

AT&T and BellSouth's Revised Statement of Issues
November 16, 2000
Docket No. 000731-TP

1. Should calls to Internet service providers be treated as local traffic for the purposes of reciprocal compensation? (Attachment 3, Section 6.1.2) – PARTIES HAVE DEFERRED THIS ISSUE TO THE ISP GENERIC DOCKET.
2. What are the appropriate performance measurements and enforcement mechanisms that BellSouth should implement? (Performance Measures, Attachment 9) – PARTIES HAVE DEFERRED THIS ISSUE TO THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES GENERIC DOCKET.
3. Should BellSouth be required to adopt validation and audit requirements which will enable AT&T to assure the accuracy and reliability of the performance data BellSouth provides to AT&T, and upon which the FPSC will ultimately rely when drawing conclusions about whether BellSouth meets its obligations under the Act? (Performance Measures, Attachment 9) – PARTIES HAVE DEFERRED THIS ISSUE TO THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES GENERIC DOCKET.
4. What does “currently combines” mean as that phrase is used in 47 C.F.R. §51.315(b)? (UNEs Attachment 2, Section 2.7.1)
5. Should BellSouth be permitted to charge AT&T a “glue charge” when BellSouth combines network elements?
6. Under what rates, terms, and conditions may AT&T purchase network elements or combinations to replace services currently purchased from BellSouth tariffs? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 2.11)
7. How should AT&T and BellSouth interconnect their networks in order to originate and complete calls to end-users? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3)
8. What terms and conditions, and what separate rates if any, should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 5.2.5)
9. Should BellSouth provide local circuit switching at UNE rates to allow AT&T to serve the first three lines provided to a customer located in Density Zone 1 as determined by NECA Tariff No. 4 in effect on January 1, 1999 (“Density Zone 1”)? –THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN
10. Should BellSouth preclude AT&T from purchasing local circuit switching from BellSouth at UNE rates when a Density Zone 1 existing AT&T customer with 1-3 lines increases its lines to 4 or more? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 6.3.1.3 and 6.3.1.4) –THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN

11. Should BellSouth be allowed to aggregate lines provided to multiple locations of a single customer to restrict AT&T's ability to purchase local circuit switching at UNE rates to serve any of the lines of that customer? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 6.3.1.3 and 6.3.1.4)
12. Should AT&T be permitted to charge tandem rate elements when its switch serves a geographic area comparable to that served by BellSouth's tandem switch? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3, Section 1.3)
13. What are the appropriate means for BellSouth to provide unbundled local loops for provision of DSL service when such loops are provisioned on digital loop carrier facilities? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 3.11.2) –THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN
14. What coordinated cut-over process should be implemented to ensure accurate, reliable and timely cut-overs when a customer changes local service from BellSouth to AT&T? (UNEs, Attachment 2, Section 3.8 et seq.)
15. When a local call originates on the facilities of a CLEC and terminates to an AT&T customer served by a loop/port combination purchased by AT&T from BellSouth, who is responsible for paying for each element of the networks used to place and complete the call and which party, if any, is entitled to collect reciprocal compensation for the call? – SETTLED
16. What is the appropriate treatment of outbound voice calls over Internet protocol ("IP") telephony, as it pertains to reciprocal compensation? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3, Section 6.1.9)
17. In calculating Percent Local Usage (PLU) for purposes of reciprocal compensation, should AT&T be allowed to report the Traffic on a monthly, rather than quarterly, basis? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3, Section 6.1.11) – SETTLED
18. What are the appropriate intervals for the delivery of collocation space to AT&T? (Collocation, Attachment 4, Section 6.4).-- SETTLED
19. When AT&T and BellSouth have adjoining facilities in a building outside BellSouth's central office, should AT&T be able to purchase cross connect facilities to connect to BellSouth or other ALEC networks without having to collocate in BellSouth's portion of the building? (Collocation, Attachment 4, Section 1.6)
20. Is conducting a statewide investigation of criminal history records for each AT&T employee or agent being considered to work on a BellSouth premises a security measure that BellSouth may impose on AT&T? (Collocation, Attachment 4, Section 11.1, 11.2, 11.4, 11.5)
21. Unless otherwise specified, where Attachment 4 regarding collocation refers to days, should those days be calendar days or business days? (Collocation, Attachment 4) SETTLED

22. What are the appropriate recurring and non recurring charges for the collocation items for which charges have not been established or are not TELRIC compliant as listed in Exhibit A to Collocation, Attachment 4 of AT&T's Proposed Interconnection Agreement.

(Collocation, Attachment 4 and Exhibit A) –THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN —TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE GENERIC COLLOCATION COST DOCKET.

23. Has BellSouth provided sufficient customized routing in accordance with State and Federal law to allow it to avoid providing Operator Services/Directory Assistance (“OS/DA”) as a UNE?

24. Should BellSouth be required to electronically process and provision customer specific orders for OS/DA if AT&T orders an unbranded or AT&T branded platform? (Attachment 7, Sections 3.20-3.24) – PARTIES HAVE AGREED THAT THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN SUBSUMED AND INCORPORATED INTO ISSUE #25 (As a result, Issue #24 will not longer exist as a stand-alone issue.)

25. What procedure should be established for AT&T to obtain loop-port combinations (UNE-P) using both Infrastructure and Customer Specific Provisioning? (Attachment 7, Sections 3.20 – 3.24)

26. May the Interconnection Agreement contain conditions on the purchase of any BellSouth exchange? – SETTLED

27. Should the Commission or a third party commercial arbitrator resolve disputes under the Interconnection Agreement?

28. What is the proper timeframe for either party to render bills for overdue charges? (Billing & Recording, Attachment 6, Section 1.2.3) –SETTLED

29. What are the proper parameters sufficient to prevent fraudulent billing for reciprocal compensation? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3, Section 6.1.2) –SETTLED

30. Should the Change Control Process be sufficiently comprehensive to ensure that there are processes to handle, at a minimum the following situations: (OSS, Attachment 7, Exhibit A)

- a) introduction of new electronic interfaces?
- b) retirement of existing interfaces?
- c) exceptions to the process?
- d) documentation, including training?
- e) defect correction?
- f) emergency changes (defect correction)?
- g) an eight step cycle, repeated monthly?
- h) a firm schedule for notifications associated with changes initiated by BellSouth?
- i) a process for dispute resolution, including referral to state utility commissions or courts?
- j) a process for the escalation of changes in process?

31. What should be the resolution of the following OSS issues currently pending in the change control process but not yet provided? (OSS, Attachment 7, Exhibit A)
- a) parsed customer service records for pre-ordering?
 - b) ability to submit orders electronically for all services and elements?
 - c) electronic processing after electronic ordering, without subsequent manual processing by BellSouth personnel?
32. Should BellSouth provide AT&T with the ability to access, via EBI/ECTA, the full functionality available to BellSouth from TAFI and WFA? (OSS, Attachment 7)
33. Should AT&T be allowed to share the spectrum on a local loop for voice and data when AT&T purchases a loop/port combination and if so, under what rates, terms, and conditions? (UNE's, Attachment 2, Section 3.10)
34. What are the appropriate rates and charges for unbundled network elements and combinations of network elements? (The parties anticipate that the rates and charges will be resolved in the generic UNE Cost docket, Docket No. 990649-TP.) – PARTIES HAVE DEFERRED THIS ISSUE TO THE UNE COST DOCKET.