

**Kay Flynn**

---

To: Beth Keating  
Cc: Sandy Moses; Kimberley Pena  
Subject: RE: 991989-TX

Thank you. We will update events based on this information.

-----Original Message-----

From: Beth Keating  
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2000 10:53 AM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: RE: 991989-TX

It is my understanding that this is a result of a clarification from a phone call. The response is intended to be a protest of the cancellation.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2000 10:51 AM  
To: Beth Keating  
Subject: RE: 991989-TX

Not yet.

-----Original Message-----

From: Beth Keating  
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2000 10:18 AM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: RE: 991989-TX

Did you get a response?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2000 10:05 AM  
To: Kimberley Pena  
Cc: Kay Flynn; Sandy Moses; Paula Isler; Beth Keating  
Subject: RE: 991989-TX

Is this clarification the result of a telephone conversation you had with Ken Hoffman on 5/22, where he advised the 5/19 letter should be treated as a protest?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kimberley Pena  
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2000 9:57 AM  
To: Kay Flynn; Sandy Moses  
Cc: Paula Isler; Beth Keating  
Subject: 991989-TX

Please classify correspondence from Ken Hoffman, dated May 19, 2000, (Document No. 06254-00) as a Response to Order No. PSC-00-0844-PAA-TX, issued on April 28, 2000. CASR will be revised to reflect new schedule for the disposition of this matter. Thanks.

APP \_\_\_\_\_  
CAF \_\_\_\_\_  
CMP \_\_\_\_\_  
COM \_\_\_\_\_  
CTR \_\_\_\_\_  
ECR \_\_\_\_\_  
LEG \_\_\_\_\_  
OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
PAI \_\_\_\_\_  
RGO \_\_\_\_\_  
SEC \_\_\_\_\_  
SER \_\_\_\_\_  
OTH \_\_\_\_\_

*Done 5/25/00*

*fyi*

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE  
**06400 MAY 24 00**  
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING