

ORIGINAL

SPRINT
DOCKET NO. 990649-TP
FILED JULY 31, 2000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PHASE II REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES W. SICHTER

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is James W. Sichter. I am Vice President-Regulatory Policy, for Sprint Corporation. My business address is 6360 Sprint Parkway, Overland Park, Kansas 66251.

Q. Are you the same James W. Sichter that presented direct, supplemental, rebuttal, and additional supplemental testimony in this case?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

A. First, I will address the deaveraging proposals of BellSouth and GTE, in particular their failure to deaverage switching, transport, and some loop elements, and the insufficient level of deaveraging for those elements that they do deaverage. Second,

1 based on the wide variances in methodology and results
2 evidenced in the filings of the three ILECs that
3 submitted cost data and proposed rates, I will address
4 the need for the Commission to mandate uniformity in
5 methodology in the compliance filing pursuant to the
6 Commission Order in this proceeding.

7

8 Q. What is Sprint's recommendation regarding the
9 appropriate deaveraging methodology for BellSouth and
10 GTE?

11

12 A. Sprint recommends that BellSouth and GTE be required
13 to deaverage pursuant to the methodology discussed in
14 my Direct Testimony. That portion of my Direct
15 Testimony is provided in Exhibit JWS 14.

16

17 Q. GTE witness Trimble proposes (p. 9) that the
18 Commission set a single rate for each ILEC (GTE,
19 Sprint, and BellSouth) and that doing so would comply
20 with the FCC rule requiring UNE prices to be
21 deaveraged into at least three zones. Do you agree
22 with Mr. Trimble?

23

24 A. No. The purpose of the FCC's rule requiring UNE
25 prices to be deaveraged into at least three zones is

1 to reflect geographic differences in cost. GTE's
2 proposal to have each of the three ILECs in this
3 proceeding develop a single, averaged rate for their
4 respective operating areas is not consistent with the
5 FCC's rule. GTE, BellSouth and Sprint serve both high
6 cost and low cost areas. Adopting a single, average
7 rate for each ILEC fails to recognize the wide cost
8 differences within each of the ILECs' operating
9 territories. For example, Sprint's loop costs range
10 from \$8.59 to \$149.06, compared to its statewide
11 average loop cost of \$25.38. The FCC's rules cannot be
12 reasonably construed to permit the Commission to
13 prescribe a rate that would deviate from actual wire
14 center costs by as much as 487%.

15

16 **Q. BellSouth proposes deaveraged loops into three zones,**
17 **based on tariffed rate groups. Do you agree?**

18

19 **A.** No. In the first instance, BellSouth's tariffed rate
20 groups are not an appropriate basis for deaveraging
21 rates. As shown in Sprint's Exhibit JWS 15,
22 BellSouth's rate groups are not based on the
23 underlying costs of the wire centers within each of
24 those rate groups. Consequently, BellSouth's proposed
25 banding includes high-cost wire centers in the lowest

1 cost band, and low-cost wire centers in the higher
2 cost bands. For example, the actual wire center costs
3 within their proposed rate band 1 range from \$7.50 to
4 \$33.27. The actual wire center costs within band 2
5 range from \$11.57 to \$115.81. And the actual wire
6 center costs in band 3 range from \$13.73 to \$75.95.

7
8 BellSouth, then, would propose to charge \$15.91 for
9 the \$33.27 loop in the wire center in band 1, but
10 would charge \$25.54 for the \$13.73 loop in the wire
11 center in rate band 3. In addition, BellSouth has two
12 wire centers whose costs are the same, \$15.59, but
13 fall into different rate bands. BellSouth proposes to
14 charge \$15.91 for loops in the wire center that falls
15 into rate band 1, and \$19.98 for loops in the wire
16 center that falls into rate band 2. Charging different
17 rates for loops that have the exact same costs, or
18 charging a rate for one loop that is higher than the
19 rate charged for a higher cost loop is both
20 discriminatory and inconsistent with the requirement
21 for cost-based unbundled network elements.

22
23 Secondly, 3 rates bands are insufficient to reflect
24 the cost variations among BellSouth wire centers.
25 While the FCC has concluded that three zones may be

1 sufficient to reflect geographic cost differences, it
2 also states that "a state may establish more than
3 three zones where cost differences in geographic
4 regions are such that it finds that additional zones
5 are needed to adequately reflect the costs of
6 interconnection and access to unbundled elements"
7 (First Report and Order, FCC Docket 96-98, released
8 August 8, 1996, Paragraph 765).

9
10 Sprint's proposed banding criteria is that the average
11 rate for a rate zone should not deviate by more than
12 20% from the wire center forward-looking cost of that
13 element for any wire center included in that zone. By
14 following Sprint's criteria, 8 zones would be required
15 to map BellSouth's proposed wire center loop costs
16 into rate zones, as set forth in Sprint's Exhibit JWS
17 16. However, Sprint would not be opposed to permitting
18 a wider range of deviation in the highest cost zone,
19 recognizing the larger cost variances in the highest
20 cost areas and the undesirability of creating an
21 excessive number of zones. (The exhibit is
22 illustrative only, and should not be construed as an
23 endorsement of BellSouth's proposed costs. Indeed, as
24 discussed in the Rebuttal Testimony of Sprint witness
25 Dickerson, there are significant flaws in BellSouth's

1 loop cost studies. The deaveraging of loop and other
2 UNE rates pursuant to Sprint's deaveraging proposal
3 should, of course, be based on the actual cost results
4 approved by this Commission).

5

6 **Q. GTE proposed three bands based on cost relationships**
7 **to statewide average. Do you agree?**

8

9 A. No. GTE's banding proposal of 3 zones is simply
10 inadequate. As shown in Sprint's Exhibit JWS 17, 3
11 rate bands still produces and unacceptably high level
12 of averaging. For example, the average cost for GTE's
13 proposed rate group 1 is \$20.72, while the individual
14 wire center loops costs range from \$12.03 to \$24.05,
15 resulting in a variance of 72% between the proposed
16 rate for the band and actual cost for the lowest cost
17 wire center in the band. Furthermore, GTE's proposed
18 rate for band, 3 is \$49.93, while the individual wire
19 center costs range from \$36.77 to \$99.74, resulting in
20 variances of as much as 100% between the proposed rate
21 and actual wire center costs. Based on Sprint's
22 proposed deaveraging methodology, GTE's proposed loop
23 costs would require they deaverage their loop costs
24 into 7 rate zones, as illustrated in Sprint's Exhibit
25 JWS 18. As stated previously, Sprint would not be

1 opposed to permitting a wider range of deviation in
2 the highest cost zone. Again, the exhibit is for
3 illustrative purposes only, and is not an endorsement
4 of GTE's proposed costs.

5

6 **Q. Both BellSouth's witness Varner and GTE's witness**
7 **Trimble assert that only loops should be deaveraged.**
8 **Do you agree?**

9

10 **A. No. Sprint costs studies show substantial geographic**
11 **cost variances for the following elements:**

- 12 • Unbundled Loops
- 13 • Subloops
- 14 • Local Switch Ports/Local Switching Usage
- 15 • Tandem Switching
- 16 • Dedicated and Common Transport
- 17 • Dark Fiber
- 18 • UNE Platform
- 19 • Enhanced Extended Link (EEL)

20

21 **Q. Do GTE and BellSouth cost studies support their**
22 **assertion for not deaveraging elements other than**
23 **loop?**

24

1 A. No. The data the ILECs provided on UNE costs by wire
2 center does not support their contention that those
3 rates should not be deaveraged. Furthermore, Sprint
4 cost data demonstrates that these elements exhibit
5 geographic cost variances.

6

7 **Q. Do the BellSouth and GTE local switching costs support**
8 **their contention that the element should not be**
9 **deaveraged?**

10

11 A. No. BellSouth's own data shows significant geographic
12 cost variances. For example, BellSouth's proposed wire
13 center costs per minute of use for local switching
14 range from \$.0005184 to \$.0066327, a variance of
15 almost 1200%. A variance in costs of this magnitude
16 portrays a definite need for geographic deaveraging.
17 In addition, the actual wire center detail provided by
18 GTE in Tab 6 (ICM Summary Report-Unbundled Network
19 Elements (TELRICs) State and CLLI Level) supports the
20 need for geographic deaveraging of switching usage
21 rates. Specifically, the costs for End-Office-Setup
22 range from \$.001618 to \$.008598, while the End Office-
23 AVG MOU costs range from \$.002197 to \$.005503 (which
24 includes GTE's proposed Common Cost additive of
25 18.1%).

1

2 **Q. Do the BellSouth and GTE cost studies support their**
3 **conclusion that transport should not be deaveraged?**

4

5 **A.** No. With respect to BellSouth's argument that mileage
6 captures adequate geographic variation, Sprint witness
7 Cox (pg. 3) explains that while distance is a cost
8 driver; terminal bandwidth and utilization/demand on
9 the SONET ring are the primary cost drivers, both of
10 which may vary considerably by geographic area.
11 Therefore, it is imperative to consider these
12 geographic-specific factors in order to accurately
13 depict the forward-looking cost of transport.

