## BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 DOCKET NO. 990325-EI In the Matter of 4 Petition of Gulf Power : 5 Company to determine need for proposed 6 electrical power plant : in Bay County. 7 8 9 10 PREHEARING CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: 11 COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 12 **BEFORE:** Prehearing Officer 13 Monday, May 17, 1999 14 DATE: Commenced at 1:30 p.m. TIME: 15 Concluded at 1:50 p.m. 16 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 152 17 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 18 19 KIMBERLY K. BERENS, CSR, RPR REPORTED BY: FPSC Commission Reporter 20 21 22 23

24

## APPEARANCES:

JEFFREY A. STONE and RUSSEL BADDERS, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950; and RICHARD D. MELSON, Hopping Green Sams & Smith, Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

GAIL KAMARAS, Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation, 1114 Thomasville Road, Suite E,
Tallahassee, Florida 32303, appearing on behalf of
Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation (LEAF).

GRACE A. JAYE, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,

appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Hearing convened at 1:30 p.m.)
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing
4	conference to order. Can I have the Notice read,
5	please?
6	MS. JAYE: Pursuant to Notice issued
7	March 23, 1999, this time and place have been set
8	aside for a prehearing in Docket No. 990325-EI,
9	Petition of Gulf Power Company to determine need for
10	proposed electrical power plant in Bay County,
11	Florida.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take
13	appearances.
14	MR. STONE: Jeffrey A. Stone of the law firm
15	of Beggs and Lane, and also Russell Badders, same
16	firm, on behalf of Gulf Power Company.
17	MR. MELSON: And Richard Melson of Hopping
18	Greens Sams & Smith also on behalf of Gulf Power
19	Company.
20	MS. JAYE: Grace A. Jaye on behalf of
21	Commissioner Staff.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there a
23	representative from LEAF here today? Have we heard
24	from them?

MS. JAYE: I spoke with Gail Kamaras earlier

this morning, Commissioner, and she had indicated she 2 would be here. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 4 MR. MELSON: I understand she's been seen in 5 the building, although I have not seen her personally. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Shall we recess 7 and see if we can locate her? There she is. 8 MS. KAMARAS: Gail Kamaras. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Are there any 10 preliminary matters? 11 MS. JAYE: Yes, they are, Commissioner. 12 Pursuant to new directives concerning the handling of 13 confidential information, Staff has been informed that 14 an issue must be added to the issues contained in the 15 prehearing statement. 16 Staff believes that the issue should be added immediately preceding the current Issue 9 and 17 should be numbered Issue 9. And the current Issue 9 18 19 which is, "should this docket be closed," should be 20 renumbered as Issue 10. The new Issue 9, Staff 21 believes, should read, "Have all requests for 22 confidential classification been ruled upon in this docket?" 23

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Why is it necessary to add this as an issue?

24

MS. JAYE: It is a directive that Staff has received from the Director of Legal Services.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Is there any problem with adding this to the proposed issues?

MR. STONE: Commissioner, the wording implies that all the confidentiality requests have to be dealt with by ruling, and I think there is still leave that if documents are returned to the parties after the review that there would not necessarily need to be an order.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Staff, do you have a response?

MS. JAYE: We can get with the parties and work out a suitable language as long as we can maintain the gist of the issue, which is, that any request for confidential classification has been, in some manner, dealt with before we actually go to the hearing.

commissioner deason: Well, I'm sorry. I guess I'm missing something. What is the necessity of this? This seems to be a departure from normal procedure.

