

**Before the
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850**

In re:

Request for Review of Proposed
Numbering Plan Relief for the 941 Area Code

Docket No. 000604-TL

**PETITION OF THE
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN ADMINISTRATOR
ON BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY**

NeuStar, Inc, in its role as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (“NANPA”) for Florida under the North American Numbering Plan and acting on behalf of the Florida telecommunications industry (“Industry”),¹ petitions the Florida Public Service Commission (“Commission”)² for approval of the Industry’s consensus decision to implement an all services distributed overlay relief plan for the 941 Numbering Plan Area (“NPA”).³ In the event the Commission decides not to adopt an overlay, the Industry recommends the geographic split identified as Alternative #4 in Exhibit A attached hereto as its second choice for relief of the 941 NPA. The Industry submits its recommendation to the

¹ The Industry is composed of current and prospective telecommunications carriers operating in, or considering operations within, the state of Florida.

² The Federal Communications Commission delegated authority to review and approve NPA relief plans to the states. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 52.19 (1999).

³ As the neutral third party administrator, NANPA has no independent view regarding the relief option selected by the Industry.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11742 SEP 198

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Commission based upon NANPA's projections that absent NPA relief, the supply of central office codes (often referred to as "CO" or "NXX" codes) for the 941 NPA would exhaust during the first quarter of 2003.⁴ In order to allow sufficient time for completion of the consensus plan prior to exhaust, the Industry requests that the Commission issue a decision approving the Industry's recommended relief plan no later than May 31, 2001. In support of this Petition, NANPA submits the following:

I. BACKGROUND

Based upon the projected exhaust date and pursuant to Industry guidelines,⁵ NANPA facilitated an Industry meeting in Fort Myers, Florida on July 11, 2000, to review and discuss relief alternatives for the 941 NPA.⁶ Pursuant to the NPA Relief Planning Guidelines, NANPA distributed to Industry members an Initial Planning Document ("IPD") prior to the relief planning meeting. The IPD set forth four relief proposals for the 941 NPA: an all services distributed overlay – referred to as Alternative #1 in the IPD, a geographic split in which the dividing line follows the LATA line between the Tampa and Fort Myers LATAs – referred to as Alternative #2; a geographic split with the boundary line following the boundary between the Punta Gorda and Port Charlotte rate centers – referred to as Alternative

⁴ 2000 COCUS and NPA Exhaust Analysis, May 23, 2000 ("2000 COCUS"). The 2000 COCUS can be accessed on NANPA's web site at <<http://www.nanpa.com>>.

⁵ To plan for the introduction of new area codes, NANPA and the Industry utilize the NPA Code Relief Planning & Notification Guidelines (INC 97-0404-016, November 8, 1999) ("NPA Relief Planning Guidelines"). The NPA Relief Planning Guidelines assist NANPA, the Industry, and regulatory authorities in the planning and execution of relief efforts within a particular geographic NPA. The NPA Relief Planning Guidelines can be accessed on the ATIS web site located at <<http://www.atis.org/atis/clc/inc/incdocs.htm>>.

⁶ Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the July 11, 2000 relief planning meeting minutes.

#3; and a geographic split with the boundary line following the boundary line between the Punta Gorda and North Fort Myers rate centers and coinciding with county boundaries – referred to as Alternative #4.⁷ Prior to the publication of the IPD, the Commission Staff submitted two NPA relief alternatives to NANPA identical to Alternatives #1 and #4 in the IPD. No additional alternatives were presented by Industry members. The IPD included NPA maps, descriptions, dialing requirements, and the projected life in years of each relief alternative.

At the meeting, the participants discussed the attributes of the various alternatives for each NPA and reached consensus to recommend Alternative #1, the all services distributed overlay relief plan, for the 941 NPA. In the event the Commission chooses not to adopt an overlay, the Industry recommends that the Commission approve Alternative #4. The Industry eliminated from consideration geographic split Alternative #2 because the dividing boundary line would split certain communities of interest and county lines. The Industry noted that a similar proposal during the 1999 941 NPA relief proceeding received public criticism.⁸ The Industry rejected Alternatives #3 because it would divide Extended Area Service (“EAS”) local calling areas and it would split county boundaries.