14

15 In addition, the actual wire center detail provided by
16 GTE in Tab 6 (ICM Summary Report-Unbundled Network
17 Elements (TELRICs) State and CLLI Level) supports the
18 need for geographic deaveraging of unbundled transport
19 facilities. GTE's Transport Termination costs vary
20 from nearly \$16 to over \$80 per DS1 and from \$95 to
21 nearly \$450 per DS3. Likewise, the DS1 Transport
22 Facility costs vary from \$0.38 to nearly \$22 per ALM.
23 Cost differentials of this scale are inconsistent with
24 GTE's position that transport should not be
25 deaveraged.

1

2 Q. What does Sprint propose the Commission require?

3

4 A. First, all ILECs should, in the compliance filing, be
5 required to file wire center-specific costs for each
6 of the elements that Sprint has proposed to deaverage.
7 While BellSouth and GTE have failed to provide
8 deaveraged costs for some elements, Sprint's data is
9 prima facie evidence of cost variations. BellSouth
10 and GTE should be required to either deaverage these
11 elements or provide disaggregated data that
12 demonstrates there is no significant geographic
13 variation.

14 Second, the Commission should require all ILECs to
15 adopt the same deaveraging methodology, wherein the
16 average rate for a rate zone should not deviate by
17 more than 20% from the wire center forward-looking
18 cost of that element for any wire center included in
19 that zone.

20

21 Q. Based on Sprint's review of the cost studies of GTE
22 and BellSouth, does it believe that all ILECs have
23 interpreted the FCC's TELRIC standard in a consistent
24 manner?

1 A. No. Sprint witness Dickerson's rebuttal testimony
2 discusses errors in the costing process used by
3 BellSouth's TELRIC model. In addition, Sprint witness
4 McMahon discusses Sprint's use of forward-looking,
5 least-cost methods and procedures in developing NRCs,
6 while BellSouth and GTE have utilized more time
7 consuming manual processes and inflated work times.
8 Furthermore, Sprint witness Cox discusses the
9 importance of utilizing geographic-specific factors in
10 developing forward-looking unbundled transport costs.
11 BellSouth does not vary factors such as terminal
12 bandwidth and terminal utilization by geographic area;
13 therefore, their proposed unbundled transport costs
14 are not geographically deaveraged.

15

16 Finally, Sprint contends that the vast differences in
17 proposed rates do not solely reflect differences in
18 costs between the three ILECs, rather the differences
19 reflect methodology differences as well.

20

21 **Q. How should the Commission proceed?**

22

23 A. It is essential to bring cost studies into conformance
24 with each other from a methodological standpoint. The
25 Commission should identify major methodological

1 differences and mandate, for the compliance filing,
2 that all ILECs adopt uniform assumptions and
3 methodologies.

4
5 Sprint recognizes that the 8th Circuit Court of
6 Appeal's July 18, 2000, decision vacating Section
7 51.505(b)(1) of the FCC's rules will, unless stayed,
8 impact at least Sprint's cost studies and proposed
9 rates filed in this proceeding. Sprint will, in the
10 future, conform its cost studies and rates to any rule
11 revisions resulting from the 8th Circuit decision.

12
13 However, these potential changes in the FCC's costing
14 rules don't obviate the need for the Commission to
15 require consistency in the costing methodologies used
16 by the 3 ILECs in this proceeding.

17

18 **Q. Does that conclude your testimony?**

19

20 **A. Yes.**

21

22

23

24

25

Issue 2(a): What is the appropriate methodology to deaverage UNEs and what is the appropriate rate structure for deaveraged UNEs?

Q. What general principles should the Commission apply in determining the degree to which rates for unbundled elements be deaveraged?

A. As a general principle, rates should be deaveraged to the degree necessary to achieve a result wherein the averaged rate does not deviate significantly from the actual forward-looking cost of providing that element anywhere within the defined zone. While it is impossible to quantify with absolute precision what "significant" deviations of rates from costs are, Sprint believes that differences between rates and costs in excess of 20% would be of sufficient magnitude to potentially distort competitors' investment decisions. Using that criteria, each incumbent LEC should be required to construct a deaveraged rate schedule such that the average rate in

each zone is no more than 20% higher or 20% less than the forward-looking cost of providing that element.

Q. What specific criteria should underlay this Commission's requirements for incumbent LECs to deaverage their unbundled network elements?

A. Sprint would advocate the following criteria:

First, as discussed above, prices for unbundled network elements should be deaveraged to the degree necessary to avoid significant deviations between the rate that is charged for an unbundled network element and the actual forward-looking costs of providing that element in a specific geographic area. This means that the degree of deaveraging can vary both across elements and among incumbent LECs. For example, the costs of providing some unbundled network elements in different geographic areas simply do not vary significantly. There is little or no economic benefit, therefore, in deaveraging the rates for those elements. On the other hand, the forward-looking economic costs of other elements can vary

significantly, as evidenced by the example for unbundled loops cited above. Clearly, those rates should be deaveraged into a sufficient number of zones such that the rate for each zone does not significantly deviate from the actual forward-looking costs of providing that element for any area included in that zone. As such, the number of zones appropriate for the deaveraging of one element is not necessarily the appropriate number of zones for some other element, where the disparity in costs across geographic areas might be substantially more or less.

Moreover, the number of zones appropriate for an unbundled element of one incumbent LEC is not necessarily the appropriate number of zones for that same element provided by another incumbent LEC, where, again, the disparity in costs of providing that element could be substantially more or less.

Second, the degree of rate deaveraging should be based on both administrative considerations and a realistic assessment of the extent to which limited rate averaging would not materially adversely impact

competition and investment decisions. At the extreme, for example, unbundled loop costs differ almost on a customer by customer basis. Customer, or location, specific unbundled loop rates may meet the theoretical ideal of cost-based rates, but they would equally be an administrative nightmare, for both the incumbent LEC as well as competitors ordering unbundled loops. Nor is that degree of deaveraging necessary to provide economically correct pricing signals to new entrants. Typically, a competitor enters the local market with the intention of serving all or a substantial segment of that market, and not just one or two customers.

Some degree of averaging of unbundled element rates does not necessarily distort competitors' investment decisions for several reasons. First, the deviations, both positive and negative, between the averaged rate and the actual forward-looking costs will to some extent be offsetting. Second, and most important, if rates are deaveraged such that there are not significant differences between the average rate and the actual forward-looking costs, the impact of that rate averaging will by definition be minimal and is

unlikely to have a material impact on a competitor's investment decisions.

Third, Sprint proposes that each incumbent develop forward-looking costs, for each UNE to be deaveraged, on a wire center basis. Using the wire center as the unit of cost analysis is reasonable for a number of reasons. The wire center generally conforms to the market definitions and plans of new entrants, and therefore, as previously discussed, averaging costs at this level is not likely to distort their entry or marketing decisions. Moreover, deaveraging costs below the wire center entails not only more complex cost modeling, but would impose significant additional costs on both incumbent LECs and competitors in administering that rate structure.

Fourth, incumbent LECs should be required to group wire centers into zones, and develop rates based on the weighted average cost of the UNE for all wire centers within each zone, subject to the constraint that the average rate for a UNE zone should not deviate by more than 20% from the wire center forward-

looking cost of that UNE for any wire center included in that zone. However, it would not be unreasonable to permit a wider range of deviation in the highest cost zone, recognizing the larger cost variances in the highest cost areas and the undesirability of creating an excessive number of zones.

Sprint's proposed deaveraging methodology is intended to provide a balance between cost-based rates and administrative ease - both for incumbent LECs and new entrants.

Sprint-Florida
Bell South Proposed Banding
Proposed Deaveraged 2-wire Loop SL1 Rates - Bell South (A.1.1)

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I	
Rate Banding Summary								
Row	Rate ID	Rate Band	Number of Wire Centers	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost	Percent of Total Lines		
8								
9	1	Rate Band 1	80	4,165,659	\$15.91	60.56%		
10	2	Rate Band 2	80	2,341,036	\$19.98	34.03%		
11	3	Rate Band 3	36	372,370	\$25.54	5.41%		
21								
22		Total	196	6,879,065	\$ 17.82	100.00%		
23								
24								
25	te Band Detail (Sorted by Monthly Cost)		Common Cost 6.24% (Already included in Monthly Cost)					

