



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECORDS AND
REPORTING

JAN 20 PM 12:10

RECEIVED-PSC

DATE: JANUARY 20, 2000

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM: DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (WILLIAMS) *TKW*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (PENA) *WMP BIC*

RE: DOCKET NO. 990784-TI - APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE BY QUEST TELECOMMUNICATINS, INC.

AGENDA: 02/01/00 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMU\WP\990784.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On June 17, 1999, Quest Telecommunications, Inc. filed an application for a certificate to provide interexchange telecommunications service in Florida. To date, the company has not submitted the required tariff information to have a complete application on file with this Commission.

Therefore, staff is recommending that Quest Telecommunications, Inc.'s application for certification to operate as an interexchange telecommunications service provider in Florida be denied.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~00851~~ JAN 20 8

PLEASE RECYCLE CORRECTIVE

STAFF DISCUSSION

ISSUE 1: Should a certificate be granted to QUEST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. to provide interexchange telecommunication service within the State of Florida?

RECOMMENDATION: No.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Rule 25-24.480, Florida Administrative Code, QUEST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s application does not satisfy our certification requirements.

As explained in the Case Background of this recommendation, QUEST TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. has failed to submit a completed tariff to the Commission. Further, staff has requested in several phone conversations and by letter, dated December 9, 1999, that this information be provided. To date, nothing has been received.

Therefore, staff is recommending that this application be denied and that the docket be closed.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's Proposed Agency Action files a written protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the Proposed Agency Action. (Pena)

STAFF ANALYSIS: If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of the Consummating Order.