BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into : DOCKET NO. 990649-TP pricing of unbundled : network elements.

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

DATE:

July 6, 2000

TIME:

Commenced at 9:34 a.m. Concluded at 11:15 a.m.

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way

Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY:

MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR

BUREAU OF REPORTING

RECEIVED 7- 13-00

08466 JUL 138

APPEARANCES:

ROSS BENNETT, ESQUIRE, and KIP EDENFIELD, ESQUIRE, 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300, Atlanta, Georgia 30375, on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

KIMBERLY CASWELL, ESQUIRE, One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33601-0110, on behalf of GTE Florida, Incorporated.

JON FONS, ESQUIRE, Ausley & McMullen, 227 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and CHARLES J. REHWINKEL, ESQUIRE, 1313 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-3021, on behalf of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated and Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership.

JOSEPH A. McGLOTHLIN, ESQUIRE, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A., 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of Florida Competitive Carriers Association and Z-Tel Communications, Inc.

JAMES LAMOUREUX, ESQUIRE, 1200 Peachtree Street, Suite 1200, Atlanta, Georgia 32309, on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., participating telephonically.

ED PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE, c/o Scott Sapperstein, 3625 Queen Palm Drive, Tampa, Florida 33619, on behalf of Intermedia Communications, Inc., participating telephonically.

DONNA CANZANO MCNULTY, ESQUIRE, 325 John Knox Road, The Atrium Building, Suite 105, Tallahassee, Florida 32303, and RICHARD D. MELSON, ESQUIRE, Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A., Post Office Box 6256, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, on behalf of MCI WorldCom, Inc.

RICHARD D. MELSON, ESQUIRE, Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A. Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, on behalf of Rhythms Links, Inc.

J. JEFFREY WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Ausley & McMullen, 227 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of ALLTEL Communications, Inc.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

MICHAEL A. GROSS, 310 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.

MICHAEL HAZZARD, ESQUIRE, Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP, 1200 Nineteenth Street N.W., Fifth Floor, Washington, D.C. 20036, on behalf of Z-Tel Communications, Inc.

KELLY KESTER, 7708 Balboa Street, Sunrise, Florida 33351, on behalf of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.

KAREN CAMECHIS, ESQUIRE, and PETER M. DUNBAR, ESQUIRE, Pennington Law Firm, Post Office Box 10095, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, on behalf of Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.

PATRICK WIGGINS, ESQUIRE, Wiggins & Villacorta, 2145 Delta Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32303, on behalf of DIECA Communication, Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company, and BlueStar Networks, Inc.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, WAYNE KNIGHT, ESQUIRE, and DIANA W. CALDWELL, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: We'll go on the
3	record. Counsel read the notice.
4	MS. KEATING: By notice issued June 27,
5	2000, this time and place have been set for a
6	prehearing conference in Docket No. 990649. The
7	purposes is as set forth in the notice.
8	COMMIȘSIONER JACOBS: Very well. We'll
9	take appearances.
10	MS. CASWELL: Kim Caswell, GTE Florida.
11	MR. ROSS: Bennett Ross on behalf of
12	BellSouth Telecommunications.
13	MR. FONS: John Fons with the Ausley law
14	firm on behalf of Sprint-Florida and Sprint
15	Communications Company Limited Partnership. Also
16	appearing will be Mr. Charles J. Rehwinkel.
17	MR. GROSS: Michael Gross on behalf of
18	FCTA.
19	MR. DUNBAR: Peter Dunbar on behalf of Time
20	Warner Telecom, also entering an appearance for Karen
21	Camechis on behalf of Time Warner Telecom.
22	MS. KESTER: Kelly Kester on behalf of
23	Supra Telecom.
24	MS. McNULTY: Donna McNulty on behalf of
25	MCI WorldCom.