⁷ A copy of the IPD, including maps depicting each alternative, is attached as Attachment #5 to Exhibit A.

⁸ In 1998 and 1999, the Commission conducted public hearings and an evidentiary hearing to address NPA relief for the 941 NPA and ultimately issued an order directing the split of the 941 NPA. *Final Order Approving Numbering Plan Area Relief for the 941 Area Code*, Docket Nos. 981941-TL, 990184-TL, 990223-TL, Order No. PSC-00-1066-FOF-TL (May 25, 1999). The 863 NPA was assigned to relieve the 941 NPA.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED RELIEF PLANS FOR THE 941 NPA

The recommended all services distributed overlay alternative for the 941 NPA would overlay a new area code over the same geographic area covered by the existing NPA. All existing customers in the 941 NPA would retain their current ten digit telephone numbers. In agreement with Federal Communications Commission regulations, the relief plan would require ten-digit local dialing both within and across boundaries of the existing NPA and the relief NPA.⁹ When the 941 NPA exhausts, all CO code assignments will be made in the new overlay area code. The projected life of Alternative #1 is 8 years.

The Industry recommends that the Commission release an NPA relief order no later than May 31, 2001. Based upon a relief order released prior to that date, the Industry recommends that the Commission adopt a nineteen-month implementation schedule including a six month network preparation period beginning immediately upon the release of the Commission's relief order. The network preparation period will be followed by a twelve-month permissive dialing period during which customers may dial seven or ten digits for local calls placed within the 941 NPA. Mandatory dialing will begin at the end of the permissive dialing period. Activation of the first CO code from the new relief NPA will occur no sooner than one month after the implementation of mandatory ten-digit dialing. Adhering to the proposed timeframe will avoid the denial or delay of service to telecommunications providers' customers due to the unavailability of CO codes.

⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 52.19(c)(3)(ii).

The Industry's second choice for relief, a geographic split, would split the 941 NPA into two NPAs with the dividing boundary coinciding with both rate center boundaries and county boundaries. A geographic split requires customers located within the area receiving the new area code to change their telephone numbers. A combination of seven and ten-digit local dialing patterns would be employed: local calls placed within an area code would require seven-digit dialing while local calls placed between the two area codes would require ten-digit dialing. The projected life of Alternative #4 is 7 years for one area and 10 years for the other area.

III. CONCLUSION

The Industry has determined the need to initiate relief efforts for the 941 NPA in Florida to prevent the exhaust of numbering resources. NANPA, on behalf of the Industry, requests that the Commission approve the Industry's recommended all services distributed relief plan and implementation schedule for the 941 NPA no later than May 31, 2001. In the event the Commission decides not to adopt an overlay, the Industry recommends that the Commission adopt Alternative #4, a geographic split, as its second choice for relief of the

941 NPA. The Industry will begin implementing NPA relief once the Commission has issued a final order approving the instant petition.

Respectfully submitted,



Cheryl A. Tritt
Kimberly D. Wheeler

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 887-1500

Counsel for NeuStar, Inc.
North American Numbering Plan Administrator

September 18, 2000

EXHIBIT A

**MEETING MINUTES OF THE FLORIDA
941 NPA RELIEF PLANNING INDUSTRY MEETING**

**TUESDAY, JULY 11, 2000
Fort Myers, Florida**

**MEETING MINUTES OF THE FLORIDA
941 NPA RELIEF PLANNING INDUSTRY MEETING**

**TUESDAY, JULY 11, 2000
Fort Myers, Florida**

WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

Thomas C. Foley, NPA Relief Planner, NeuStar, Inc., introduced himself and Frank Colaco, NPA Relief Planner, and welcomed everyone to the 941 Relief Planning Meeting. He then asked the attendees to introduce themselves and identify the companies they represented. There were thirteen participants at the meeting representing eight different entities. See Attachment #1 for the names of those who attended. See Attachment #2 for the agenda. The agenda was reviewed and no alterations were made to the discussion items or the timetable.

