

Sandy Moses

To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Calvin Favors
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

The docket will be reclosed effective 3/31/00.

Sm
3/31/00

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2000 8:57 AM
To: Sandy Moses
Cc: Calvin Favors
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

Sandy, will you use this to reclose the docket? We can then place a copy of it in the docket file. Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Calvin Favors
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2000 8:28 AM
To: Sandy Moses; Karen Franklin
Cc: Kay Flynn; Kimberley Pena; Beth Keating; Jackie Gilchrist
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

After further discussion with Beth Keating, we do not believe that this docket needs to be reopened and the order vacated. When companies adopt agreements, they typically include all amendments to the agreement, some of which have not been approved in Florida. Therefore, we end up approving these amendments anyway. Because of this situation, BellSouth often times files all amendments to all agreements in all of its states. We have brought it to their attention, whenever we have found one. So while this is not necessarily ideal, I would not go through the effort of vacating the order. If we vacate this order, we will probably end up approving this same amendment in the future anyway. This is not the only amendment not originally intended for Florida that has been approved, so this really does not hurt us by having the amendment on record.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Moses
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2000 9:54 AM
To: Calvin Favors; Karen Franklin
Cc: Kay Flynn; Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

I agree with Kay. The action has been taken and must be vacated by Commission order. I'll reopen the docket based on these e-mails.

Calvin, Please file a revised CASR by 3/31/2000 to schedule agenda, etc.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2000 9:14 AM
To: Karen Franklin
Cc: Sandy Moses
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

AFA
APP
CAF
CMJ
CTR
EAG
LEG
MAS
OPC
PRR
SEC
WAW
OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04016 MAR 31 8

Fpsc-RECORDS/REPORTING

I'll defer to Sandy on this, but I believe you'd need to do a memo asking to reopen the docket to take back to agenda to vacate the order that approved the amendment. Sandy will advise.

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Franklin
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 6:07 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

I spoke with calvin, can we do a memo stating a filing error?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 4:33 PM
To: Karen Franklin
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

Doesn't sound good.

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Franklin
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 4:33 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

looking at the contents, it's only revising alabama and sc

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 4:32 PM
To: Karen Franklin
Subject: RE: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

Karen, I will have to let Calvin and Legal make the call on this one. I hope it wasn't an error since the order has been issued and the docket closed! Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Franklin
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2000 4:29 PM
To: Kay Flynn; Calvin Favors
Cc: Jackie Gilchrist
Subject: Docket 990613-TP, American Metrocomm

This appears to be an amendment to Alabama and SC agreements only, not florida. Should this one have been filed in error?