MS. JAYE: Yes, sir, I understand. We had a bureau meeting about two weeks ago in which it was disclosed to us by the Director of Legal Services that

- 1	
1	Staff would from now on in hearings where there would
2	be confidential information, include such an issue.
3	Evidently in certain hearings that have come through,
4	confidential information has not been ruled upon in a
5	timely manner resulting in a lot of post-hearing
6	problems.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, Mr. Stone just
8	indicated that in the event there's not a ruling
9	within, it's just the procedure of the Commission to
10	return the information after the conclusion of the
11	hearing. That's not a sufficient remedy?
12	MS. JAYE: Well, perhaps we could modify the
13	language to say, "Have all requests for confidential
14	classification been dealt with in this docket."
15	MR. STONE: That language would we'd be
16	comfortable with that modified language.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Kamaras, do you
18	have a position on whether this issue should be added?
19	MS. KAMARAS: No, we don't.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone, you don't
21	have a problem with the modified language?
22	MR. STONE: I'm satisfied with it. Yes,
23	Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. All right. We

will show then that the modified language will be

1	inserted as Issue 9, and the currently numbered Issue
2	9 will be renumbered Issue 10.
3	Okay. Other preliminary matters?
4	MS. JAYE: Yes, sir. Staff also requests
5	that the issue for which witnesses have filed
6	testimony would be indicated so that Staff my include
7	those in the prehearing order when it is issued.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.
9	MR. MELSON: And we're prepared to identify
10	those today.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Can you do that on the
12	record at this time?
13	MR. MELSON: Yes, if you'd like us to.
14	I'm on Page 4 of the Draft Prehearing Order.
15	Witness Moore is Issues 1, 2, 6, and 8.
16	The panel of witnesses Neyman and Marler is
17	Issues 1, 2, 7, and 8.
18	Witness Pope is Issues 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and
19	8.
20	Witness Burke is Issues, 2, 3, 6, and 8.
21	And Witness Howell is all issues. I guess
22	that actually should be Issues 1 through 8.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Other
24	preliminary matters?
25	MR. STONE: Commissioner, earlier today we

filed supplemental direct testimony of witnesses
Robert G. Moore and Maria F. -- Maria Jeffers Burke
and William F. Pope, and you have a copy of that
supplemental direct testimony in front of you and
parties, Ms. Jaye and Ms. Kamaras, have been served
copies of those supplemental direct filings.

In addition, we have filed by separate letter some changed pages. There are three pages of either testimony or the need study document that have been changed and the revised pages have also been provided to counsel and to the prehearing officer. All of that has been filed with the Clerk's office earlier today.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. What was the necessity for the supplemental direct?

MR. STONE: Ongoing engineering work since the filing of the need case on March 15th has identified some additional capacity that we can satisfy with this unit by making some modest design changes, and so the capacity of the proposed unit has changed from 540 megawatts to 574 megawatts and that's what's detailed in the supplemental testimony.

The revised pages correct an area of class -- provide a clarification on some testimony with regard to transmission improvements that we

discovered in the course of re-reading it.

**COMMISSIONER DEASON:** And so the additional capacity is design modifications and impacts on the transmission system?

MR. STONE: No. The two are unrelated to each other. But we referred to the unit as a 540 megawatt unit in the original filing and it's actually a 574 megawatt unit and that was the purpose of the supplement.

The reason for the revision is we discovered some confusing wording on the transmission improvements and we provided that clarification. We felt it was appropriate to provide those revised pages at this time rather than waiting until the hearing.

commissioner deason: I understand. Thank
you. Are there any comments from either Staff or Ms.
Kamaras concerning the revised testimony -- I'm
sorry -- the revised pages in the supplemental direct?

MS. KAMARAS: Not at this time.

MS. JAYE: Not at this time, Commissioner.

commissioner deason: Very well. Other preliminary matters? (No response.) None. Very well.

It is my intention then to proceed through the Draft Prehearing Order. I anticipate that it will

go rather quickly. If I do move too quickly over any of the items or issues, please let me know and we will back up and address whatever needs to be addressed.

Before we proceed let me -- before I forget, let me ask a question and if I cannot get an answer right at this moment, perhaps the parties can think about it and before we can conclude today give me some indication.

My question is, is there a possibility that the Commission -- if it is the Commission's desire, is there a possibility this matter can be dealt with with a bench decision, and obviously, that would be up to the Commissioners and what their desires are. And if that is to be a possibility, the parties need to consider whether they want to have closing argument before the Commission entertains a motion to have a bench decision. So think about that and we'll address that before we conclude today.