NANPA'S ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Mr. Foley shared points regarding NANPA's role and responsibilities. A complete summary of the Federal Communications Commission Action Regarding Administration of the North American Numbering Plan can be found at the following website address: www.atis.org/atis/nanp/nanpreq.htm.

Mr. Foley provided the meeting participants with various web site addresses containing information regarding NANPA and its relief activities and responsibilities. The list also included the web site addresses of Eastern Region NPA Relief Planning staff members and their contact information.

MINUTES AND STATEMENTS FOR THE RECORD

Tom reviewed the consensus process definition with the participants and emphasized silence was consensus. Tom indicated that minutes of the meeting would only include consensus agreements. He also explained that participants would have an opportunity to make statements for the record, if desired.

The meeting format was reviewed and participants were reminded that the meeting minutes serve as the basis of the filing of the recommended relief plan with the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC).

INDUSTRY GUIDELINES /GENERAL ATTRIBUTES

Mr. Foley reviewed a summary of the Industry Approved Documentation Related to NPA Exhaust including the NPA Code Relief Planning and Notification Guidelines (INC 97-0404-016, Issued 11/8/99). This document can be obtained from the Internet at www.atis.org/atis/clc/inc/incdocs.htm.

Additionally, Tom reviewed the generally accepted attributes of both overlay and geographic split NPA relief alternatives. The attributes are found in Attachment #3.

REVIEW CODE ASSIGNMENT HISTORY

Tom reviewed the code assignment history for the 941 NPA, which reflects 526 NXXs assigned through July 5, 2000. Currently, 253 NXX codes remain available for assignment in the 941 NPA and 21 codes are designated as Hold, Test or Unavailable. It was noted that the 2000 Central Office Code Utilization Survey (COCUS) reflects that the 941 NPA is forecasted to exhaust in 1Q2003. The previous forecast had the exhaust 4Q2002. A summary of code assignment history is found in Attachment #4.

REVIEW INITIAL PLANNING DOCUMENT (IPD)

Tom reviewed the four NPA relief alternatives provided in the Initial Planning Document (IPD) that were proposed by NANPA. Tom reviewed Alternative #1, a distributed overlay and he also reviewed the rate center and county maps for the three split alternatives. Tom indicated that prior to publication of the IPD, the FPSC Staff submitted two alternatives, which were identical to the NANPA Alternatives #1 and #4.

ADDITIONAL ALTERNATIVES FROM THE INDUSTRY

No additional alternatives were presented by the industry at the meeting.

ELIMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES

A proposal was made, and consensus was reached, to eliminate Alternative #2 for the following reasons:

- Splits communities of interests
- Splits county lines
- Negative public reaction to a similar relief effort previously proposed

A proposal was made, and consensus was reached, to eliminate Alternative #3 for the following reasons:

- Splits Equal Access Service (EAS) local routes
- Splits county lines

CONSENSUS ON A RELIEF ALTERNATIVE

A proposal was made, and consensus was reached to select Alternative #1, an all services overlay, as the Industry's preferred form of relief in the 941 NPA. Additionally, in the event an overlay relief plan is rejected by the FPSC in favor of a geographic split, the industry has indicated by consensus a preference for Alternative #4.

CONSENSUS ON A DIALING PLAN

A proposal was made, and consensus reached, that the dialing plan for the overlay is recommended to be 10 digits for local calls.

CONSENSUS ON IMPLEMENTATION INTERVALS

A recommendation was made, and consensus was reached, to adopt the following 19-month total implementation schedule for the all service overlay to commence upon receipt of a commission order consisting of:

- 6 months network preparation
- 12 months permissive dialing period
- 1 month first code activation

INDUSTRY COMMITMENT FOR TEST NUMBER

Sprint volunteered to provide a test number for the purposes of implementing the new overlay relief NPA.

CONSENSUS ON NANPA FILING INDUSTRY EFFORTS WITH COMMISSION

Consensus was reached that NANPA will document the Industry efforts regarding the 941 NPA relief and prepare the filing with the Florida Public Service Commission. The Industry agreed that the filing with the Florida Public Service Commission be made on or before September 19, 2000. Consensus was reached that the filing to the FPSC include a request that the commission make its decision on a 941 NPA relief plan by May 31, 2001.