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
32							
33	1		MIAMFLDB	7,659	\$ 7.50	0.18%	-52.87%
34	1		JCVLFLJT	9,599	\$ 7.52	0.23%	-52.75%
35	1		MIAMFLKE	11,788	\$ 9.09	0.28%	-42.88%
36	1		MIAMFLAP	20,231	\$ 10.65	0.49%	-33.08%
37	1		MIAMFLGR	63,357	\$ 10.66	1.52%	-33.02%
38	1		MIAMFLBC	17,573	\$ 11.08	0.42%	-30.38%
39	1		MIAMFLBR	60,632	\$ 11.18	1.46%	-29.75%
40	2		DYBHFLFN	2,879	\$ 11.57	0.12%	-42.10%
41	1		HLWDFLHA	36,199	\$ 11.85	0.87%	-25.54%
42	2		WPBHFLAN	57,398	\$ 12.11	2.45%	-39.40%
43	1		MIAMFLME	24,392	\$ 12.21	0.59%	-23.28%
44	1		NDADFLOL	58,291	\$ 12.48	1.40%	-21.58%
45	1		MIAMFLIC	46,741	\$ 12.57	1.12%	-21.02%
46	1		MIAMFLFL	34,705	\$ 12.65	0.83%	-20.51%
47	1		ORLDFLMA	86,927	\$ 12.70	2.09%	-20.20%
48	1		MIAMFLAE	79,429	\$ 12.80	1.91%	-19.57%
49	1		JCVLFLSM	28,514	\$ 12.99	0.68%	-18.38%
50	1		JCVLFLCL	65,905	\$ 13.00	1.58%	-18.32%
51	1		MIAMFLBA	40,072	\$ 13.27	0.96%	-16.62%
52	1		MIAMFLNM	31,550	\$ 13.41	0.76%	-15.74%
53	1		MIAMFLPL	80,350	\$ 13.48	1.93%	-15.30%
54	1		FTLDFLMR	95,006	\$ 13.57	2.28%	-14.73%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines in Band	Percent Deviation From Average
55	1		FTLDFLCR	55,494	\$ 13.66	1.33%	-14.17%
56	1		FTLDFLCY	74,108	\$ 13.67	1.78%	-14.11%
57	3		KYWSFLMA	36,958	\$ 13.73	9.93%	-46.24%
58	1		MIAMFLWM	56,238	\$ 13.77	1.35%	-13.48%
59	1		BCRTFLMA	92,002	\$ 14.24	2.21%	-10.52%
60	1		FTLDFLSU	56,306	\$ 14.28	1.35%	-10.27%
61	1		NDADFLAC	49,809	\$ 14.40	1.20%	-9.52%
62	1		HLWDFLMA	58,648	\$ 14.65	1.41%	-7.95%
63	1		FTLDFLSG	7,202	\$ 14.66	0.17%	-7.88%
64	1		JCVLFLLA	2,429	\$ 14.67	0.06%	-7.82%
65	1		FTLDFLOA	74,347	\$ 14.70	1.78%	-7.63%
66	1		FTLDFLAP	3,146	\$ 14.79	0.08%	-7.07%
67	2		CCBHFLMA	27,668	\$ 14.85	1.18%	-25.69%
68	1		BCRTFLBT	44,746	\$ 14.92	1.07%	-6.25%
69	3		NKLRFLMA	3,293	\$ 15.05	0.88%	-41.07%
70	1		MNDRFLAV	9,752	\$ 15.15	0.23%	-4.81%
71	1		MIAMFLWD	64,053	\$ 15.17	1.54%	-4.68%
72	1		MIAMFLPB	51,410	\$ 15.19	1.23%	-4.55%
73	1		PMBHFLTA	45,170	\$ 15.23	1.08%	-4.30%
74	2		DYBHFLMA	62,008	\$ 15.35	2.65%	-23.18%
75	1		JCVLFLSJ	50,208	\$ 15.56	1.21%	-2.23%
76	2		DLBHFLMA	48,601	\$ 15.59	2.08%	-21.98%
77	1		PMBHFLMA	91,941	\$ 15.59	2.21%	-2.04%
78	1		MIAMFLSO	71,368	\$ 15.64	1.71%	-1.73%
79	1		DRBHFLMA	72,583	\$ 15.66	1.74%	-1.60%
80	1		JCBHFLSP	14,428	\$ 15.74	0.35%	-1.10%
81	1		PMBHFLFE	84,965	\$ 15.75	2.04%	-1.04%
82	1		MIAMFLAL	37,334	\$ 15.82	0.90%	-0.60%
83	1		MIAMFLHL	132,181	\$ 15.86	3.17%	-0.34%
84	1		JCVLFLFC	20,197	\$ 15.89	0.48%	-0.16%
85	1		MIAMFLCA	106,289	\$ 15.92	2.55%	0.03%
86	1		NDADFLGG	39,819	\$ 15.95	0.96%	0.22%
87	1		ORLDFLCL	53,496	\$ 16.10	1.28%	1.16%
88	1		MIAMFLRR	63,795	\$ 16.13	1.53%	1.35%
89	1		PMBHFLCS	93,150	\$ 16.16	2.24%	1.54%
90	1		MIAMFLSH	43,098	\$ 16.26	1.03%	2.17%
91	2		GSVLFLMA	130,947	\$ 16.31	5.59%	-18.38%
92	1		JCVLFLAR	37,777	\$ 16.34	0.91%	2.67%
93	2		JCBHFLMA	36,614	\$ 16.49	1.56%	-17.48%
94	2		WPBHFLRB	54,219	\$ 16.57	2.32%	-17.08%
95	2		VRBHFLBE	16,849	\$ 16.61	0.72%	-16.88%
96	1		HLWDFLWH	82,835	\$ 16.68	1.99%	4.81%
97	2		WPBHFLHH	86,861	\$ 16.76	3.71%	-16.13%
98	2		DYBHFLSOS	9,107	\$ 16.80	0.39%	-15.93%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
99	2		WPBHFLLE	50,482	\$ 16.85	2.16%	-15.68%
100	2		LKMRFLMA	16,002	\$ 17.01	0.68%	-14.88%
101	2		PNSCFLBL	57,961	\$ 17.18	2.48%	-14.03%
102	2		ORPKFLRW	18,247	\$ 17.19	0.78%	-13.98%
103	1		JCVLFLBW	46,851	\$ 17.23	1.12%	8.26%
104	1		JCVLFLRV	37,729	\$ 17.25	0.91%	8.39%
105	2		DLBHFLKP	45,726	\$ 17.32	1.95%	-13.33%
106	1		BCRTFLSA	74,410	\$ 17.49	1.79%	9.90%
107	1		MIAMFLNS	36,258	\$ 17.60	0.87%	10.59%
108	1		FTLDFLJA	74,436	\$ 17.61	1.79%	10.65%
109	2		WPBHFLGR	60,813	\$ 17.72	2.60%	-11.32%
110	2		GSVLFLNW	15,578	\$ 17.75	0.67%	-11.17%
111	2		EGLFLIH	22,251	\$ 17.78	0.95%	-11.02%
112	1		ORLDFLPC	87,212	\$ 17.80	2.09%	11.85%
113	2		MICCFLLB	7,596	\$ 17.85	0.32%	-10.67%
114	2		WPBHFLGA	104,805	\$ 17.86	4.48%	-10.62%
115	1		FTLDFLPL	72,139	\$ 17.87	1.73%	12.29%
116	2		PNVDFLMA	24,100	\$ 17.97	1.03%	-10.07%
117	1		ORLDFLSA	42,998	\$ 18.01	1.03%	13.16%
118	1		BYBHFLMA	77,402	\$ 18.04	1.86%	13.35%
119	1		MIAMFLLO	32,295	\$ 18.07	0.78%	13.54%
120	1		ORLDFLPH	117,491	\$ 18.26	2.82%	14.74%
121	1		NDADFLBR	59,976	\$ 18.29	1.44%	14.92%
122	1		FTLDFLWN	29,518	\$ 18.35	0.71%	15.30%
123	1		HLWDFLPE	113,722	\$ 18.37	2.73%	15.43%
124	2		HTISFLMA	23,535	\$ 18.47	1.01%	-7.57%
125	2		COCOFLLM	29,133	\$ 18.54	1.24%	-7.22%
126	3		BLGLFLMA	11,143	\$ 18.69	2.99%	-26.81%
127	2		ORPKFLMA	30,289	\$ 18.75	1.29%	-6.17%
128	1		ORLDFLAP	107,731	\$ 18.87	2.59%	18.57%
129	1		JCBHFLAB	15,439	\$ 18.88	0.37%	18.63%
130	1		MNDRFLLO	46,577	\$ 18.89	1.12%	18.69%
131	2		PNCYFLMA	50,401	\$ 18.95	2.15%	-5.17%
132	3		KYLRFLLS	10,075	\$ 19.15	2.71%	-25.01%
133	2		STRFLMA	67,365	\$ 19.27	2.88%	-3.57%
134	2		DYBHFLPO	52,960	\$ 19.33	2.26%	-3.27%
135	2		DYBHFLBO	32,859	\$ 19.38	1.40%	-3.02%
136	2		PTSLFLSO	20,109	\$ 19.40	0.86%	-2.92%
137	3		STAGFLBS	13,800	\$ 19.40	3.71%	-24.03%
138	1		PRRNFLMA	116,260	\$ 19.57	2.79%	22.97%
139	2		EGLFLBGP	49,414	\$ 19.70	2.11%	-1.42%
140	2		PNSCFLFP	61,800	\$ 19.71	2.64%	-1.37%
141	1		JCVLFLWC	45,636	\$ 19.82	1.10%	24.54%
142	2		JPTRFLMA	57,476	\$ 19.98	2.46%	-0.01%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
143	2		DBRYFLMA	8,181	\$ 20.00	0.35%	0.09%
144	2		DBRYFLDL	16,979	\$ 20.23	0.73%	1.24%
145	3		STAGFLMA	30,998	\$ 20.27	8.32%	-20.63%
146	2		COCOFLMA	52,359	\$ 20.29	2.24%	1.54%
147	2		DELDFLMA	28,928	\$ 20.34	1.24%	1.79%
148	2		VRBHFLMA	52,762	\$ 20.40	2.25%	2.09%
149	1		JCVLFLNO	36,867	\$ 20.51	0.89%	28.87%
150	3		PAHKFLMA	3,900	\$ 20.71	1.05%	-18.90%
151	3		NSBHFLMA	43,307	\$ 20.75	11.63%	-18.75%
152	2		MLBRFLMA	105,838	\$ 20.81	4.52%	4.14%
153	2		GLBRFLMC	19,581	\$ 20.83	0.84%	4.24%
154	2		PNSCFLWA	35,294	\$ 20.83	1.51%	4.24%
155	2		PCBHFLNT	31,399	\$ 20.88	1.34%	4.49%
156	3		MRTHFLVE	15,124	\$ 20.97	4.06%	-17.89%
157	2		SNFRFLMA	60,185	\$ 21.05	2.57%	5.34%
158	2		HBSDFLMA	12,025	\$ 21.06	0.51%	5.39%
159	3		KYLRFLMA	10,260	\$ 21.16	2.76%	-17.14%
160	1		HMSTFLHM	39,717	\$ 21.27	0.95%	33.65%
161	3		FLBHFLMA	5,756	\$ 21.33	1.55%	-16.48%
162	2		FTRFLMA	63,485	\$ 21.69	2.71%	8.54%
163	3		STAGFLSH	11,287	\$ 21.82	3.03%	-14.56%
164	2		PNSCFLPB	7,258	\$ 21.94	0.31%	9.79%
165	1		OVIDFLCA	33,030	\$ 21.98	0.79%	38.11%
166	1		HMSTFLAF	11,615	\$ 22.07	0.28%	38.68%
167	3		FRBHFLFP	20,969	\$ 22.24	5.63%	-12.91%
168	2		MNDRFLLW	8,939	\$ 22.53	0.38%	12.75%
169	2		TTVLFLMA	37,307	\$ 22.65	1.59%	13.35%
170	1		JCVLFLLF	41,399	\$ 22.94	0.99%	44.14%
171	2		PNCYFLCA	10,157	\$ 22.97	0.43%	14.95%
172	2		WWSPFLSH	36,998	\$ 22.99	1.58%	15.05%
173	3		PLCSFLMA	14,463	\$ 23.11	3.88%	-9.51%
174	3		ISLMFLMA	5,534	\$ 23.12	1.49%	-9.47%
175	2		LYHNFLOH	13,557	\$ 23.31	0.58%	16.65%
176	2		PNSCFLHC	11,149	\$ 23.36	0.48%	16.90%
177	2		WPBHFLRP	49,527	\$ 24.13	2.12%	20.75%
178	2		PTSLFLMA	44,164	\$ 24.18	1.89%	21.00%
179	2		SBSTFLMA	17,548	\$ 24.18	0.75%	21.00%
180	1		JCVLFLOW	20,234	\$ 24.62	0.49%	54.70%
181	2		CNTMFLLE	9,098	\$ 26.26	0.39%	31.41%
182	3		DLSPFLMA	2,629	\$ 26.30	0.71%	2.99%
183	2		HLNVFLMA	13,351	\$ 26.37	0.57%	31.96%
184	2		WWSPFLHI	16,799	\$ 26.76	0.72%	33.91%
185	2		BKVLFLJF	26,498	\$ 27.38	1.13%	37.02%
186	2		SBSTFLFE	1,795	\$ 27.42	0.08%	37.22%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
187	3		OKHLFLMA	2,400	\$ 28.22	0.64%	10.50%
188	2		MLTNFLRA	23,249	\$ 28.41	0.99%	42.17%
189	2		PACEFLPV	13,498	\$ 28.46	0.58%	42.42%
190	3		CDKYFLMA	1,578	\$ 28.70	0.42%	12.38%
191	3		PLTKFLMA	21,599	\$ 29.10	5.80%	13.95%
192	2		MDBGFLPM	14,799	\$ 30.21	0.63%	51.18%
193	3		SGKYFLMA	5,064	\$ 30.36	1.36%	18.88%
194	3		BGPIFLMA	7,284	\$ 30.82	1.96%	20.68%
195	3		LKCYFLMA	28,043	\$ 30.83	7.53%	20.72%
196	3		GCSPFLCN	9,356	\$ 31.22	2.51%	22.25%
197	1		HMSTFLEA	2,406	\$ 31.78	0.06%	99.69%
198	2		HAVNFLMA	5,749	\$ 32.81	0.25%	64.19%
199	1		EORNFLMA	7,067	\$ 33.27	0.17%	109.05%
200	3		KYHGFLMA	7,390	\$ 34.23	1.98%	34.04%
201	2		DNLNFLWM	14,736	\$ 35.85	0.63%	79.40%
202	2		YULEFLMA	4,534	\$ 36.22	0.19%	81.25%
203	3		YNTWFLMA	3,109	\$ 36.52	0.83%	43.00%
204	2		ARCHFLMA	3,567	\$ 36.92	0.15%	84.76%
205	3		WELKFLMA	2,889	\$ 37.66	0.78%	47.47%
206	2		GENVFLMA	2,898	\$ 37.73	0.12%	88.81%
207	2		STAGFLWG	1,714	\$ 38.73	0.07%	93.81%
208	3		CHPLFLJA	6,948	\$ 38.79	1.87%	51.89%
209	3		PMPKFLMA	3,468	\$ 39.30	0.93%	53.89%
210	3		CFLDFLMA	6,109	\$ 39.86	1.64%	56.08%
211	2		FTGRFLMA	1,137	\$ 40.23	0.05%	101.32%
212	3		PRSNFLFD	3,009	\$ 41.20	0.81%	61.33%
213	2		HWTHFLMA	4,369	\$ 41.30	0.19%	106.68%
214	3		BNNLFLMA	7,582	\$ 42.67	2.04%	67.09%
215	2		NWBYFLMA	4,821	\$ 42.76	0.21%	113.98%
216	2		TRENFLMA	5,109	\$ 43.70	0.22%	118.69%
217	2		MXVLFLMA	2,105	\$ 44.87	0.09%	124.54%
218	3		CSCYFLBA	4,159	\$ 46.00	1.12%	80.13%
219	3		GCVLFLMA	4,279	\$ 48.02	1.15%	88.04%
220	2		MCNPFLMA	1,909	\$ 49.92	0.08%	149.81%
221	2		BRSNFLMA	3,788	\$ 50.41	0.16%	152.26%
222	2		BLDWFLMA	2,894	\$ 51.89	0.12%	159.67%
223	2		YNFNFLMA	3,470	\$ 53.05	0.15%	165.48%
224	3		OLTWFLLN	4,809	\$ 53.76	1.29%	110.51%
225	2		JAY-FLMA	2,847	\$ 63.20	0.12%	216.27%
226	3		VERNFLMA	2,040	\$ 67.33	0.55%	163.65%
227	3		SYHSFLCC	1,759	\$ 75.95	0.47%	197.40%
228	2		MNSNFLMA	629	\$ 115.81	0.03%	479.54%

Sprint-Florida
Sprint Proposed Banding
Proposed Deaveraged 2-wire Loop SL1 Rates - Bell South
(A.1.1)

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Rate Banding Summary							
Row	Rate ID	Rate Band	Number of Wire Centers	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost	Percent of Total Lines	
8							
9	1	Rate Band 1	4	49,277	\$9.18	0.72%	
10	2	Rate Band 2	34	1,562,066	\$13.27	22.71%	
11	3	Rate Band 3	90	4,473,007	\$17.77	65.02%	
12	4	Rate Band 4	29	573,693	\$23.80	8.34%	
13	5	Rate Band 5	19	150,730	\$32.51	2.19%	
14	6	Rate Band 6	16	63,017	\$45.28	0.92%	
15	7	Rate Band 7	3	6,646	\$67.84	0.10%	
16	8	Rate Band 8	1	629	\$115.81	0.01%	
21							
22		Total	196	6,879,065	\$ 17.82	100.00%	
23							
24							

Common Cost
6.24% (Already included in Monthly Cost)

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
32							
33	1		MIAMFLDB	7,659	\$ 7.50	15.54%	-18.28%
34	1		JCVLFLJT	9,599	\$ 7.52	19.48%	-18.06%
35	1		MIAMFLKE	11,788	\$ 9.09	23.92%	-0.95%
36	1		MIAMFLAP	20,231	\$ 10.65	41.06%	16.04%
37		Band 1 Summary		49,277	\$ 9.18	100%	
38	2		MIAMFLGR	63,357	\$ 10.66	4.06%	-19.68%
39	2		MIAMFLBC	17,573	\$ 11.08	1.12%	-16.52%
40	2		MIAMFLBR	60,632	\$ 11.18	3.88%	-15.76%
41	2		DYBHFLFN	2,879	\$ 11.57	0.18%	-12.83%
42	2		HLWDFLHA	36,199	\$ 11.85	2.32%	-10.72%
43	2		WPBHFLAN	57,398	\$ 12.11	3.67%	-8.76%
44	2		MIAMFLME	24,392	\$ 12.21	1.56%	-8.00%
45	2		NDADFLLOL	58,291	\$ 12.48	3.73%	-5.97%
46	2		MIAMFLIC	46,741	\$ 12.57	2.99%	-5.29%
47	2		MIAMFLFL	34,705	\$ 12.65	2.22%	-4.69%
48	2		ORLDFLMA	86,927	\$ 12.70	5.56%	-4.31%
49	2		MIAMFLAE	79,429	\$ 12.80	5.08%	-3.56%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
50	2		JCVLFLSM	28,514	\$ 12.99	1.83%	-2.13%
51	2		JCVLFLCL	65,905	\$ 13.00	4.22%	-2.05%
52	2		MIAMFLBA	40,072	\$ 13.27	2.57%	-0.02%
53	2		MIAMFLNM	31,550	\$ 13.41	2.02%	1.04%
54	2		MIAMFLPL	80,350	\$ 13.48	5.14%	1.57%
55	2		FTLDFLMR	95,006	\$ 13.57	6.08%	2.24%
56	2		FTLDFLCR	55,494	\$ 13.66	3.55%	2.92%
57	2		FTLDFLCY	74,108	\$ 13.67	4.74%	3.00%
58	2		KYWSFLMA	36,958	\$ 13.73	2.37%	3.45%
59	2		MIAMFLWM	56,238	\$ 13.77	3.60%	3.75%
60	2		BCRTFLMA	92,002	\$ 14.24	5.89%	7.29%
61	2		FTLDFLSU	56,306	\$ 14.28	3.60%	7.59%
62	2		NDADFLAC	49,809	\$ 14.40	3.19%	8.50%
63	2		HLWDFLMA	58,648	\$ 14.65	3.75%	10.38%
64	2		FTLDFLSG	7,202	\$ 14.66	0.46%	10.46%
65	2		JCVLFLIA	2,429	\$ 14.67	0.16%	10.53%
66	2		FTLDFLOA	74,347	\$ 14.70	4.76%	10.76%
67	2		FTLDFLAP	3,146	\$ 14.79	0.20%	11.44%
68	2		CCBHFLMA	27,668	\$ 14.85	1.77%	11.89%
69	2		BCRTFLBT	44,746	\$ 14.92	2.86%	12.41%
70	2		NKLRFLMA	3,293	\$ 15.05	0.21%	13.39%
71	2		MNDRFLAV	9,752	\$ 15.15	0.62%	14.15%
72		Band 2 Summary		1,562,066	\$ 13.27	100%	
73	3		MIAMFLWD	64,053	\$ 15.17	1.43%	-14.61%
74	3		MIAMFLPB	51,410	\$ 15.19	1.15%	-14.50%
75	3		PMBHFLTA	45,170	\$ 15.23	1.01%	-14.28%
76	3		DYBHFLMA	62,008	\$ 15.35	1.39%	-13.60%
77	3		JCVLFLSJ	50,208	\$ 15.56	1.12%	-12.42%
78	3		DLBHFLMA	48,601	\$ 15.59	1.09%	-12.25%
79	3		PMBHFLMA	91,941	\$ 15.59	2.06%	-12.25%
80	3		MIAMFLSO	71,368	\$ 15.64	1.60%	-11.97%
81	3		DRBHFLMA	72,583	\$ 15.66	1.62%	-11.86%
82	3		JCBHFLSP	14,428	\$ 15.74	0.32%	-11.41%
83	3		PMBHFLFE	84,965	\$ 15.75	1.90%	-11.35%
84	3		MIAMFLAL	37,334	\$ 15.82	0.83%	-10.96%
85	3		MIAMFLHL	132,181	\$ 15.86	2.96%	-10.73%
86	3		JCVLFLFC	20,197	\$ 15.89	0.45%	-10.56%
87	3		MIAMFLCA	106,289	\$ 15.92	2.38%	-10.39%
88	3		NDADFLGG	39,819	\$ 15.95	0.89%	-10.22%
89	3		ORLDFLCL	53,496	\$ 16.10	1.20%	-9.38%
90	3		MIAMFLRR	63,795	\$ 16.13	1.43%	-9.21%
91	3		PMBHFLCS	93,150	\$ 16.16	2.08%	-9.04%
92	3		MIAMFLSH	43,098	\$ 16.26	0.96%	-8.48%
93	3		GSVLFLMA	130,947	\$ 16.31	2.93%	-8.20%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
94	3		JCVLFLAR	37,777	\$ 16.34	0.84%	-8.03%
95	3		JCBHFLMA	36,614	\$ 16.49	0.82%	-7.18%
96	3		WPBHFLRB	54,219	\$ 16.57	1.21%	-6.73%
97	3		VRBHFLBE	16,849	\$ 16.61	0.38%	-6.51%
98	3		HLWDFLWH	82,835	\$ 16.68	1.85%	-6.12%
99	3		WPBHFLHH	86,861	\$ 16.76	1.94%	-5.66%
100	3		DYBHFLS	9,107	\$ 16.80	0.20%	-5.44%
101	3		WPBHFLLE	50,482	\$ 16.85	1.13%	-5.16%
102	3		LKMRFLMA	16,002	\$ 17.01	0.36%	-4.26%
103	3		PNSCFLBL	57,961	\$ 17.18	1.30%	-3.30%
104	3		ORPKFLRW	18,247	\$ 17.19	0.41%	-3.24%
105	3		JCVLFLBW	46,851	\$ 17.23	1.05%	-3.02%
106	3		JCVLFLRV	37,729	\$ 17.25	0.84%	-2.91%
107	3		DLBHFLKP	45,726	\$ 17.32	1.02%	-2.51%
108	3		BCRTFLSA	74,410	\$ 17.49	1.66%	-1.56%
109	3		MIAMFLNS	36,258	\$ 17.60	0.81%	-0.94%
110	3		FTLDFLJA	74,436	\$ 17.61	1.66%	-0.88%
111	3		WPBHFLGR	60,813	\$ 17.72	1.36%	-0.26%
112	3		GSVLFLNW	15,578	\$ 17.75	0.35%	-0.09%
113	3		EGLFLIH	22,251	\$ 17.78	0.50%	0.08%
114	3		ORLDFLPC	87,212	\$ 17.80	1.95%	0.19%
115	3		MICCFLBB	7,596	\$ 17.85	0.17%	0.47%
116	3		WPBHFLGA	104,805	\$ 17.86	2.34%	0.53%
117	3		FTLDFLPL	72,139	\$ 17.87	1.61%	0.58%
118	3		PNVDFLMA	24,100	\$ 17.97	0.54%	1.15%
119	3		ORLDFLSA	42,998	\$ 18.01	0.96%	1.37%
120	3		BYBHFLMA	77,402	\$ 18.04	1.73%	1.54%
121	3		MIAMFLOL	32,295	\$ 18.07	0.72%	1.71%
122	3		ORLDFLPH	117,491	\$ 18.26	2.63%	2.78%
123	3		NDADFLBR	59,976	\$ 18.29	1.34%	2.95%
124	3		FTLDFLWN	29,518	\$ 18.35	0.66%	3.28%
125	3		HLWDFLPE	113,722	\$ 18.37	2.54%	3.40%
