

**Kay Flynn**

---

**To:** Martha Brown  
**Subject:** RE: order in 991222

Thanks for the explanation. I'll put this e-mail in the docket file.

-----Original Message-----

From: Martha Brown  
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 2:36 PM  
To: Kay Flynn  
Cc: Laura King  
Subject: RE: order in 991222

Kay, this should be issued as a PAA. These budget approval orders have always been issued as PAAs. This time the Commission voted to decrease the surcharge to telephone consumers, but next time the Commission could vote to increase the charge and in order to preserve the practice of giving consumers an opportunity to protest, we need to issue this one PAA, too. We overlooked this in the recc, although interested parties were permitted to participate at agenda.

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn  
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2000 2:20 PM  
To: Martha Brown  
Subject: order in 991222  
Importance: High

Martha, this docket was on the 5/16 ag (rather than 5/4); we'll correct the mentions in the order. Also, we'll add "Attachment A" to the first page of the attachment.

a question: Is this PAA? The notice language indicates it is. Does that need to be changed?

Kay

APP \_\_\_\_\_  
CAF \_\_\_\_\_  
CMP \_\_\_\_\_  
COM \_\_\_\_\_  
CTR \_\_\_\_\_  
ECR \_\_\_\_\_  
LEG \_\_\_\_\_  
OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
PAI \_\_\_\_\_  
RGO \_\_\_\_\_  
SEC   1    
SER \_\_\_\_\_  
OTH \_\_\_\_\_