SET DATE FOR CONFERENCE CALL TO APPROVE MINUTES

Consensus was reached that the draft notes from this meeting will be distributed along with a draft commission filing to the industry by August 29, 2000. Consensus was also reached to conduct a conference call on September 12, 2000 at 10:00 AM (Eastern Time) to review and approve these notes as well as, the draft filing to the FPSC. Details of the call are as follows:

Date: Tuesday, September 12, 2000
Time: 10:00 AM (Eastern Time)
Call-in Number: (847) 413-2931
Access Code: 5095739#

The teleconference to approve the minutes and draft filing was held as scheduled. Only one industry member attended. There were no objections to these minutes and filing.

Attachment #1

**ATTENDEES
FLORIDA 941 NPA RELIEF PLANNING
INDUSTRY MEETING
TUESDAY JULY 11, 2000
Fort Myers, Florida**

Thomas C. Foley
Frank Colaco
Jason Duff
Barbara Green
Beverly Menard
Joe Gillenwate
Penny Hadaway
Susan Israel
John Snyder
Sandra Khazraee
Howard Packer
Anne Henderson
Levent Ileri
Cheryl Bulecza-Banks
Vince Sosa

NeuStar, Inc., NANPA
NeuStar, Inc., NANPA
Sprint
Sprint
GTE Florida
ITC DeltaCom
ITC DeltaCom
BellSouth Cellular
Sprint
Sprint
BellSouth Mobility
AT&T
FPSC
FPSC
TSR Wireless

Attachment #2

**FLORIDA 941 NPA RELIEF
INDUSTRY MEETING
Tuesday July 11, 2000**

**Comfort Suites Airport
13651 Indian Paint Lane
Fort Myers, Florida 33912
(941) 768-0005**

AGENDA

- 8:30 Registration
- 8:45 Welcome and Introductions
- 8:55 NANPA Role and Responsibilities
- 9:05 Minutes and "Statements For The Record"
- 9:15 Industry Guidelines/General Attributes
- 9:25 Review Code Assignment History
- 9:40 Review Initial Planning Document (IPD)
- 10:15 Break
- 10:30 Review Initial Planning Document (IPD)
- 11:00 Additional Alternatives from Industry
- 11:45 Lunch (On Your Own)
- 12:45 Elimination Of Alternatives
- 1:00 Consensus On Relief Alternative
- 2:15 Consensus on Dialing Plan
- 2:30 Break
- 2:45 Consensus on Implementation Intervals
- 3:15 Industry Commitment For Test Number
- 3:30 Consensus on NANPA Filing Industry Efforts With Commission
- 3:40 Statements For the Record
- 3:45 Set Date For Conference Call To Approve Minutes
- 3:55 Complete NANPA Survey
- 4:00 Adjourn

Attachment #3
GENERAL ATTRIBUTES
FLORIDA 941 NPA RELIEF

General NPA Relief Alternative Attributes

Splits

Overlays

General Attributes of Splits

- Splits provide a single area code for each geographic area. This may minimize confusion for customers outside the area. Future splits will reduce the geographic size of the area code.
- Splits require an area code change for approximately one half of customer's numbers in a two way split and two thirds of customer's numbers in a three way split. Stationery, business cards and advertising will need to be revised by customers receiving the new area code.
- Geographic splits permit 7 digit local dialing within the smaller home NPA. However local dialing across the NPA boundary should become 10 digit.