126	3		HTISFLMA	23,535	\$ 18.47	0.53%	3.96%
127	3		COCOFLME	29,133	\$ 18.54	0.65%	4.35%
128	3		BLGLFLMA	11,143	\$ 18.69	0.25%	5.20%
129	3		ORPKFLMA	30,289	\$ 18.75	0.68%	5.54%
130	3		ORLDFLAP	107,731	\$ 18.87	2.41%	6.21%
131	3		JCBHFLAB	15,439	\$ 18.88	0.35%	6.27%
132	3		MNDRFLLO	46,577	\$ 18.89	1.04%	6.32%
133	3		PNCYFLMA	50,401	\$ 18.95	1.13%	6.66%
134	3		KYLRFLLS	10,075	\$ 19.15	0.23%	7.79%
135	3		STRTFLMA	67,365	\$ 19.27	1.51%	8.46%
136	3		DYBHFLPO	52,960	\$ 19.33	1.18%	8.80%
137	3		DYBHFLPB	32,859	\$ 19.38	0.73%	9.08%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
138	3		PTSLFISO	20,109	\$ 19.40	0.45%	9.19%
139	3		STAGFLBS	13,800	\$ 19.40	0.31%	9.19%
140	3		PRRNFLMA	116,260	\$ 19.57	2.60%	10.15%
141	3		EGLFLBG	49,414	\$ 19.70	1.10%	10.88%
142	3		PNSCFLFP	61,800	\$ 19.71	1.38%	10.94%
143	3		JCVLFLWC	45,636	\$ 19.82	1.02%	11.56%
144	3		JPTRFLMA	57,476	\$ 19.98	1.28%	12.46%
145	3		DBRYFLMA	8,181	\$ 20.00	0.18%	12.57%
146	3		DBRYFLDL	16,979	\$ 20.23	0.38%	13.87%
147	3		STAGFLMA	30,998	\$ 20.27	0.69%	14.09%
148	3		COCOFLMA	52,359	\$ 20.29	1.17%	14.20%
149	3		DELDFLMA	28,928	\$ 20.34	0.65%	14.49%
150	3		VRBHLMA	52,762	\$ 20.40	1.18%	14.82%
151	3		JCVLFLNO	36,867	\$ 20.51	0.82%	15.44%
152	3		PAHKFLMA	3,900	\$ 20.71	0.09%	16.57%
153	3		NSBHFLMA	43,307	\$ 20.75	0.97%	16.79%
154	3		MLBRFLMA	105,838	\$ 20.81	2.37%	17.13%
155	3		GLBRFLMC	19,581	\$ 20.83	0.44%	17.24%
156	3		PNSCFLWA	35,294	\$ 20.83	0.79%	17.24%
157	3		PCBHFLNT	31,399	\$ 20.88	0.70%	17.52%
158	3		MRTHFLVE	15,124	\$ 20.97	0.34%	18.03%
159	3		SNFRFLMA	60,185	\$ 21.05	1.35%	18.48%
160	3		HBSDFLMA	12,025	\$ 21.06	0.27%	18.54%
161	3		KYLRFLMA	10,260	\$ 21.16	0.23%	19.10%
162	3		HMSTFLHM	39,717	\$ 21.27	0.89%	19.72%
163		Band 3 Summary		4,473,007	\$ 17.77	100%	
164	4		FLBHFLMA	5,756	\$ 21.33	1.00%	-10.38%
165	4		FTPRFLMA	63,485	\$ 21.69	11.07%	-8.87%
166	4		STAGFLSH	11,287	\$ 21.82	1.97%	-8.33%
167	4		PNSCFLPB	7,258	\$ 21.94	1.27%	-7.82%
168	4		OVIDFLCA	33,030	\$ 21.98	5.76%	-7.65%
169	4		HMSTFLAF	11,615	\$ 22.07	2.02%	-7.28%
170	4		FRBHFLFP	20,969	\$ 22.24	3.66%	-6.56%
171	4		MNDRFLLW	8,939	\$ 22.53	1.56%	-5.34%
172	4		TTVLFLMA	37,307	\$ 22.65	6.50%	-4.84%
173	4		JCVLFLLF	41,399	\$ 22.94	7.22%	-3.62%
174	4		PNCYFLCA	10,157	\$ 22.97	1.77%	-3.49%
175	4		WWSPFLSH	36,998	\$ 22.99	6.45%	-3.41%
176	4		PLCSFLMA	14,463	\$ 23.11	2.52%	-2.91%
177	4		ISLMFLMA	5,534	\$ 23.12	0.96%	-2.86%
178	4		LYHNFLOH	13,557	\$ 23.31	2.36%	-2.07%
179	4		PNSCFLHC	11,149	\$ 23.36	1.94%	-1.86%
180	4		WPBHFLRP	49,527	\$ 24.13	8.63%	1.38%
181	4		PTSLFLMA	44,164	\$ 24.18	7.70%	1.59%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
182	4		SBSTFLMA	17,548	\$ 24.18	3.06%	1.59%
183	4		JCVLFLOW	20,234	\$ 24.62	3.53%	3.44%
184	4		CNTMFLE	9,098	\$ 26.26	1.59%	10.33%
185	4		DLSPFLMA	2,629	\$ 26.30	0.46%	10.50%
186	4		HLNVFLMA	13,351	\$ 26.37	2.33%	10.79%
187	4		WWSPFLHI	16,799	\$ 26.76	2.93%	12.43%
188	4		BKVLFLJF	26,498	\$ 27.38	4.62%	15.03%
189	4		SBSTFLFE	1,795	\$ 27.42	0.31%	15.20%
190	4		OKHLFLMA	2,400	\$ 28.22	0.42%	18.56%
191	4		MLTNFLRA	23,249	\$ 28.41	4.05%	19.36%
192	4		PACEFLPV	13,498	\$ 28.46	2.35%	19.57%
193		Band 4 Summary		573,693	\$ 23.80	100%	
194	5		CDKYFLMA	1,578	\$ 28.70	1.05%	-11.73%
195	5		PLTKFLMA	21,599	\$ 29.10	14.33%	-10.50%
196	5		MDBGFLPM	14,799	\$ 30.21	9.82%	-7.08%
197	5		SGKYFLMA	5,064	\$ 30.36	3.36%	-6.62%
198	5		BGPIFLMA	7,284	\$ 30.82	4.83%	-5.21%
199	5		LKCYFLMA	28,043	\$ 30.83	18.60%	-5.18%
200	5		GCSPFLCN	9,356	\$ 31.22	6.21%	-3.98%
201	5		HMSTFLEA	2,406	\$ 31.78	1.60%	-2.26%
202	5		HAVNFLMA	5,749	\$ 32.81	3.81%	0.91%
203	5		EORNFLMA	7,067	\$ 33.27	4.69%	2.33%
204	5		KYHGFLMA	7,390	\$ 34.23	4.90%	5.28%
205	5		DNLNFLWM	14,736	\$ 35.85	9.78%	10.26%
206	5		YULEFLMA	4,534	\$ 36.22	3.01%	11.40%
207	5		YNTWFLMA	3,109	\$ 36.52	2.06%	12.32%
208	5		ARCHFLMA	3,567	\$ 36.92	2.37%	13.55%
209	5		WELKFLMA	2,889	\$ 37.66	1.92%	15.83%
210	5		GENVFLMA	2,898	\$ 37.73	1.92%	16.04%
211	5		STAGFLWG	1,714	\$ 38.73	1.14%	19.12%
212	5		CHPLFLJA	6,948	\$ 38.79	4.61%	19.30%
213		Band 5 Summary		150,730	\$ 32.51	100%	
214	6		PMPKFLMA	3,468	\$ 39.30	5.50%	-13.20%
215	6		CFLDFLMA	6,109	\$ 39.86	9.69%	-11.96%
216	6		FTGRFLMA	1,137	\$ 40.23	1.80%	-11.15%
217	6		PRSNFLFD	3,009	\$ 41.20	4.77%	-9.00%
218	6		HWTHFLMA	4,369	\$ 41.30	6.93%	-8.78%
219	6		BNNFLMA	7,582	\$ 42.67	12.03%	-5.76%
220	6		NWBYFLMA	4,821	\$ 42.76	7.65%	-5.56%
221	6		TRENFLMA	5,109	\$ 43.70	8.11%	-3.48%
222	6		MXVFLMA	2,105	\$ 44.87	3.34%	-0.90%
223	6		CSCYFLBA	4,159	\$ 46.00	6.60%	1.60%
224	6		GCVLFLMA	4,279	\$ 48.02	6.79%	6.06%
225	6		MCNPFLMA	1,909	\$ 49.92	3.03%	10.26%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
226	6		BRSNFLMA	3,788	\$ 50.41	6.01%	11.34%
227	6		BLDWFLMA	2,894	\$ 51.89	4.59%	14.61%
228	6		YNFNFLMA	3,470	\$ 53.05	5.51%	17.17%
229	6		OLTWFLLN	4,809	\$ 53.76	7.63%	18.74%
230		Band 6 Summary		63,017	\$ 45.28	100%	
231	7		JAY-FLMA	2,847	\$ 63.20	42.84%	-6.84%
232	7		VERNFLMA	2,040	\$ 67.33	30.70%	-0.76%
233	7		SYHSFLCC	1,759	\$ 75.95	26.47%	11.95%
234		Band 7 Summary		6,646	\$ 67.84	100.00%	
235	8		MNSNFLMA	629	\$ 115.81	100.00%	0.00%
236		Band 8 Summary		629	\$ 115.81	100%	