Rick Melson on behalf of MCI MR. MELSON: 1 WorldCom and Rhythms Links, Inc. 2 MR. MCGLOTHLIN: Joe McGlothlin for the 3 4 FCCA. MR. WAHLEN: Jeff Wahlen of the Ausley & 5 McMullen law firm on behalf of ALLTEL Communications, 6 7 Inc. MR. WIGGINS: Patrick Wiggins on behalf of 8 9 Covad. MS. KEATING: And Beth Keating, Wayne 10 Knight, and Diana Caldwell on behalf of the Commission 11 Staff. 12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Very well. Staff, 13 14 are there any preliminary matters? MS. KEATING: The only preliminary matters 15 that we're aware of at this time, there are a few 16 outstanding motions, and I would suggest that we go 17 ahead and take those up at this time. 18 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Very good. As I understand it. there is a motion for -- by Supra. 20 Would you like to explain that one to us? For 21 extension of time to file rebuttal. As I understand 22 it, there's no discussion about that, is there? 23 MS. KEATING: There were no responses 24

25

filed.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: None here? We'll 1 2 grant that. The next one I have is BellSouth's motion 3 for a protective order. As I understand it, no 4 responses to that one either. Any discussion on that 5 here? 6 Okay. We'll go ahead and grant that. 7 Next we have a motion by Sprint to accept 8 supplemental direct. And I understand no responses to 9 that one either. 10 MR. FONS: No response to that. 11 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. None here? 12 That motion is granted. 13 And then lastly, a motion by Sprint for 14 1.5 extension of time to file cost studies. Any discussion on that? 16 Okay. That motion is granted. 17 Before we move on, I just remembered that 18 there are parties participating by phone, and I don't 19 think we got their appearances. We may want to do 20 21 that now. 22 MR. LAMOUREUX: This is Jim Lamoureux 23 representing AT&T. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry. The last 24 25 name? I'm sorry.

MR. LAMOUREUX: Lamoureux, 1 2 L-a-m-o-u-r-e-u-X. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: And this is Edward Phillips 4 on behalf of Intermedia Communications. 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Great. 6 MR. FONS: Commissioner Jacobs, before we 7 go off of the pending motions, Sprint will be filing a 8 motion tomorrow to accept additional supplemental 9 direct testimony which will be correcting some of the 10 cost studies, so we'll just alert the parties to that 11 12 now. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. We can do that 13 by order, by subsequent order, I assume. 14 15 MR. FONS: Thank you. MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs, could I 16 17 ask, that relates to Part II of this proceeding rather than Part I? 18 MR. FONS: Yes, it relates to Phase II of 19 20 the proceeding. 21 Now, I also see that COMMISSIONER JACOBS: there are several requests for confidentiality that 22 23 are outstanding. Unless there is a pressing need 24 presently to resolve those, we'll let those be resolved by order, by subsequent order from Staff. 25

1 | Any concerns on that?

Great. It's moving along very well so far.

For those parties who may be new, the essence of what we would like to do today is to go through the Draft Prehearing Order and ensure that it comports with the parties' positions on the issues and the order of witnesses is correct. So if there's no other preliminary matters, we'll go ahead and do that now.

MR. GROSS: Commissioner Jacobs, excuse me a minute. It's likely that you would have gotten to this issue, and I apologize if I'm disrupting the order of events.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's fine.

MR. GROSS: But there are several parties who are not listed in the appearances section, including FCTA and Time Warner. And significantly, FCTA's witness, Bill Barta, is not listed on the witness list. Neither his name nor his exhibits are listed, so there's a significant omission in that regard.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If they've entered an appearance, we need to go ahead and get them on the appearance list. It's Time Warner, and who was it?

1	FTCA? You're from FTCA.
2	MR. GROSS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.
4	MS. KEATING: We have made the appearances
5	corrections, but it looks like they haven't been
6	corrected yet on the witness list. But they are on
7	the appearance list for the version that was handed
8	out today.
9	MR. GROSS: Excuse me. Bill Barta is
10	omitted from the witness list.
11	MS. KEATING: That's what I just said.
12	we'll make those corrections.
13	MR. GROSS: Okay. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Please give me your
1 5	name again.
16	MR. ROSS: Bennett Ross.
17	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Bennett Ross. And
18	you'll be added with Ms. White for
19	MR. ROSS: Yes, yes. Along with Kip
20	Edenfield.
21	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Great. Any other
22	changes to the appearances?
23	Very well. And we'll deal with the order
24	of the testimony when we get to that section,
25	Mr. Gross.

1 Next we have the boilerplate language in conduct of proceedings in Section I of the Prehearing 2 order. 3 If there's no correction there, we'll move 4 to the case background in Section II. Any revisions 5 to that section? 6 Hearing none, we move to Section III, 7 procedure for handling confidential information, which 8 9 is pretty much standard language. If there are no modifications, next is 10 Section IV, post-hearing procedures, again, standard. 11 12 MS. MCNULTY: Commissioner Jacobs, this is 13 Donna McNulty. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. 14 MS. MCNULTY: The post-hearing procedures, 15 will that section be modified? Because it's my 16 17 understanding that we will be filing a brief after the conclusion of Phase II and not after the July hearing. 18 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That is true. MS. KEATING: That's correct. 20 21 MS. McNULTY: Thank you. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So we'll -- Staff, 22 23 we'll give them leeway to put language in, essentially stating that briefs are not due until the conclusion 24

of the hearings in September.

