

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

SEPTEMBER 26, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 000798-TP - Petition for declaratory statement interpreting provisions of Sections 364.052(2) and 364.337(1), F.S. by Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone.

Issue 1: Should the Commission issue a declaratory statement to explain how the referenced statutes apply to TDS?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should issue a Declaratory Statement as requested by TDS.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in the majority column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12265 SEP 28 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

SEPTEMBER 26, 2000

DOCKET NO. 000798-TP - Petition for declaratory statement interpreting provisions of Sections 364.052(2) and 364.337(1), F.S. by Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Under the facts as alleged, will TDS be rate base, rate of return regulated or subject to price regulation as of January 1, 2001 and thereafter (absent an ALEC providing basic telecommunications service in TDS's territory)?

Recommendation: TDS will be rate base, rate of return regulated, under those facts.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Would the ALEC's provision of basic telecommunications service on either a stand-alone or bundled basis trigger TDS's being subject to price regulation? When would the triggering event occur?

Recommendation: Only the provision of stand-alone basic telecommunications service by an ALEC would trigger TDS's being subject to price regulation.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Could TDS, prior to January 1, 2001, voluntarily permit an ALEC to resell basic service, if TDS has not chosen to be price regulated?

Recommendation: No. TDS cannot decline price regulation during the pre-January 1, 2001 regime and permit an ALEC to resell basic service.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

SEPTEMBER 26, 2000

DOCKET NO. 000798-TP - Petition for declaratory statement interpreting provisions of Sections 364.052(2) and 364.337(1), F.S. by Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed.

APPROVED