**Sprint-Florida
GTE Proposed Banding
Proposed Deaveraged 2-wire Loop Rates - GTE**

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Rate Banding Summary							
Row	Rate ID	Rate Band	Number of Wire Centers	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines	
8							
9	1	Rate Band 1	39	1,388,360	\$20.72	57.85%	
10	2	Rate Band 2	38	956,690	\$27.42	39.86%	
11	3	Rate Band 3	13	54,872	\$49.93	2.29%	
21							
22		Total	90	2,399,922	\$ 24.06	100.00%	
23							
24							
25							

Rate Band Detail (Sorted by Monthly Cost)

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
32							
33	1		TAMPFLX22H	67,281	\$ 12.03	4.85%	-41.93%
34	1		BHPKFLXA28H	30,842	\$ 15.36	2.22%	-25.86%
35	1		SARKFLXARSA	3,269	\$ 16.99	0.24%	-17.99%
36	1		GNDYFLXA57H	25,873	\$ 17.48	1.86%	-15.63%
37	1		UNVRFLXA97H	48,053	\$ 18.02	3.46%	-13.02%
38	1		INRKFLX59H	26,219	\$ 18.18	1.89%	-12.25%
39	1		SEKYFLXA34H	13,593	\$ 18.67	0.98%	-9.88%
40	1		SPBGFLXA89H	53,736	\$ 18.78	3.87%	-9.35%
41	1		FHSDFLXA57H	17,397	\$ 18.97	1.25%	-8.43%
42	1		SRSTFLXA95H	57,315	\$ 19.40	4.13%	-6.36%
43	1		WSSDFLXA87H	50,462	\$ 19.70	3.63%	-4.91%
44	1		SGBEFLXA36H	19,446	\$ 19.71	1.40%	-4.86%
45	1		SNSPFLXA37H	17,782	\$ 20.30	1.28%	-2.01%
46	1		HYPKFLXADS0	25,936	\$ 20.22	1.87%	-2.40%
47	1		CLWRFLXA44H	63,746	\$ 20.45	4.59%	-1.29%
48	1		TMTRFLXADS0	35,435	\$ 20.67	2.55%	-0.23%
49	1		ANMRFLXA77H	8,890	\$ 20.70	0.64%	-0.08%
50	1		PNLSFLXA53H	53,374	\$ 20.84	3.84%	0.59%
51	1		CNSDFLXA79H	57,264	\$ 20.86	4.12%	0.69%
52	1		LGBKFLXA38H	12,438	\$ 20.96	0.90%	1.17%
53	1		SWTHFLXA88H	52,600	\$ 21.06	3.79%	1.66%
54	1		SPBGFLXS86H	25,132	\$ 21.49	1.81%	3.73%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines in Band	Percent Deviation From Average
55	1		PSDNFLXA34H	36,607	\$ 21.60	2.64%	4.26%
56	1		LRGOFLLXA58H	42,465	\$ 21.69	3.06%	4.70%
57	1		BRBAFLXA75H	54,396	\$ 22.16	3.92%	6.97%
58	1		SLSPFLXA93H	37,112	\$ 22.41	2.67%	8.17%
59	1		DNDNFLXA73H	26,932	\$ 22.46	1.94%	8.41%
60	1		WLCRFLXA83H	35,882	\$ 22.46	2.58%	8.41%
61	1		STGRFLXA78H	57,595	\$ 22.60	4.15%	9.09%
62	1		ENWDFLLXA47H	23,000	\$ 22.76	1.66%	9.86%
63	1		NGBHFLXA39H	53,643	\$ 22.82	3.86%	10.15%
64	1		SMNFLXA23H	20,525	\$ 22.96	1.48%	10.83%
65	1		BAYUFLXA54H	37,790	\$ 23.24	2.72%	12.18%
66	1		LLMNFLXADS0	44,963	\$ 23.45	3.24%	13.19%
67	1		SKWYFLXADS0	29,298	\$ 23.55	2.11%	13.67%
68	1		CYGRFLXA32H	13,303	\$ 23.60	0.96%	13.92%
69	1		VENCFLXA48H	32,585	\$ 23.86	2.35%	15.17%
70	1		PLSLFLXA79H	26,333	\$ 24.04	1.90%	16.04%
71	1		SSDSFLXA92H	49,848	\$ 24.05	3.59%	16.09%
		Band 1 Summary		1,388,360	\$ 20.72	100%	
73	2		YBCTFLXA24H	15,542	\$ 24.18	1.62%	-11.80%
74	2		OLDSFLXA85H	19,120	\$ 24.73	2.00%	-9.80%
75	2		LKLDFLXA68H	48,819	\$ 24.79	5.10%	-9.58%
76	2		BRTNFLXX74H	41,173	\$ 24.84	4.30%	-9.40%
77	2		SPRGFLXA37H	34,120	\$ 24.98	3.57%	-8.89%
78	2		NRSDFLLXA35H	28,449	\$ 24.96	2.97%	-8.96%
79	2		WNHNFLXC29H	35,872	\$ 24.98	3.75%	-8.89%
80	2		CRWDFLLXA96H	61,012	\$ 25.09	6.38%	-8.49%
81	2		NPRCFLXA84H	56,827	\$ 25.26	5.94%	-7.87%
82	2		VENCFLXSDS0	22,884	\$ 25.56	2.39%	-6.77%
83	2		BRNDFLLXA68H	75,862	\$ 25.68	7.93%	-6.33%
84	2		BYSHFLXA84H	1,694	\$ 25.80	0.18%	-5.90%
85	2		TRSPFLXA93H	43,366	\$ 25.94	4.53%	-5.39%
86	2		HGLDFLLXA64H	34,998	\$ 26.02	3.66%	-5.09%
87	2		LKLDFLXE66H	21,085	\$ 26.46	2.20%	-3.49%
88	2		TAMPFLXEDS0	42,757	\$ 26.58	4.47%	-3.05%
89	2		LUTZFLXA94H	16,539	\$ 26.69	1.73%	-2.65%
90	2		BARTFLXA53H	14,510	\$ 27.00	1.52%	-1.52%
91	2		OSPRFLXA96H	10,127	\$ 27.21	1.06%	-0.75%
92	2		HDSNFLXA86H	38,946	\$ 27.60	4.07%	0.67%
93	2		WLCHFLXA97H	15,966	\$ 28.77	1.67%	4.94%
94	2		ABDLFLXA96H	14,379	\$ 28.85	1.50%	5.23%
95	2		ZPHYFLXA78H	32,465	\$ 29.03	3.39%	5.89%
96	2		PLMTFLXA72H	24,795	\$ 29.31	2.59%	6.91%
97	2		HNCYFLXA42H	16,240	\$ 30.18	1.70%	10.08%
98	2		LKWFLFLXA67H	14,313	\$ 31.12	1.50%	13.51%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
99	2		MLBYFLXARSA	6,893	\$ 31.21	0.72%	13.84%
100	2		HNCYFLXN424	10,375	\$ 31.27	1.08%	14.06%
101	2		LKLDFLXN85H	27,743	\$ 31.57	2.90%	15.15%
102	2		PTCYFLXA75H	32,106	\$ 32.06	3.36%	16.94%
103	2		ALFAFLXA67H	18,303	\$ 32.31	1.91%	17.85%
104	2		RSKNFLXA64H	12,877	\$ 32.36	1.35%	18.03%
105	2		KYSTFLXA92H	14,285	\$ 32.77	1.49%	19.53%
106	2		POINFLXARSA	1,794	\$ 34.38	0.19%	25.40%
107	2		MNLKFLXA85H	9,804	\$ 34.61	1.02%	26.24%
108	2		NRPTFLXA42H	15,565	\$ 34.61	1.63%	26.24%
109	2		WIMMFLXA63H	15,673	\$ 35.33	1.64%	28.86%
110	2		THNTFLXADS0	9,412	\$ 36.02	0.98%	31.38%
		Band 2 Summary		956,690	\$ 27.42	100%	
112	3		LKALFLXA95H	5,051	\$ 36.77	9.21%	-26.36%
113	3		DUNDFLXA43H	7,117	\$ 40.46	12.97%	-18.97%
114	3		BBPKFLXARSA	3,155	\$ 41.27	5.75%	-17.35%
115	3		FRSTFLXA63H	5,731	\$ 42.33	10.44%	-15.23%
116	3		PKCYFLXARSA	5,368	\$ 43.35	9.78%	-13.19%
117	3		LKWFLXERSA	3,939	\$ 43.40	7.18%	-13.08%
118	3		LNLKFLXA99H	8,344	\$ 48.05	15.21%	-3.77%
119	3		PNCRFLXA73J	4,875	\$ 49.21	8.88%	-1.45%
120	3		ALTRFLXARSA	2,445	\$ 56.14	4.46%	12.43%
121	3		BRJTFLXARSA	1,268	\$ 58.70	2.31%	17.56%
122	3		PRSHFLXARSA	3,384	\$ 71.38	6.17%	42.95%
123	3		INLKFLXARSA	1,491	\$ 84.55	2.72%	69.32%
124	3		MYCYFLXA32H	2,704	\$ 99.74	4.93%	99.74%
125		Band 3 Summary		54,872	\$ 49.93	100%	

Sprint-Florida
Sprint Proposed Banding
Proposed Deaveraged 2-wire Loop Rates - GTE

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Rate Banding Summary							
Row	Rate ID	Rate Band	Number of Wire Centers	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines	
8							
9	1	Rate Band 1	2	98,123	\$15.44	4.09%	
10	2	Rate Band 2	36	1,240,389	\$25.02	51.68%	
11	3	Rate Band 3	30	876,719	\$31.10	36.53%	
12	4	Rate Band 4	11	141,987	\$40.02	5.92%	
13	5	Rate Band 5	6	31,412	\$53.29	1.31%	
14	6	Rate Band 6	3	7,097	\$75.42	0.30%	
15	7	Rate Band 7	2	4,195	\$111.42	0.17%	
21							
22		Total	90	2,399,922	\$ 28.41	100.00%	