25

1	MS. KEATING: Is there any concern about
2	the page length?
3	MS. MCNULTY: Probably at the yes, for
4	the conclusion of Phase II. I suspect we'll probably
5	all want a little bit we'll probably want more than
6	50 words and probably want more than 40 pages.
7	Perhaps we should address that at the next
8	prehearing conference for Phase II.
9	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I don't see any need
10	to do it now. It probably will be better I guess
11	at that time we'll have a fuller appreciation for what
12	the issues are, the contested issues are.
13	MS. MCNULTY: And also at that time, the
14	parties will have taken their positions on those other
15	issues, and it might be more clear.
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.
17	MS. MCNULTY: Thank you.
18	MS. KEATING: If you would like, we can
19	just completely eliminate this section.
20	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: From this Prehearing
21	Order?
22	MS. KEATING: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes, I guess we can
24	do that. I don't think it will be a problem.
25	MR. FONS: Commissioner Jacobs, again,

would it be appropriate that this Prehearing Order specifically state that it addresses only the prehearing matters concerned with Phase I of this proceeding?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Given that we're going to remove this section, I would like to do that. I think that's a good idea.

Okay. Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits and witnesses, the procedure. Any changes there?

Then Section VI, order of witnesses.

Okay. We heard that there is at least one new witness, but let's look at the order as we have it now. Any revisions or modifications?

MR. ROSS: Mr. Commissioner, this is
Bennett Ross on behalf of BellSouth. It would be our
intent to have Mr. James Stegeman testify on behalf of
BellSouth in Phase II of this proceeding after Daonne
Caldwell. Since Mr. Stegeman is really the sponsor of
BellSouth's loop model, it would probably make sense
to have that testimony earlier in the presentation
rather than at the end.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MR. MELSON: I guess I was a little

confused. There are a number of witnesses I think indicated by asterisks who have both Phase I and Phase II testimony. And as Mr. Ross pointed out, I guess there are some witnesses with only Phase II testimony. Is the intent to put all of that testimony into the record at this time or only the portions that deal with the Phase I issues?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I would love for it all to go in, but I don't know if that's agreeable. If you're not going to want to modify or revise testimony from now until the time of our second hearing, then I think the more time it's in the record, the better. I mean, the least bit of time we can have to deal with that at the second hearing, the better.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs --

MS. KEATING: I'm sorry. I was just going to explain. It would be helpful for us, I think, to clarify how you prefer to have these in there, because some parties filed prehearing statements that included every witness that they had filed testimony for. Others only filed prehearing statements with the witnesses that they thought would be in this phase of the proceeding. So the way we put together the Draft Prehearing Order was based on the various prehearing

statements. But it would probably be better to take out the witnesses that aren't going to be testifying at all in Phase I.

do. Particularly since we're going to expressly state that this order has to do with the first hearing, I think that's probably a wise course to do. However, if the parties can stipulate to testimony from the second phase now, I would highly encourage that.

We'll leave that at your discretion. Or if you want to put your testimony in now for the second hearing, again, I would encourage that. But that would be by your express request. I wouldn't want that to happen outside of that.

MR. FONS: Commissioner Jacobs, does that mean that if we're going to put all the testimony in in Phase I that we'll have to bring our witnesses to Tallahassee for that purpose?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I would think that we would only want to do that for stipulated testimony. In other words, if someone is going to want to cross examine your witness from Phase II, I would want that probably all to happen in context. I would think it would be a problem for a party trying to arrange their cross examination from one phase to the other. That's

why I suggest if it's stipulated testimony, it would be wise to do that.

But if you guys can agree on a process that will get that done, I think I'm -- my first priority is that we make sure that we can conclude the hearings, and what I see now is great time pressure on the second phase, so that's why my caveats. If we can do things now that will help us to conserve time when we come back in September, I'm all in favor of that. But outside of that, I don't want to interject more confusion than need be.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs, since neither the ALEC testimony for second hearing nor the ILEC rebuttal testimony for the second hearing has been filed, at least on behalf of my clients, I think it would be premature to stipulate to any witnesses that deal with Phase II issues. So I'm not sure, unfortunately, there's any way to avoid --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You can do that.

