | of United Water Florida, Intercoastal Utilities and St. Johns County to
2 | provide water and wastewater service to that area?

3 | A. Yes, United Water (St. Johns Forest), JEA, and St. Johns County all have
4 | Consumptive Use Permit applications pending with the District. Intercoastal
5 | Utilities has a Consumptive Use Permit that expires August 9, 2001. In the
6 | permitting review process for this area, the District's emphasis is in
7 | evaluating each utility's ability to adequately supply the projected customer
8 | base without resulting in declines to water quality or harm to native
9 | vegetation. Each utility provides a map defining their service area, the
10 | projected population (for each of next 20 years) within that service area, the
11 | requested allocations in million gallons per year (mgy) to supply that
12 | population and the sources (ground water, surface water, reclaimed water) that
13 | will be used to satisfy their demands. In addition they conduct Reuse
14 | Feasibility Studies, perform audits of their distribution systems, develop or
15 | update Water Conservation Plans and perform required aquifer testing programs.
16 | The Water 20/20 Plan also assessed individually each water plants design
17 | capability to satisfy the projected 2020 water demand and identified potential
18 | physical deficits with each plant. The study then developed a matrix of
19 | utility-specific options to meet the anticipated demand by the year 2020.
20 | Deficit estimates represent the difference between projected needs for 2020
21 | and the current permitted capacity. Intercoastal Utility was estimated to
22 | have an average day demand deficit (ADD) of 2.78 mgd, St. Johns County's ADD
23 | was 10.74 mgd, United Water Florida's ADD was 2.05 mgd, JEA ADD was 10.20 mgd.

24 | Q. What were the utility-specific options for these utilities to meet these
25 | deficits?

1 | deficits?

2 | A. The utility-specific options to meet these demand deficits were the
3 | following. For Intercoastal Utility, the study found that it has existing
4 | facilities that will meet the 2020 ADD needs. It's deficit is based on the
5 | permitted wellfield capacity and facilities needed to meet maximum daily
6 | demand. A decrease in the system demand ration, possibly through either
7 | additional water conservation or reuse activities may help in reducing the
8 | maximum daily demand. St. Johns County Utility had the largest percentage of
9 | the 2020 needs and deficits in St. Johns County, making the development of
10 | alternative sources a technical and financial necessity. These alternatives
11 | include developing additional fresh ground water, developing a new wellfield
12 | with membrane softening in the northern portion of the county, processing a
13 | brackish water source with reverse osmosis water treatment in the southern
14 | portion of the county, building an interconnection with the City of St.
15 | Augustine, and securing fresh surface water from the lower Ocklawaha River.
16 | The City of Jacksonville had the largest percentage of needs and deficits in
17 | the Duval County portion of Work Group V. The City appears to have most of
18 | the facilities required to meet the projected 2020 needs. However, as with
19 | St. Johns County utilities, the needs are large enough to require the
20 | development of other sources. Options include new wellfields in the north
21 | grid portion of the City system, an interconnect to the south grid to convey
22 | new supply, surface water supply from the lower Ocklawahah River, sea
23 | desalting, and the potential of acquiring the private utilities within the
24 | south grid service area around the year 2005. United Water Florida (St. Johns
25 | County) was identified as having small needs, which should be met by upgrading

1 Q. Are there any other concerns of the SJRWMD about utilities providing
2 service in the area at issue, that are not identified in the Work Plan V
3 report, such as the ability of a system to satisfy their water demands without
4 resulting in declines to water quality or harm to native vegetation and the
5 ability of a utility to avail reclaimed water for reuse?

6 A. In this area referred to as Work Group V, there are ground water quality
7 changes occurring rapidly concurrent with growth and increased withdrawals
8 that are not extensively addressed in this plan. In southeast Duval, the
9 concern is primarily with elevated chloride concentrations and the
10 corresponding trends, which are evident in many of the wells. In northeastern
11 St. Johns County, the primary concern is with elevated chlorides in the
12 vicinity. In north central to north western St. Johns County the concern is
13 primarily with elevated sulfate and total dissolved solids concentrations in
14 the Floridan wells and harm to native vegetation from use of the surficial
15 aquifer wells. In central St. Johns County (location of St. Johns Co.
16 wellfield), the concern is with elevated chlorides and total dissolved solids
17 in the Floridan wells and harm to native vegetation from withdrawals from the
18 surficial aquifer.

19 Q. You mentioned that the use of reclaimed water is considered as part of
20 your CUP application review process. How much consideration will be given to
21 the ability of any of the aforementioned utilities to provide reclaimed water
22 for irrigation or other uses?

23 A. In this area of limited water resources, the ability to make reclaimed
24 water readily available for both golf courses, residential and commercial
25 purposes will be a priority. This area is virtually undeveloped and is a

1 | purposes will be a priority. This area is virtually undeveloped and is a
2 | prime candidate for feasibly constructing dual distribution systems within
3 | each large development. Since outside water use (irrigation) comprises almost
4 | 50% of a residential customer's consumption it is critical that lower water
5 | quality sources be used to offset what would otherwise be a potable water
6 | demand. The provision of reclaimed water for golf course, residential and
7 | commercial use in new developments would compensate or delay the need for
8 | locating and developing alternative water supplies. In addition, the District
9 | is focusing heavily on reducing wastewater discharges to the lower basin of
10 | the St. Johns and Intracoastal Waterway. Reuse implementation will either
11 | eliminate or significantly reduce effluent discharges to the St. Johns and
12 | Intracoastal Waterway. I am currently evaluating a Reuse Feasibility Study
13 | prepared by United Water for this area. St. Johns County currently provides
14 | reclaimed water for irrigation use to the World Golf Village and is preparing
15 | to expand their wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) and has committed to
16 | making available 100% of their reclaimed water available for golf course and
17 | landscape irrigation. Intercoastal Utilities currently provides reclaimed
18 | water to Sawgrass Country Club for golf course and landscape irrigation.
19 | Their reuse feasibility study indicated that any effluent in excess of what
20 | they could supply to Sawgrass would need to be discharged to the Intracoastal
21 | Waterway. It is my understanding that JEA is proposing to wholesale potable
22 | water to St. Johns County and is not proposing to accept wastewater or provide
23 | reclaimed water to any areas within St. Johns County.

24 | Q. Do you have any other comments on the ability of the parties to provide
25 | water service to the area in question?

1 | A. As of today, St. Johns County (St. Johns Forest), JEA and United Water
2 | are in the process of evaluating whether or not they can adequately supply
3 | their existing areas without resulting in further degradation of the resource
4 | and without resulting in harm to native vegetation. It is my opinion that
5 | none of the aforementioned utilities has adequately demonstrated that they
6 | can supply this area without resulting in further water quality degradation
7 | or harm to native vegetation. Since Intercoastal Utilities has not included
8 | this service area and the associated population and water demands in their
9 | previous Consumptive Use Permit applications, District staff has not evaluated
10 | whether or not they could adequately satisfy the demands of this amended area.

11 | Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

12 | A. Yes.

13 |

14 |

15 |

16 |

17 |

18 |

19 |

20 |

21 |

22 |

23 |

24 |

25 |