

ORIGINAL



**TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**BEFORE THE**  
**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 000061-EI**

**REDACTED**

**SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY**  
**AND EXHIBIT OF**

**C. DAVID SWEAT**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

**12553 OCT-38**

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1                                   **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2                                   **PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY**

3                                   **OF**

4                                   **C. DAVID SWEAT**

5  
6   **Q.**   Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.

7  
8   **A.**   My name is David Sweat. My business address is 702 North  
9           Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am the Manager  
10           of System Planning in the Energy Delivery Department of  
11           Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the  
12           company").

13  
14   **Q.**   Are you the same C. David Sweat who filed prepared direct  
15           testimony in this proceeding on June 28, 2000?

16  
17   **A.**   Yes, I am.

18  
19   **Q.**   What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony in  
20           this proceeding?

21  
22   **A.**   The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to present  
23           recalculated values for the [REDACTED]  
24           [REDACTED] Odyssey Manufacturing  
25           Company ("Odyssey"). I will explain how and why these

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

values differ from the values shown in my direct testimony and used in the original Odyssey Rate Impact Measure ("RIM") analysis referenced in the direct testimony of Tampa Electric witness, William R. Ashburn.

**Q.** Have you prepared an exhibit supporting your testimony in this proceeding?

**A.** Yes. My Exhibit No. \_ (CDS-2) consists of one document prepared under my direction.

**Q.** Why would the value of the [REDACTED] change from the value originally calculated?

**A.** The company's original valuation of the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was an estimate. At the time that Tampa Electric was completing its RIM analysis, Odyssey had not yet [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was still in the preliminary stages. During the course of arriving at a final site layout, Tampa Electric agreed [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. In addition, the original calculation of value

1 excluded [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]. The recalculated value of  
3 [REDACTED] reflects these changes  
4 [REDACTED] as well as the price differential  
5 between the estimated and actual price paid [REDACTED]  
6 by Odyssey on September 22, 1998.

7  
8 Q. How does the recalculated value differ from the original?

9  
10 A. The differences between the estimated and actual values  
11 for [REDACTED] are shown in my  
12 Exhibit CDS-2. The original purchase price assumed  
13 [REDACTED] was over-estimated by [REDACTED]  
14 relative to the actual price. The original  
15 [REDACTED] assumptions were over-estimated for  
16 [REDACTED] by approximately [REDACTED] percent and under-  
17 estimated for [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] percent. The resulting  
18 total dollar difference between the original estimated  
19 value for the [REDACTED] and the  
20 actual value is [REDACTED] less.

21  
22 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

23  
24 A. Yes it does.  
25

**TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY  
DOCKET NO. 000061-EI  
WITNESS: C. DAVID SWEAT  
EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_\_ (CDS-2)**

**TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY  
SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT OF  
C. DAVID SWEAT**

**INDEX**

<b>DOCUMENT NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1	Comparison of Substation Land and Easement Values Based on Estimated and Actual Purchased Price and Square Footage	1

**TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY  
DOCKET NO. 000061-EI  
WITNESS: C. DAVID SWEAT  
EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ (CDS-2)**

**DOCUMENT NO. 1  
COMPARISON OF SUBSTATION  
LAND AND EASEMENT**

**THIS PAGE IS  
RESERVED FOR  
CONFIDENTIAL  
INFORMATION**