

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 28, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2) and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant TECO's second Motion for Reconsideration?

Recommendation: No. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376(1), Florida Administrative Code, "the Commission shall not entertain a motion for reconsideration of an order disposing of a motion for reconsideration."

Issue 2: Should the motions for reconsideration filed by TECO and Odyssey on July 6 and 7, 2000, respectively, be granted with respect to the issue of Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information?

Recommendation: No. The motions for reconsideration should be denied with respect to the issue of Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: JC JB BZ

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Blank lines for signatures under MAJORITY and DISSENTING columns.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

To the December 5, 2000 Commission Conference.

DEFERRED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

15356 DEC-18

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 28, 2000

DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2) and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: Should Allied's Motion for Authorization be granted with respect to Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information?

Recommendation: Yes. Allied's Motion for Authorization should be granted with respect to Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information.

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should not be closed.