

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JULY 27, 1999

RE: DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Resolution by Holmes County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service in Holmes County.

DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Request by Gilchrist County Commissioners for extended area service throughout Gilchrist County.

DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Resolution by the Orange County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Mount Dora exchange and the Apopka, Orlando, Winter Garden, Winter Park, East Orange, Reedy Creek, Windermere, and Lake Buena Vista exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Resolution by Bradford County Commission requesting extended area service within Bradford County and between Bradford County, Union County and Gainesville.

DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Request by Putnam County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Crescent City, Hawthorne, Orange Springs, and Melrose exchanges, and the Palatka exchange.

DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Request by Pasco County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all Pasco County exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Request for extended area service between all exchanges within Volusia County by Volusia County Council.

DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Resolution by the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all exchanges in Palm Beach County.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: GR DS CL

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures: Tom Garcia, Susan Clark, J. Jerry Leaso]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08912 JUL 28 99

VOTE SHEET

JULY 27, 1999

- DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Holmes County
- DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Gilchrist County
- DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Orange County
- DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Bradford County
- DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Putnam County
- DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Pasco County
- DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Volusia County
- DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Palm Beach County
- DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Polo Park

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Petition by the residents of Polo Park requesting extended area service (EAS) between the Haines City exchange and the Orlando, West Kissimmee, Lake Buena Vista, Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter Park, Clermont, Winter Garden and St. Cloud exchanges.

Issue 1: Is one-way ECS appropriate on the routes in question?

Recommendation: Staff believes that one-way ECS is appropriate for the routes for GTEFL, Sprint, and ALLTEL (see Attachments A and B to staff's July 15, 1999 memorandum). These routes should be implemented as soon as possible, but not to exceed ~~six~~ ^{eight} months from the issuance date of the order. Also, because of federal prohibitions, staff does not believe that one-way ECS is feasible for the BellSouth to BellSouth routes listed in Attachment C of staff's memorandum.

APPROVED

eight
as modified

Issue 2: If one-way ECS is appropriate, what rate, if any, should BellSouth charge to terminate ECS interLATA traffic for all carriers?

Recommendation: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, staff believes that BellSouth's terminating switched access rate is appropriate.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JULY 27, 1999

DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Holmes County
DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Gilchrist County
DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Orange County
DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Bradford County
DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Putnam County
DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Pasco County
DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Volusia County
DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Palm Beach County
DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Polo Park

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: If one-way ECS is ordered on the routes in question and a termination charge is deemed appropriate, what economic impact will this have on the originating LEC?

Recommendation: Based on the evidence in the record, staff does not believe that one-way ECS will have a significant economic impact on GTEFL or Sprint. According to ALLTEL's revised exhibit, one-way ECS will cost ALLTEL \$525,185 annually.

APPROVED

Issue 4: If one-way ECS is appropriate, what rate structure and rate levels should the LECs charge?

Recommendation: Staff believes that a usage sensitive rate structure is appropriate for one-way ECS for GTEFL, Sprint, and ALLTEL. Staff recommends \$.10 for the first minute and \$.06 for each additional minute for residential and business customers.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JULY 27, 1999

DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Holmes County
DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Gilchrist County
DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Orange County
DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Bradford County
DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Putnam County
DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Pasco County
DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Volusia County
DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Palm Beach County
DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Polo Park

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: If Issue 1 is approved, what dialing pattern should be implemented on the routes?

Recommendation: These routes should be implemented with 10- digit dialing, which is consistent with the Commission's decision in Order No. PSC-98-0597-FOF-TL in Docket No. 980048-TL (813 area code relief).

APPROVED

Issue 6: If Issue 1 is approved, how should the customers be informed that one-way ECS is available?

Recommendation: The companies should, at a minimum, inform their customers of one-way ECS by a detailed bill stuffer. The bill stuffer should include the rates, the routes, the NXXs involved, and the dialing pattern. A toll-free number should also be provided for customers desiring additional information or clarification.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JULY 27, 1999

DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Holmes County
DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Gilchrist County
DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Orange County
DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Bradford County
DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Putnam County
DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Pasco County
DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Volusia County
DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Palm Beach County
DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Polo Park

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 7: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: The Commission's decisions in Issues 1 - 4 for Docket Nos. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, and 930173-TL will be final decisions. Issues 5 and 6 are, however, proposed agency action. Therefore, if the Commission approves staff's recommendations in Issues 5-6, Dockets Nos. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, and 930173-TL should be closed, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of issuance of this Order. If no timely protest is filed, these Dockets should be closed upon issuance of the consummating order.

APPROVED