Beginning with the Draft Prehearing Order,

Section 1 directs -- is the Conduct of Proceedings and

Section 2, the Case Background. Any changes or

questions? (No response.)

Section 3, Procedure for Handling Confidential Information, which is standard.

Section 4 addresses post-hearing procedures.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Also standard. 1 2 Section 5 addresses prefiled testimony and exhibits. 3 Section 6 addresses the order of witnesses. 4 We now have modified that to include the issues which 5 6 are going to be addressed by each of the witnesses. Are there any changes or questions concerning the 7 8 order of witnesses as stated? 9 MR. MELSON: No, sir. I wonder if it might help, though, to identify direct and supplemental 10 direct for those witnesses who have it so that the 11 12 Commissioners will be aware there is two pieces of testimony they should be reviewing? 13 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think we can make that change. Okay. 15 Section 7 addresses basic positions. Any 16 17 changes or corrections to that? 18 MR. MELSON: We've got one typographical correction about five or six lines down. 575 19 20 megawatts should be 574 megawatts. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other changes or corrections? Very well. 22

Section 8 addresses specific issues and positions to those issues. We'll begin with Issue 1. Changes or corrections? (No response.)

23

24

1	Issue 2. (No response.)
2	Issue 3. (No response.)
3	Issue 4. (No response.)
4	Issue 5. (No response.)
5	Issue 6.
6	MR. MELSON: Two changes, Commissioner, to
7	Issue 6. On the second line, the number that reads
8	\$282 should read \$274, and on the following line the
9	\$495 should be \$496.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue 7. (No
11	response.)
12	Issue 8. (No response.)
13	Issue 9. New Issue 9. Are we going to have
14	positions stated in the Prehearing Order concerning
15	that issue which seems to be more of a procedural-type
16	issue? What is Staff's preference?
17	MS. JAYE: Staff does not have a preference
18	as we have not dealt with this particular issue
19	before. If the parties wish to take positions, then
20	we would support that.
21	MR. STONE: Our position would be no
22	position at this time.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We don't know until
24	you get further into the proceeding, because if let
25	me ask this. Has all discovery been submitted or are

1	we still in the discovery process?
2	MR. STONE: There's still some questions
3	outstanding.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So there may be
5	further requests for confidential classification.
6	MR. STONE: Indeed. In fact, there likely
7	will be.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So it seems to
9	me it's premature to take a position at this time.
10	Okay. And Issue 10. (No response.)
11	Section 9 addresses exhibit list. Any
12	changes or corrections?
13	MR. MELSON: Commissioner Deason, as a
14	result of filing the supplemental testimony we've got
15	three additions to the exhibit list. They're now
16	for Mr. Moore is an RGM-2, which has been labeled
17	Schedule 3, Installed Cost Estimate for Smith Unit 3.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Other changes?
19	MR. MELSON: For Mr. Pope, there is now an
20	Exhibit WPF-2 which has been labeled Schedule 3,
21	Gulf's Future Reserves Beginning in 2002 With The
22	Addition of Smith Unit 3.
23	And similarly for Ms. Burke okay. Time
24	out just a minute.
25	(Slight pause in the proceedings was taken.)

MR. MELSON: On Ms. Burke's we need to 1 2 correct the numbering of the two exhibits that are There is a Schedule 1 that is MJB-1. 3 shown now. 4 Schedule 2 has actually been labeled in her testimony as MJB-2. 5 6 And then we have a new schedule -- a new 7 MJB-3, which is labeled Schedule 3, RFP Relative Ranking-Detailed Evaluation. 8 9 And in each case, Commissioner, the Schedule 3s are updates of the prior Schedule 2s to reflect the 10 11 impact of the increase in the number of megawatts. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 13 wish to get with Staff counsel and make sure that it's 14 correctly stated in the prehearing order. 15 MR. MELSON: We will do that. Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Section 10 addresses proposed stipulations and there are no 17 stipulations indicated. 18 Section 11, Pending Motions. There are no 19 20 pending motions at this time, is that correct? 21 MR. STONE: Confidential classification. 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 23 And Section 12 addresses rulings. And it 24 indicates there that there are requests outstanding

for confidential classification. And there may be

more as we proceed?