MR. FONS: May I make a suggestion? This will probably be the most difficult part of the prehearing conference that we have to deal with.

Could we have about ten minutes so the parties could get together and see how we might work this out rather than debating it one at a time?

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Do you think that would be worthwhile? I'm not pressed for time at all. 2 we can do that. we'll go off the record then. 3 4 (Short recess.) COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Counsel, would you 5 like to explain to us or walk us through the process? 6 7 MS. KEATING: If I can. The parties have 8 agreed to combine direct and rebuttal, and the order of witnesses will be BellSouth's witnesses first, with 9 varner, then Caldwell, Billingsley, Cunningham, 10 Milner: then GTE's witnesses will follow with Trimble, 11 12 Sovereign, Jacobson, Norris; and then Sprint's witnesses, Dickerson, Sichter, Quackenbush, Holmes; 13 AT&T and MCI WorldCom's witness Hirshleifer will. 14 Follow, then King, Majoris; Supra's witnesses Nelson 15 and Bentley; then Z-Tel's witness Ford; then the Data 16 17 ALECs' witness Murray; then FCTA's witness Barta. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: The witness's name 18 19 again? 20 MS. KEATING: Barta. 21 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: B-a-r-t-a? 22 MS. KEATING: Uh-huh. 23 we're going to take out any witnesses that 24 only have testimony applicable to Phase II. 25 COMMISSIONER JACOBS:

MS. KEATING: And then the parties are also going to get back to me on striking or making a clear indication as to the issues in Phase I that the witnesses are going to testify, for those that are going to be appearing for this phase.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Great.

MS. KEATING: They've also asked for an indication in the order that while Phase II testimony may be entered into the record, any objections regarding Phase II testimony will be reserved until the Phase II prehearing conference.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MR. FONS: As well as cross examination.

MS. KEATING: As well as cross examination at the hearing.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Good. That is agreeable to all the parties? Great. That works.

Okay.

MS. McNULTY: Commissioner Jacobs?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MS. MCNULTY: Hi. This is Donna McNulty.

I would like to request that our witness, John

Hirshleifer, since he's flying in from California, if

he could be taken on a day certain, perhaps Tuesday,

25 we sure would appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Well, that's 1 difficult to tell. I think he will be at least the 2 third day. That seems --3 MS. McNULTY: So Tuesday or Thursday -- I 4 mean Tuesday or Wednesday? 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes. 6 MS. MCNULTY: Okay. But just not Monday. 7 we sure do appreciate that. 8 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's no problem. 9 So we can expressly excuse him for Monday. And 10 11 Tuesday? MS. MCNULTY: Well, we were hoping he 12 would be here for Tuesday. 13 14 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Tuesday and 15 Wednesday, or just Tuesday? MS. MCNULTY: Tuesday will be preferable. 16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. If that's 17 18 agreeable, then we'll -- if we haven't gotten to him by the afternoon on Tuesday, then we'll just bring him 19 up out of order if that's okay with the parties. 20 21 okay. 22 MS. McNULTY: Thank you very much. MR. McGLOTHLIN: Commissioner, just for the 23 sake of completeness, during the discussion off the 24 record, we also agreed that for Phase II testimony, 25

the witness's summary, as well as objections and 1 cross, would be deferred until the second hearing. 2 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: The witness summary? 3 4 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So for all those 5 witnesses testifying in Phase I, they're not going to 6 give a summary of their testimony? 7 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Those witnesses that have 8 testimony prefiled for Phase II --9 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Oh, I'm sorry. 10 MR. McGLOTHLIN: They'll summarize that 11 portion of it in the second hearing. 12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I understand. okay. 13 14 Very well. So that takes care of, it sounds like, all 15 the issues regarding order of testimony, and we're 16 going to -- in the final Prehearing Order, we'll have **17** listed the specific issues in Phase I that witnesses 18 19 will testify to. Great. That takes us to Section VII, basic 20 21 positions. And I'll just ask any of the parties if there are any modifications to your respective 22 23 positions. Hearing none, we go now to Section VIII, 24

