

MARK E. BUECHELE

ATTORNEY AT LAW
P.O. BOX 398555
MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA
33239-8555

ORIGINAL

June 27, 2000

TELEPHONE
(305) 531-5286
FACSIMILE
(305) 531-5287

RECEIVED-FPSC
JUL 12 PM 1:47
RECORDS AND REPORTING

BLANCA BAYO
Director of Records & Reporting
Division of Records & Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
(850) 413-6770

Re: Supra v. BellSouth, Docket No. 980119-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and fifteen (15) copies of the Petitioner Supra Telecommunication & Information Systems, Inc.'s Motion To Strike BellSouth's Reply To Supra Telecom's Response And Opposition To BellSouth's Motion For Reconsideration. Please also find enclosed an original and fifteen (15) copies of the Petitioner Supra Telecommunication & Information Systems, Inc.'s Motion To Strike BellSouth's Motion For Reconsideration. Finally, please also find enclosed an extra copy of each filing, for which we request that you stamp with the filing date and return in the enclosed postage pre-paid, self-addressed envelopes.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at (305) 531-5286.

Sincerely,

Mark E. Buechele

- APP _____
 - CAF _____
 - CMP _____
 - COM 3
 - CTR _____
 - ECR _____
 - LEG 1
 - OPC _____
 - PAI 1
 - RGD 1
 - SEC _____
 - SER _____
 - OTH _____
- enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08444 JUL 12 88445 JUL 12 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Strike BS Reply Strike BS Motion

11, 2000 and April 24, 2000 Orders. Thereafter, Supra Telecom filed and served its Response And Opposition To BellSouth's Motion For Reconsideration And Request For Oral Argument.


5. On or about July 10, 2000, BellSouth filed its Reply To Supra Telecom's Response And Opposition To BellSouth's Motion For Reconsideration And Request For Oral Argument ("Reply Brief").

6. Rule 25-22.060(3), Florida Administrative Code governs motions for reconsideration of final orders. Likewise, Rule 25-22.0376(1), Florida Administrative Code, governs motions for reconsideration of non-final orders. Both rules only permit a motion for reconsideration and a response. Neither rule allows or authorizes the Reply Brief filed by BellSouth. Moreover, no reply is allowed or authorized by Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code. Accordingly, BellSouth's Reply Brief, is unauthorized and improper and thus should be stricken.

WHEREFORE, SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATION & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., respectfully requests that this Commission strike BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'s Reply To Supra Telecom's Response And Opposition To BellSouth's Motion For Reconsideration And Request For Oral Argument (dated July 10, 2000).

Respectfully Submitted this 11th day of July, 2000.


MARK E. BUECHELE, ESQ.
Supra Telecommunications &
Information Systems, Inc.
2620 S.W. 27th Avenue
Miami, FL 33133
Tel: (305) 476-4212
Fax: (305) 443-1078

By: 

MARK E. BUECHELE
Fla. Bar No. 906700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY Certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail upon NANCY WHITE, ESQ. (Attorney For BellSouth), 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; BETH KEATING, ESQ. (FPSC Staff), 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida; and AMANDA GRANT, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Regulatory & External Affairs, 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E., Room 38L64, Atlanta, Georgia 30375; this 11th day of July, 2000.

By: 
MARK E. BUECHELE
Fla. Bar No. 906700