

Case Assignment and Scheduling Record

Section 1 - Division of Records and Reporting (RAR) Completes

Docket No. 990582-TP Date Docketed: 05/10/1999 Title: Request for approval of resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and VarTec Telecom, Inc. and Clear Choice Communications d/b/a VarTec Telecom, Inc.  
 Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.  
 VarTec Telecom, Inc. and Clear Choice Communicatio

Official Filing Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Last Day to Suspend: \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration: \_\_\_\_\_

Referred to: ADM AFA APP CAF (CMU) EAG GCL LEG RAR RRR WAW  
 ("(") indicates OPR) \_\_\_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_\_

Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to RAR in 10 workdays. Time Schedule

Program/Module A20(a)

Staff Assignments

OPR Staff \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Counsel \_\_\_\_\_  
OCRs ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT.  
 IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION.  
 FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770  
 Current CASR revision level**

0

Due Dates

Previous Current

1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
16.			
17.			
18.			
19.			
20.			
21.			
22.			
23.			
24.			
25.			
26.			
27.			
28.			
29.			
30.			
31.			
32.			
33.			
34.			
35.			
36.			
37.			
38.			
39.			
40.			

Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:

Full Commission \_\_\_\_\_ Commission Panel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Hearing Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ Staff \_\_\_\_\_

Date filed with RAR: \_\_\_\_\_

Initials: OPR \_\_\_\_\_  
 Staff Counsel \_\_\_\_\_

Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

Commissioners						Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		

- Prehearing Officer

Commissioners					ADM
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	

**DOCUMENT NO.**  
 15972-99

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case.  
 Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_



From: Kay Flynn  
To: Vic Cordiano  
Subject: fwd: 990582-TP

====NOTE=====5/12/99==4:09pm=====

CC: Catherine Bedell, Kimberley Pena

.....  
This is a new docket for approval of resale agreement by BellSouth. Company name on contract is VarTec Telecom, Inc. We have a certificated company by the name of VarTec Telecom, Inc. and Clear Choice Communications (two names in one). Were we correct to set the docket up using the certificated name?  
Kay

Fwd=by:=Vic=Cordiano==5/13/99=10:27am=====

Fwd to: Kay Flynn

.....  
The contract shows VarTec Telecom. If the full certificated name in CMS is a match, then the full certificated name in CMS should be used. If there is some doubt about what name to use, the first logical step would be to go to the source, i.e., contact the respective entities to find out who they really are.

Fwd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====5/13/99=10:30am=====

Fwd to: Vic Cordiano

.....  
True, but BellSouth had agreed to use the certificated name! And we can't take the time to call them about these questionable names because of our workload. Would we be correct to always use the certificated name, though it may differ slightly from what Bell has in the agreement?

Fwd=by:=Vic=Cordiano==5/13/99=10:43am=====

Fwd to: Kay Flynn

.....  
I understand. Yes, always use the full certificated name.

Fwd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====

Fwd to: Vic Cordiano

CC: Linda Williams, Amanda Fazio

.....  
Will do. Thanks.  
-----

DOCUMENT NO.  
15972-99