25

which is --

MS. KEATING: Actually, Commissioner, I 1 2 believe ALLTEL filed revised positions. MR. WAHLEN: Yes. This is Jeff Wahlen. We 3 did not change our basic position. We did submit -- I 4 have not filed these. I will if you wish. But I have 5 revised positions for Section VIII, and rather than 6 going through them individually issue by issue, I 7 would just like to have those reflected in the 8 Prehearing Order when it's issued. 9 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If there's no 10 11 objection, then we can grant that. 12 MR. ROSS: Commissioner Jacobs? COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes. 13 14 MR. ROSS: BellSouth has one change to its 15 position on Issue 7. Now, are we -- I had 16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: anticipated going issue by issue, but if --17 18 MS. CASWELL: I do have a change on Issue 19 5. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. If you would, 20 21 defer that until we get to that. Okay. Let's go to Issue 5, then. Any 22 modifications to the parties' positions? 23 MS. CASWELL: Yes. For GTE, Commissioner, 24 25 GTE would like to delete the last sentence of its

1 position on Issue 5, beginning with, "If the Commission wishes to set rates." That whole sentence 2 3 would go. 4 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: MS. CASWELL: Thank you. 5 MR. MELSON: Commission Jacobs? 6 7 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: MR. MELSON: On Issue 5, the Data ALECS' 8 position, where we say, "Adopt FCCA position," since 9 it's now being called the FCCA Group, I would ask that 10 we change it to, "Adopt FCCA Group position." And I 11 would have the same request on two or three other 12 13 items where we adopted FCCA. 14 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Those were 15 changes on Issue 5. 16 Issue 6. And we'll note the same change -- well, we 17 don't have that here, do we? Okay. 18 19 No changes to Issue 6. 20 Issue 7. 21 MR. ROSS: BellSouth has a change to its position on Issue 7b, and the change would be to 22 strike the word "rate" on the first line and insert 23 the following, "inputs to the BellSouth cost study." 24

So the sentence would now read, "The appropriate

25

depreciation inputs to the BellSouth cost study are those contained in BellSouth's 2000 Florida depreciation study."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Any other changes in Issue 7?

Issue 8. I'm sorry. It's Issue 9, Issue 9b.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs, Rick I'm not sure if this is the appropriate time to raise it, but our position on Rhythms -- the Data ALECS' position on Issue 9b is that line sharing, although BellSouth has filed some cost studies on line sharing, by virtue of the earlier stipulation between the parties that was approved by your order last December that line sharing is not part of this proceeding, it's really a Phase II issue rather than a Phase I issue. But we need some clarification, we believe, at this point as to whether it is in or out, because as it's presently structured, we understand that issue is not in this proceeding at all and don't intend to file testimony on that issue on July 24th. But if we are under a mistaken impression, we need to know it now.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What procedural posture are we in regarding line sharing?

MS. KEATING: Well, Staff agrees that line sharing was not indicated to be a part of Phase I of this proceeding, but Mr. Melson is correct that BellSouth has included some line sharing items in its cost study.

MS. CASWELL: Commissioner, for the record, GTE agrees that line sharing is not part of this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What --

MR. ROSS: Commissioner Jacobs --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Go ahead.

MR. ROSS: The stipulation clearly provides that line sharing is not part of this proceeding. However, that was before we bifurcated this proceeding and established the second phase in September. And I've already talked to Mr. Melson about this. It was my understanding that the Data CLECs were anxious to resolve line sharing issues and were more than prepared and more than willing to address line sharing in Phase II if that's the collective desire of the industry. If that's not the collective desire of the industry, that's fine. We can have another proceeding sometime next year to deal with line sharing.

However, some of the cost studies that BellSouth has submitted are relevant to general access sharing. We have submitted, for example, cost studies for splitters, which it's my understanding that a CLEC that wants to collocate a DSLAM, for example, at one of our remote terminals would need a splitter in order to make use of its DSLAM. Well, we need to have the cost of the splitter, so we've submitted cost studies.

So whether or not the Commission makes any final determinations about line sharing, there are still some costs that need to be established that may overlap with line sharing that I think are still germane to what we're doing in Phase II.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Do you have something, Staff?

MS. KEATING: Well, I do want to point out that line sharing wasn't in the stipulation for either phase. I don't know if the parties have discussed that or are looking at altering their stipulation.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think we're in agreement that our order won't address prices or the bundling status of line sharing. The fact that the cost information has been filed, I think the only question would be does it bias, and probably the only one it might bias would be if they were held to those

1 dollars.