Common Cost
18.10%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Rate Band Detail (Sorted by Monthly Cost)							
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost w/ Common (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
32							
33	1		TAMPFLXX22H	67,281	\$ 14.21	68.57%	-8.00%
34	1		BHPKFLXA28H	30,842	\$ 18.14	31.43%	17.46%
35		Band 1 Summary		98,123	\$ 15.44	100.00%	
36	2		SARKFLXARSA	3,269	\$ 20.07	0.26%	-19.81%
37	2		GNDYFLXA57H	25,873	\$ 20.64	2.09%	-17.50%
38	2		UNVRFLXA97H	48,053	\$ 21.28	3.87%	-14.95%
39	2		INRKFLXX59H	26,219	\$ 21.47	2.11%	-14.19%
40	2		SEKYFLXA34H	13,593	\$ 22.05	1.10%	-11.88%
41	2		SPBGFLXA89H	53,736	\$ 22.18	4.33%	-11.36%
42	2		FHSDFLXA57H	17,397	\$ 22.40	1.40%	-10.47%
43	2		SRSTFLXA95H	57,315	\$ 22.91	4.62%	-8.44%
44	2		WSSDFLXA87H	50,462	\$ 23.27	4.07%	-7.02%
45	2		SGBEFLXA36H	19,446	\$ 23.28	1.57%	-6.97%
46	2		SNSPFLXA37H	17,782	\$ 23.97	1.43%	-4.19%
47	2		HYPKFLXADS0	25,936	\$ 23.88	2.09%	-4.57%
48	2		CLWRFLXA44H	63,746	\$ 24.15	5.14%	-3.48%
49	2		TMTRFLXADS0	35,435	\$ 24.41	2.86%	-2.44%
50	2		ANMRFLXA77H	8,890	\$ 24.45	0.72%	-2.30%
51	2		PNLSFLXA53H	53,374	\$ 24.61	4.30%	-1.64%
52	2		CNSDFLXA79H	57,264	\$ 24.64	4.62%	-1.55%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost w/ Common (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
53	2		LGBKFLXA38H	12,438	\$ 24.75	1.00%	-1.07%
54	2		SWTHFLXA88H	52,600	\$ 24.87	4.24%	-0.60%
55	2		SPBGFLXS86H	25,132	\$ 25.38	2.03%	1.43%
56	2		PSDNFLXA34H	36,607	\$ 25.51	2.95%	1.95%
57	2		LRGOFXA58H	42,465	\$ 25.62	3.42%	2.37%
58	2		BRBAFLXA75H	54,396	\$ 26.17	4.39%	4.59%
59	2		SLSPFLXA93H	37,112	\$ 26.47	2.99%	5.77%
60	2		DNDNFLXA73H	26,932	\$ 26.53	2.17%	6.01%
61	2		WLCRFLXA83H	35,882	\$ 26.53	2.89%	6.01%
62	2		STGRFLXA78H	57,595	\$ 26.69	4.64%	6.67%
63	2		ENWDFLXA47H	23,000	\$ 26.88	1.85%	7.42%
64	2		NGBHFLXA39H	53,643	\$ 26.95	4.32%	7.71%
65	2		SMNFLXA23H	20,525	\$ 27.12	1.65%	8.37%
66	2		BAYUFLXA54H	37,790	\$ 27.45	3.05%	9.69%
67	2		LLMNFLXADS0	44,963	\$ 27.69	3.62%	10.68%
68	2		SKWYFLXADS0	29,298	\$ 27.81	2.36%	11.15%
69	2		CYGRFLXA32H	13,303	\$ 27.87	1.07%	11.39%
70	2		VENCFLXA48H	32,585	\$ 28.18	2.63%	12.61%
71	2		PLSLFLXA79H	26,333	\$ 28.39	2.12%	13.46%
72		Band 2 Summary		1,240,389	\$ 25.02	100%	
73	3		SSDSFLXA92H	49,848	\$ 28.40	5.69%	-8.68%
74	3		YBCTFLXA24H	15,542	\$ 28.56	1.77%	-8.19%
75	3		OLDSFLXA85H	19,120	\$ 29.21	2.18%	-6.10%
76	3		LKLDFLXA68H	48,819	\$ 29.28	5.57%	-5.87%
77	3		BRTNFLXX74H	41,173	\$ 29.34	4.70%	-5.68%
78	3		SPRGFLXA37H	34,120	\$ 29.50	3.89%	-5.15%
79	3		NRSDFLXA35H	28,449	\$ 29.48	3.24%	-5.23%
80	3		WNHNFLXC29H	35,872	\$ 29.50	4.09%	-5.15%
81	3		CRWDFLXA96H	61,012	\$ 29.63	6.96%	-4.73%
82	3		NPRCFLXA84H	56,827	\$ 29.83	6.48%	-4.09%
83	3		VENCFLXS0	22,884	\$ 30.19	2.61%	-2.95%
84	3		BRNDFLXA68H	75,862	\$ 30.33	8.65%	-2.49%
85	3		BYSHFLXA84H	1,694	\$ 30.47	0.19%	-2.04%
86	3		TRSPFLXA93H	43,366	\$ 30.64	4.95%	-1.51%
87	3		HGLDFLXA64H	34,998	\$ 30.73	3.99%	-1.20%
88	3		LKLDFLXE66H	21,085	\$ 31.25	2.40%	0.47%
89	3		TAMPFLXEDS0	42,757	\$ 31.39	4.88%	0.92%
90	3		LUTZFLXA94H	16,539	\$ 31.52	1.89%	1.34%
91	3		BARTFLXA53H	14,510	\$ 31.89	1.66%	2.52%
92	3		OSPRFLXA96H	10,127	\$ 32.14	1.16%	3.32%
93	3		HDSNFLXA86H	38,946	\$ 32.60	4.44%	4.80%
94	3		WLCHFLXA97H	15,966	\$ 33.98	1.82%	9.24%
95	3		ABDLFLXA96H	14,379	\$ 34.07	1.64%	9.54%
96	3		ZPHYFLXA78H	32,465	\$ 34.28	3.70%	10.23%

A	B	C	D	E	F	H	I
Row	Rate Band	Exchange	Wire Center	Total Lines Served	Monthly Cost w/ Common (TELRIC)	Percent of Total Lines In Band	Percent Deviation From Average
97	3		PLMTFLXA72H	24,795	\$ 34.62	2.83%	11.29%
98	3		HNCYFLXA42H	16,240	\$ 35.64	1.85%	14.59%
99	3		LKWFLXA67H	14,313	\$ 36.75	1.63%	18.16%
100	3		MLBYFLXARSA	6,893	\$ 36.86	0.79%	18.50%
101	3		HNCYFLXN424	10,375	\$ 36.93	1.18%	18.73%
102	3		LKLDFLXN85H	27,743	\$ 37.28	3.16%	19.87%
103		Band 3 Summary		876,719	\$ 31.10	100%	
104	4		PTCYFLXA75H	32,106	\$ 37.86	22.61%	-5.39%
105	4		ALFAFLXA67H	18,303	\$ 38.16	12.89%	-4.66%
106	4		RSKNFLXA64H	12,877	\$ 38.22	9.07%	-4.51%
107	4		KYSTFLXA92H	14,285	\$ 38.70	10.06%	-3.30%
108	4		POINFLXARSA	1,794	\$ 40.60	1.26%	1.45%
109	4		MNLKFLXA85H	9,804	\$ 40.87	6.90%	2.13%
110	4		NRPTFLXA42H	15,565	\$ 40.87	10.96%	2.13%
111	4		WIMMFLXA63H	15,673	\$ 41.72	11.04%	4.26%
112	4		THNTFLXADS0	9,412	\$ 42.54	6.63%	6.29%
113	4		LKALFLXA95H	5,051	\$ 43.43	3.56%	8.50%
114	4		DUNDFLXA43H	7,117	\$ 47.78	5.01%	19.39%
115		Band 4 Summary		141,987	\$ 40.02	100%	
116	5		BBPKFLXARSA	3,155	\$ 48.74	10.04%	-8.53%
117	5		FRSTFLXA63H	5,731	\$ 49.99	18.24%	-6.18%
118	5		PKCYFLXARSA	5,368	\$ 51.20	17.09%	-3.92%
119	5		LKWFLXERSA	3,939	\$ 51.26	12.54%	-3.81%
120	5		LNLKFLXA99H	8,344	\$ 56.75	26.56%	6.50%
121	5		PNCRFLXA73J	4,875	\$ 58.12	15.52%	9.07%
122		Band 5 Summary		31,412	\$ 53.29	100%	
123	6		ALTRFLXARSA	2,445	\$ 66.30	34.45%	-12.09%
124	6		BRJTFLXARSA	1,268	\$ 69.32	17.87%	-8.09%
125	6		PRSHFLXARSA	3,384	\$ 84.30	47.68%	11.77%
126		Band 6 Summary		7,097	\$ 75.42	100%	
127	7		INLKFLXARSA	1,491	\$ 99.85	35.54%	-10.38%
128	7		MYCYFLXA32H	2,704	\$ 117.79	64.46%	5.72%
129		Band 7 Summary		4,195	\$ 111.42	100%	