



# Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

## -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC  
59 JUN 24 AM 9:33  
RECORDS AND REPORTING

**DATE:** June 24, 1999

**TO:** DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAKER)

**FROM:** DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (WILLIAMS) *W*  
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (PENA) *CB*

**RE:** DOCKET NO. 981536-TI - APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE BY ONE TEL, INC.

**AGENDA:** 07/06/99 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

**CRITICAL DATES:** NONE

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** NONE

**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\CMU\WP\981536.JG

### CASE BACKGROUND

On November 5, 1998, One Tel, Inc. Filed an application for a certificate to provide interexchange telecommunications service in Florida. To date, the company has not submitted the required tariff information to have a complete application on file with this Commission.

Therefore, staff is recommending that One Tel, Inc.'s application for a certification to operate as an INTEREXCHANGE telecommunications service provider in Florida be denied.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07632 JUN 24 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

June 24, 1999

REVISED

STAFF DISCUSSION

ISSUE 1: Should a certificate be granted to ONE TEL, INC. to provide INTEREXCHANGE telecommunication service within the State of Florida?

RECOMMENDATION: No.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Rule 25-24.480, Tariffs, Florida Administrative Code, ONE TEL, INC.'s application does not satisfy our certification requirements.

As explained in the Case Background of this recommendation, ONE TEL, INC. has failed to forward to this Commission a completed tariff. Further, staff has requested in several phone conversations and by fax, dated March 16, 1999 (attachment) that this information needs to be provided. To date, nothing has been received.

Therefore, staff is recommending that this application be denied and that the docket be closed.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action. (Peña)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a proposed agency action order. If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.