Mr. Fons?

_

MR. FONS: Sprint has not filed a cost study for line sharing, so there would be no cost study on which to base any rates for line sharing for Sprint in either Phase I or Phase II of this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MR. FONS: So the only --

MS. CASWELL: And -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. FONS: The only ILEC that has filed anything on line sharing is BellSouth.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: To Mr. Melson's point, I think we are clear that in neither phase of this proceeding are we anticipating issuing an order addressing line sharing. And unless there's some party who perceives that they're biased by the testimony that has been filed, we won't strike it. We'll leave it in. Is that reasonable?

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs, Mr. Ross has made a new point today that I don't fully understand the technical implications of about line sharing splitters. We'll be prepared by the time of the next prehearing conference, if we think that is related only to line sharing, to raise that in a

motion to strike, and if we think it's properly in, to not make an objection. But I would think we would deal with those probably at the next prehearing conference. But just -- if I understand your ruling, it is that the line sharing issues per se are not in either phase of this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Correct, correct.

Okay. With that clarification, there's still no modifications to Issue 9b? We can leave it as it stands.

Very well. Then we'll move to Issue 13.
Any changes to Issue 13?

Very well. That takes care of all the issues.

Issue 9, or Section IX, rather, the exhibit list. I assume that there will be some changes here, because we took some testimony out; right?

MR. ROSS: Commissioner Jacobs, I think the understanding of the parties was that, at least with respect to BellSouth, that all of the testimony and all the exhibits, even as they relate to Phase II issues, would come in in Phase I subject to objection, cross examination, and the like in Phase II for Phase II issues.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's fine. If

there's no objection to that, that will work. 1 2 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And that's for witnesses who have overlapping testimony, not for just Phase II 3 4 witnesses; correct? MR. ROSS: I'm sorry? I'm sorry. I didn't 5 hear that. 6 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your statement refers to 7 8 those witnesses who have testimony in Phase I and 9 Phase II? 10 MR. ROSS: Yes. 11 MR. MCGLOTHLIN: And does not relate to witnesses who have testimony only in Phase II. 12 MR. ROSS: That's correct. We will strike 13 14 the witnesses that we've already removed from the **15** witness list in the original section, and obviously 16 remove their exhibits as well. Mr. Page, 17 Mr. Stegeman, and Mr. Reid would not appear in 18 Phase I. 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: All right. That 20 should be a fairly easy process to follow. 21 So with that clarification, any other revisions to the exhibit list? 22 23 Great. We have no stipulations pending at 24 Staff? this time.

25

MS. KEATING: Can I ask one question of

Mr. Gross? Did Mr. Barta have any exhibits? 1 2 MR. GROSS: Yes, he had exhibits, and they were attached to his prefiled testimony. 3 Do you have the -- do COMMISSIONER JACOBS: 4 you want to just go ahead and identify those here, and 5 you can give the descriptions later. Do you have the 6 ID number for those available to you? 7 MR. GROSS: Yes, I do. 8 MS. CASWELL: Commissioner Jacobs? 9 10 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes. 11 MS. CASWELL: I wonder if the parties might 12 be agreeable to again taking about 10 or 15 minutes. I think there are a couple of issues that might be 13 14 resolved by stipulation, and one or two witnesses' 15 testimonies that might be stipulated into the record. 16 And if we could have that time, maybe we could save 17 some time later. 18 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think that would be

reasonable. If I may, since we're about done with this, why don't we go ahead and finish out these last couple of sections, and then we'll go off the record, and I'll just be available when you're done.

MR. GROSS: Commissioner Jacobs,

Mr. Barta's exhibits are WJB-1 through WJB-5.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. And you'll

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prepare the descriptions. We already have the Prehearing Order -- I'm sorry, the prehearing statement. And we discussed the motions, confidentiality. Great.

Are there any other matters on the Draft

Prehearing Order that need to be brought up outside of
the discussion on those potential stipulations?

Great. Then we'll go off the record for -I'm flexible. We can say up to 30 minutes. And you
can just call me back.

(Short recess.)

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: All right. We're on the record. You want to walk us through what -- now, as I understand it, we were going to address the proposed stipulations. Is that where we are?

MS. KEATING: That's correct. And I think the parties actually have a better understanding of where they're at, so I would like to turn it over to Mr. Ross.

MR. ROSS: Commissioner Jacobs, we've made some progress, and hopefully by the end of the day we'll make considerably more.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Fine. Good news.