MR. STONE: Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Do the parties have any questions or clarifications on the prehearing order that we did not cover?

MR. MELSON: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Let me then proceed to my question that I asked earlier, and that being the possibility of a bench decision.

I asked the question because we've had some recent history with need determinations, some of the less controversial need determinations which have been dealt with in that manner. This -- it may be possible to deal with this one in a similar manner. It may not be. I'm interested in feedback from the parties and what Staff's position is, and if it is indicated that we -- the possibility exists, what procedural steps we need to take so that everyone is put on notice.

And I will just open it up. Anyone have any comments about that?

MR. MELSON: Commissioner, Gulf Power would welcome a bench vote if after the hearing the Commission is in a position to desire to do that. I think if you did vote from the bench, we would like the opportunity for a brief closing argument. And it

seems to me that simply providing in the prehearing order that a bench vote is a possibility would provide the parties adequate notice in the event the Commission made that decision.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. How much time would you need as a closing argument?

MR. MELSON: Ten minutes.

Ms. Kamaras.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ten minutes.

MS. KAMARAS: I'm not sure I share Gulf's views on the bench decision. I think there's maybe a little bit more to this case than meets the eye, particularly with the amended petition or supplemental information that's just been filed. And I'd like the opportunity to think about that. So, it does not give me a comfort zone.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Staff.

MS. JAYE: One Staff member has -- one Staff member has gone to seek some guidance from Mr. Jenkins. However, the initial indication I have from Staff collectively is that Staff believes it needs to present a rec. to the Commission rather than go with a bench vote, but we certainly could provide that, you know, should things prove to be less controversial than maybe everyone may seem to think at

this point. Or at least, you know, there would be a less need for a later recommendation. We could put something in the Prehearing Order to that effect.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let's do this then.

Let's indicate in the Prehearing Order that the possibility exists and that parties would be afforded ten minutes in closing arguments if the Commission chose to do so.

But also indicate in there that LEAF, at least at this point, opposes that procedure to at least advise the other Commissioners and they can take that under advisement and we'll -- at the conclusion of the hearing, we can make a -- ask the question as to how we should proceed at this point.

And I guess it would be necessary then for parties to at least be prepared to present their closing argument. And that way everyone is put on notice and one should not be caught by surprise. And then if the Commission so desires to have a Staff recommendation, well, it would be handled in manner, and we would not have closing argument. Is that sufficient?

MS. KAMARAS: Yes.

MR. MELSON: Fine.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well. Any

this time? Hearing none, this prehearing conference is concluded. Thank you all.  MR. MELBON: Thank you, Commissioner.  MR. STONE: Thanks.  (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:50 p.m.)   10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22	1	other matters to come before the prehearing officer at
MR. MELSON: Thank you, Commissioner.  MR. STONE: Thanks.  (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:50 p.m.)   9  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21	2	this time? Hearing none, this prehearing conference
5 MR. STONE: Thanks. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:50 p.m.)  8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	3	is concluded. Thank you all.
(Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:50 p.m.)   10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	4	MR. MELSON: Thank you, Commissioner.
7 1:50 p.m.)  8	5	MR. STONE: Thanks.
8	6	(Thereupon, the hearing concluded at
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	7	1:50 p.m.)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	8	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21	14	
17 18 19 20 21	15	
18 19 20 21	16	
19 20 21	17	
20   21	18	
21	19	
	1,	
22		
23		
24		
25	25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)  CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	I, KIMBERLY K. BERENS, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter,
4	<u>-</u>
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 990325-EI was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein
6	stated; it is further
7	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
8	transcribed by me; and that this transcript, consisting of 18 pages, constitutes a true
9	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	DATED this 21st day of May, 1999.
11	
12	
13	Limborly J. Berons
14	KIMBERLY K BERENS, CSR, RPR Florida Public Service Commission
15	Official Commission Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	