



Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: July 25, 2000
TO: All Parties and Interested Persons
FROM: Diana W. Caldwell, Staff Counsel *DWC*
RE: Docket No. 000075-TP - Investigation into appropriate methods to compensate carriers for exchange of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

A second issue identification conference is scheduled for the following time and place:

Time and Date: 9:30 a.m., Thursday, August 3, 2000
Place: Room 152, Betty Easley Conference Center
4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, FL

A call in number has also been obtained for those persons who cannot attend the conference. A limited number of ports has been obtained, therefore, staff requests that any party calling in be prompt. The number to call in is (850) 410-0966 or Suncom (850) 210-0966.

Attached is the list of issues agreed upon at the July 13, 2000, issue identification conference. Those issues are 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9. Staff has also included the remaining issues (numbers 4, 7, and 8) to be discussed at the next issue identification conference in the order they believe is most appropriate for consideration.

Please note also that Chairman Deason has moved the hearing dates from January 17 - 19, 2001, to March 7 - 9, 2001.

If you have any questions about this meeting, please call me at (850) 413-6175 or Anne Marsh at (850) 413-6554.

DWC

Attachment

c: Division of Records and Reporting
Division of Competitive Services (Marsh)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08957 JUL 25 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

000123

Docket No. 000075-TP - Investigation into appropriate methods to compensate carriers for exchange of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

The following is a list of the issues (issues 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9) as agreed to in the July 13, 2000, issue identification meeting/teleconference. In addition, issues numbered 4, 7, and 8 are to be discussed at the next issue identification meeting on August 3, 2000. Staff has included those issues (numbered 4, 7, and 8 and designated with a "*") in the order it believes is appropriate.

1. (a) Does the Commission have the jurisdiction to adopt an intercarrier compensation mechanism for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?
(b) If so, does the Commission have the jurisdiction to adopt such an intercarrier compensation mechanism through a generic proceeding?
2. Is delivery of ISP-bound traffic subject to compensation under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996?
3. What actions should the Commission take, if any, with respect to establishing an appropriate compensation mechanism for ISP-bound traffic in light of current decisions and activities of the courts and the FCC?
- 4.* What policy considerations should inform the Commission's decision in this docket? (Including, for example, how the compensation mechanism will affect ALECs' competitive entry decisions; cost recovery issues and implications; economically efficient cost recovery solutions in the short term and in the long term.)
5. Is the Commission required to set a cost-based mechanism for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?
6. What factors should the Commission consider in setting the compensation mechanisms for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?
- 7.* Should intercarrier compensation for delivery of ISP-bound traffic be limited to carrier and ISP arrangements involving circuit-switched technologies?
- 8.* How can ISP-bound traffic be separated from non-ISP bound traffic for purposes of addressing any reciprocal compensation payments?
9. Should the Commission establish compensation mechanisms for delivery of ISP-bound traffic to be used in the absence of the parties reaching an agreement or negotiating a compensation mechanism? If so, what should be the mechanism?