General Attributes of Overlays

- With an overlay there will be multiple area codes for each geographic area and it will end further shrinking of the geographic size of the area code. Subsequent relief will likely be another overlay. Overlays avoid the need for public and political involvement concerning split boundaries and which side should retain the old area code.
 - An overlay will not require existing customers to change their area code. There is no need to revise stationery, business cards and advertising unless they contain only seven digit phone numbers.
 - An overlay will require customers to dial 10 Digits or 1 + 10 digits for all calls within the geographic area.
-
-

Overlays

General Attributes of Concentrated Growth Overlays

- Special and unique monitoring methods, not currently available, are required for exhaust for the area outside of the concentrated overlay.
 - It is very difficult to predict the exhaust of the non-overlay area of the concentrated overlay.
 - Customer confusion pertaining to dialing for a concentrated overlay could exist.
 - In order to preserve codes, the NPA must be identified as needing relief and the relief plan needs to be approved much earlier in order to preserve enough codes to serve the non-concentrated overlay area.
 - A concentrated growth overlay minimizes implementation of 10 digit dialing for customers.
 - Normally, no existing customers will be required to change their telephone number.
-
-

Attachment #4

NPA 941 NXX
Summary

Data as of July 5, 2000

<u>NPA</u>	<u>941</u>
Assigned NXXs	526
Protected	0
Reserved	0
Hold	1
Test NXXs	2
Unavailable NXXs	18
Available NXXs	253

Total 800

<u>Service Providers:</u>	<u>941</u>
ILEC	2
CLEC	19
CAP	2
Interexchange Carrier	0
Wireless	21
Reseller	2

<u>Codes assigned NPA 941</u>	<u>Jan-99</u>	<u>Feb-99</u>	<u>Mar-99</u>	<u>Apr-99</u>	<u>May-99</u>
	8	10	7	1	1
	<u>Jun-99</u>	<u>Jul-99</u>	<u>Aug-99</u>	<u>Sep-99</u>	<u>Oct-99</u>
	1	6	5	1	4
	<u>Nov-99</u>	<u>Dec-99</u>	<u>Jan-00</u>	<u>Feb-00</u>	<u>Mar-00</u>
	5	5	1	3	6
	<u>Apr-00</u>	<u>May-00</u>	<u>Jun-00</u>	<u>Jul-00</u>	
	7	14	15	0	

Attachment #5
INITIAL PLANNING DOCUMENT
FOR RELIEF OF FLORIDA 941 NPA

Initial Planning Document
For Relief of Florida 941 NPA
April 2000 NEP Projected Exhaust Date 1Q2003

North American Numbering Plan Administration

Thomas C. Foley
NPA Relief Planner – Eastern Region

941 NPA Relief Alternatives
Projected Exhaust Date 1Q2003

Overlay Alternatives

Alternative # 1

Distributed Overlay

A new NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area as the existing 941 NPA in Southwest Florida. Customers would retain their current telephone numbers; however, ten-digit local dialing by all customers between and within area codes in the area covered by the new code would be required. Codes in the overlay NPA will be assigned upon request with the effective date of the new area code. At exhaust of the 941 NPA all code assignments will be made in the new overlay area code.

Total codes at Exhaust = 783
Area code life in years = 8

Split Alternatives

The following two alternatives all involve the use of geographic splits. A split occurs when one geographic area receives a new NPA, while the other retains the current 941 NPA. All split plans would require ten-digit local dialing between NPAs in the same extended local calling area. Within an NPA, seven-digit local dialing would be permitted.

Alternative # 2

Geographic Split - LATA Line

The split boundary line runs along the LATA line between the Tampa LATA and the Fort Myers LATA.

Area A, North of the Split Line (Tampa LATA)
Total codes at Exhaust = 348
Area code life in years = 10

Area B, South of the Split Line (Fort Myers LATA)
Total codes at Exhaust = 345
Area code life in years = 6

941 NPA Relief Alternatives
Projected Exhaust Date 1Q2003

Alternative # 3

Geographic Split – Punta Gorda South

The split boundary line runs exchange boundaries between the Punta Gorda and Port Charlotte Exchanges.

Area A, North of Split Line
Total codes at Exhaust = 418
Area code life in years = 7

Area B, South of Split Line
Total codes at Exhaust = 365
Area code life in years = 9

Alternative # 4

Geographic Split – Punta Gorda North

The split boundary line runs along the boundary between the Punta Gorda and the North Fort Myers Exchanges. This line coincides with the county boundaries.

Area A, Northeast of the split line
Total codes at Exhaust = 429
Area code life in years = 7

Area B, Southwest of the split line
Total codes at Exhaust = 354
Area code life in years = 10

Alternatives Submitted by Florida Public Service Commission Staff

The Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission submitted two additional alternatives. They were the same as Alternative #1 and Alternative #4.