MR. ROSS: As of right now, the parties have agreed to stipulate into the record the prefiled

testimony of the following witnesses: For BellSouth, Dr. Randall Billingsley; for GTE Florida, Mr. Gregory Jacobson.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Give me just a moment. I'm sorry. Okay. So for BellSouth, Mr. Billingsley.

MR. ROSS: Right. For GTE, Mr. Jacobson; for Sprint, Mr. Quackenbush; and for AT&T and MCI WorldCom, Mr. Hirshleifer.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MR. ROSS: All of those four witnesses deal with the issue of cost of capital.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MR. ROSS: Most of the other parties are prepared to stipulate into the record all of the testimony, with the exception of the witnesses who deal with the issue of depreciation, which would be Mr. Cunningham, Mr. Sovereign, Mr. Dickerson, and Mr. Majoros.

MR. GROSS: That should include Mr. Barta also.

MR. ROSS: Well, BellSouth is willing to stipulate to Mr. Barta in any event, but if the Association wants to bring Mr. Barta to appear, that's fine.

MR. GROSS: On the condition of the waiver of cross, we would agree to stipulate his testimony in.

MR. ROSS: Okay. So the hope is that by the end of day, all the parties will agree that those will be the only four witnesses who will have to appear live in person a week from Monday.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Wow.

MR. ROSS: The hangup here is that Supra and Z-Tel have not been able to get in touch with the decision-makers who can approve that proposal. So we're kind of in limbo right now, but hopefully we'll get that approval and be able to streamline the proceeding.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. But we can -for today's purposes, we can finalize the stipulation
as to Mr. Billingsley, Jacobson, Quackenbush, and
Hirshleifer?

MR. ROSS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And then we'll leave the other one pending, but we can get that finalized, and it will be included in the final order.

MR. ROSS: I believe both Z-Tel and Supra have indicated that they will have an answer by the end of day.

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Great, great.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Just a bit of housekeeping. I've been authorized to enter an appearance on behalf of Z-Tel, Joe McGlothlin. I made an appearance earlier. Let me enter the appearance also of Michael Hazzard of the Kelly Drye & Warren law firm for Z-Tel. Z-Tel participated with the FCCA and others in a joint prehearing statement, so their positions have been discussed. And I will undertake to confer with Mr. Hazzard before the day is over as to whether Z-Tel is in a position to participate and

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. That sounds reasonable.

defer to the stipulation.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Jacobs?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MR. MELSON: Rick Melson. Just so we're perfectly clear, the stipulation to Dr. Billingsley's testimony includes an agreement by Bellsouth to make some very minor cross-reference changes and wording changes that they will make when they enter that testimony at the hearing. But the stipulation does come with a couple of minor changes.

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okav. You want to 2 reserve until the testimony is entered? 3 I expect when Mr. Ross MR. MELSON: 4 sponsors the testimony that he will call out the 5 changes that we've agreed to. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: 7 MR. ROSS: That's correct. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I missed the name of 8 9 the fourth witness that we will be bringing to 10 testify. I have Mr. Cunningham, Sovereign, Dickerson, 11 and who was the fourth one? 12 MR. ROSS: Mr. Majoros on behalf of AT&T 13 and MCI WorldCom. 14 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Very well. 15 MR. ROSS: As far as Mr. Dickerson is 16 concerned, I'm not sure that any of the parties have 17 any cross examination for Mr. Dickerson. 18 Sprint's witness, and I'll leave that to Mr. Fons. Ιt 19 may not be necessary for him to appear, but 20 depreciation as an issue I think will require live 21 testimony. 22 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Very well. 23 Very well. 24 MR. WIGGINS: Commissioner? Over here. 25

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MR. WIGGINS: I would like to enter an appearance for BlueStar Networks, Inc. Covad, BlueStar, and Rhythms are jointly sponsoring a witness. It would probably have been more useful if I had done that earlier. I apologize.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MR. WIGGINS: Thank you.

MS. KEATING: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MS. KEATING: Could I just make sure that Staff's position is understood? With regard to any witness's testimony that's going to be stipulated into the record and any witnesses that are going to be excused, Staff would want an agreement that all the deposition transcripts for those witnesses could go in, as well as any discovery responses to interrogatories and PODs that may be relevant to that testimony and to the issues that are addressed.

