



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
00 FEB -3 AM 11:29  
RECORDS AND REPORTING

~~M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M~~

**DATE:** FEBRUARY 3, 2000

**TO:** DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

**FROM:** DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (WILLIAMS) *TEW*  
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (PENA) *knmp BK*

**RE:** DOCKET NO. 991840-TI - APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE BY EL RINCO DORADOBY d/b/a LEONEL MACEDO.

**AGENDA:** 02/15/00 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

**CRITICAL DATES:** NONE

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** NONE

**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\CMU\WP\991840.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On December 7, 1999, EL Rinco Doradoby d/b/a Leonel Macedo filed an application for a certificate to provide interexchange telecommunications service in Florida. To date, the company has not submitted the required filing fee, tariff, financial, managerial, and technical information to have a complete application on file with this Commission.

Therefore, staff is recommending that EL Rinco Doradoby d/b/a Leonel Macedo's application for a certificate to operate as an interexchange telecommunications service provider in Florida be denied.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01510 FEB-38

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

STAFF DISCUSSION

ISSUE 1: Should a certificate be granted to EL RINCO DORADOBY d/b/a LEONEL MACEDO to provide interexchange telecommunication service within the State of Florida?

RECOMMENDATION: No. (Williams)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Rule 25-24.471, Application for Certificate and 25-24.480, Tariffs, Florida Administrative Code, El Rinco Doradoby d/b/a Leonel Macedo's application does not satisfy our certification requirements.

As explained in the Case Background of this recommendation, El Rinco Doradoby d/b/a Leonel Macedo has failed to forward to this Commission a completed application and tariff. Further, in a letter dated January 4, 2000 (attached), staff requested this information be provided by January 28, 2000. To date, the information has not been received.

Therefore, staff is recommending that this application be denied and that the docket be closed.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's Proposed Agency Action files a written protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the Proposed Agency Action. (Pena)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a proposed agency action order. If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of the Consummating Order.