941 NPA Relief Alternatives
Projected Exhaust Date 1Q2003

FLORIDA 941 NPA ALTERNATIVES

PROJECTED LIVES OF RELIEF ALTERNATIVES


STANDARD LIVES (In Years)

<u>Alternative</u>	<u>Area A</u>	<u>Area B</u>
#1		8
#2	10	6
#3	7	9
#4	7	10

NPA 941 Rate Center Map



**ALTERNATIVE #1
OVERLAY**



ALTERNATIVE #1	PROJECTED LIVES
OVERLAY	8 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

-  NPA Boundaries
-  Rate Center Boundaries

NPA 941 County Map



ALTERNATIVE #1
OVERLAY



ALTERNATIVE #1	PROJECTED LIVES
OVERLAY	8 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- County Boundaries

NPA 941 Rate Center Map



**ALTERNATIVE #2
LATA Line Split**



ALTERNATIVE #2	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	10 Years
Area B	6 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

NPA 941 County Map



ALTERNATIVE #2
LATA Line Split



ALTERNATIVE #2	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	10 Years
Area B	6 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

-  NPA Boundaries
-  County Boundaries
-  Split Line

NPA 941 Rate Center Map



**ALTERNATIVE #3
Split Punta Gorda South**



ALTERNATIVE #3	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	7 Years
Area B	9 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

NPA 941 County Map



ALTERNATIVE #3
Split Punta Gorda South



ALTERNATIVE #3	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	7 Years
Area B	9 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

-  NPA Boundaries
-  County Boundaries
-  Split Line

NPA 941 Rate Center Map



**ALTERNATIVE #4
Split Punta Gorda North**



ALTERNATIVE #4	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	7 Years
Area B	10 Years


NPA 941 Map Legend

- NPA Boundaries
- Rate Center Boundaries
- Split Line

NPA 941 County Map



**ALTERNATIVE #4
Split Punta Gorda North**



ALTERNATIVE #4	PROJECTED LIVES
Area A	7 Years
Area B	10 Years

NPA 941 Map Legend

-  NPA Boundaries
-  County Boundaries
-  Split Line

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Theresa L. Pringleton, do hereby certify that the foregoing **PETITION** was delivered, via U.S. Mail, this 18th day of September, 2000, to the following:

Charlotte County Attorney's Office
Renee Francis Lee, County Attorney
Martha Young Burton
18500 Murdock Circle
Port Charlotte, FL 33948-1094

Collier County Board of Commissioners
3301 E. Tamiami Trail
Naples, FL 33962-4977

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc.
Michael A. Gross
310 N. Monroe St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lee County Board of Commissioners
P.O. Box 398
Ft. Myers, FL 33902-0398

Manatee County Board of Commissioners
James A. Minix, Esq.
P.O. Box 1000
Bradenton, FL 34206-1000

Messer Law Firm
Floyd Self
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Monroe County Board of Commissioners
Clerk
500 Whitehead St.
Key West, FL 33040-6547

NeuStar, Inc., NANPA
Thomas C. Foley, NPA Relief Planner
820 Riverbend Boulevard
Longwood, FL 32779

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Sarasota County
Kathleen Schneider, Assis. County Atty
1660 Ringling Blvd., 2nd fl.
Sarasota, FL 34236

Sprint PCS
Joe Assenzo
Legal Department
4900 Main Street, 11th Floor
Kansas City, MO 64112

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated
Charles Rehwinkel/Susan Masterton
(MC FLTLHO0107)
P. O. Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
Ms. Carolyn Marek
% Time Warner Telecom
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069-4002

Verizon Florida Inc.
Ms. Beverly Y. Menard
% Ms. Margo B. Hammar
106 East College Avenue, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Verizon Select Services Inc.
Kimberly Caswell
P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 33601-0110

NeuStar, Inc.
D. Wayne Milby
Senior NPA Relief Planner - Eastern Region
8385 Yahley Mill Road
Richmond, VA 23231



Theresa L. Pringleton