Also, there is still some outstanding discovery, so Staff is going to have to go back and check for some of these witnesses as to whether or not we might have cross for some of them.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.

MS. KEATING: But we are going to be checking on that, and hopefully we'll be able to

discuss this further tomorrow with the parties.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. And then if there's any need to expedite that, I'll be happy to work with you on that.

I think it's important to acknowledge that this is very helpful, and I congratulate the parties on working to come up with these stipulations.

With that, are there any other matters to come before us today?

MR. ROSS: Commissioner Jacobs, just two matters. One is relatively minor.

BellSouth is continuing to experience a problem with getting service of some of the pleadings that are being filed by the parties. My recollection is that the scheduling order requires that substantive pleadings be filed by Federal Express or served via Federal Express. We're getting about half of the stuff that's actually being filed with the Commission. I would just ask all the parties to try to be a little more diligent in making sure that BellSouth is served with pleadings, particularly objections to our discovery requests, the vast majority of which we never received.

The other issue, Commissioner Jacobs, concerns discovery. We served discovery several weeks

ago dealing with depreciation issues primarily, the responses to which are due today and tomorrow.

Based upon the preliminary objections, it is my belief, although I hope this is not the case, that the parties have little, if any, intent to actually answer the questions that are being asked of them. And it's also my expectation that Bellsouth is going to have to file a motion to compel. We would like to go ahead and at least make some arrangements for filing that motion for setting a time frame by which the ALECs would have to respond to that motion and then have an opportunity to get that motion resolved prior to the hearing, ideally.

If that's not possible, we would like the opportunity, even though the issues are going to be addressed in Phase I, to be able to supplement the record either at Phase II or some other time, if a motion to compel is granted, to allow us to introduce any discovery responses into the record.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. So the essence of it would be -- your remedy would be to finalize your discovery and then enter that into the record in Phase II.

MR. ROSS: That's correct. If the motion to compel is granted, and let's just take AT&T, has to

actually give us the depreciation lives that they're using, then we would be able to introduce that discovery response into the record in Phase II, even though the issue of depreciation was heard in Phase I.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, that's going to be associated with your witness's testimony, or will the witness -- the witness for which you would introduce that, will they have appeared in Phase I?

MR. ROSS: It's possible that the witness would have appeared, but it may very well be possible that no witness would appear. For example,
Mr. Majoros, it's my recollection, is AT&T and MCI's depreciation witness. I don't believe he's an employee of either AT&T or MCI, so he may technically not be even answering the discovery that we have asked, which is what lives is AT&T actually using. So it may be there's no witness necessarily who's going to appear.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And support that.

MR. ROSS: But these are parties, and these are sworn discovery responses, and they should be considered in the record as evidence, we believe.

MS. KEATING: Could I point out, frankly, Staff may be in the same position with some of our discovery as well. We have received objections to

some our discovery. We're working through, or we have worked through a number of them with the parties. But we could be in the same situation that BellSouth finds itself in.

MS. CASWELL: And GTE is in the same situation as well. We've served discovery on depreciation issues on almost all the other parties in the proceeding, and we've received almost nothing, so we may also have to file motions to compel.

a bit premature in concluding what we may find ourselves. I guess what I would like to do, though, is to encourage the parties to be very diligent. I know you will anyway. And to the extent that we can avoid the procedural issues that will arise in the event that we can't get this resolved, it would be much appreciated, and I think it would be much to your interest to resolve these as early as possible.

I'll say this. If there's a need to do any kind of emergency proceeding to deal with any motion to compel and that sort of thing, I'll be fairly flexible next week to do that. So having said that, I'll leave that to your good discretion.

MR. ROSS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any other matters to

come before us today? Well, great. Again, I thank you. I think this is indicative of a very cooperative effort, and I look forward to us going through these hearings in the same manner. Thank you. This prehearing is adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 11:15 a.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF LEON)
5	
6	I, MARY ALLEN NEEL, do hereby certify that the
7	foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time
8	and place therein designated; that my shorthand notes
9	were thereafter transcribed under my supervision; and
10	that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 39 are a
11	true and correct transcription of my stenographic
12	notes.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
15	or relative or employee of such attorney or counsel,
16	or financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 11th day of July, 2000.
18	
19	
20	$M_{\alpha} \sim M_{\alpha} = 1$
21	MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR
22	100 salem Court Tallahassee, Florida 32301
23	(850) 878-2221
24	
25	