

SCANNED

State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

## -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC  
00 DEC -7 PM 12:32  
RECORDS AND REPORTING

**DATE:** DECEMBER 7, 2000

**TO:** DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

**FROM:** DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (KATHLEEN ARANT) *KA*  
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (WAYNE KNIGHT) *WK C.P.F.*

**RE:** DOCKET NO. 000888-TP REQUEST BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ONE-WAY INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH SUPERBEEPERS ELECTRONICS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 000905-TP - REQUEST BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ONE-WAY INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH PRIORITY PAGING, INC.

**AGENDA:** 12/19/00 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

**CRITICAL DATES:** NONE

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** NONE

**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\CMP\WP\000888.RCM

### CASE BACKGROUND

On July 20 and 21, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. filed with the Commission two petitions requesting approval of a Negotiated Paging Interconnection agreement, with Superbeepers Electronics, Inc. and Priority Paging, Inc., respectively. The Commission approved the petitions at the October 17, 2000, Agenda Conference. However, after the vote, staff determined that technicalities existed within the agreements that require further review.

For the reason described above, on November 17, 2000, at the request of Commission staff, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

15709 DEC-78

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

filed revisions to its original petitions. Staff believes that the following recommendation is appropriate in the disposition of these matters.

**DISCUSSION OF ISSUES**

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the October 17, 2000 Agenda Conference and approve the one-way interconnection agreements as amended by the parties?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the one-way interconnection agreements as amended by the parties.

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** As stated in the Case Background, the Commission approved these agreements at the October 17, 2000, Agenda Conference. However, due to technicalities in the agreements staff found it appropriate to further review the agreements. Upon request from staff, on November 17, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. filed amended petitions to these agreements. Staff believes that these agreements, as amended by the parties, comply with the Telecommunications Act and should be approved. The Commission is vested with jurisdiction on this matter pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act.

**ISSUE 2:** Should these dockets be closed?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. If the Commission approves Issue #1, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Orders.

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** Since no further Commission action is necessary, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Orders.

filed revisions to its original petitions. Staff believes that the following recommendation is appropriate in the disposition of these matters.

**DISCUSSION OF ISSUES**

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the October 17, 2000 Agenda Conference and approve the one-way interconnection agreements as amended by the parties?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the one-way interconnection agreements as amended by the parties.

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** As stated in the Case Background, the Commission approved these agreements at the October 17, 2000, Agenda Conference. However, due to technicalities in the agreements staff found it appropriate to further review the agreements. Upon request from staff, on November 17, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. filed amended petitions to these agreements. Staff believes that these agreements, as amended by the parties, comply with the Telecommunications Act and should be approved. The Commission is vested with jurisdiction on this matter pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act.

**ISSUE 2:** Should these dockets be closed?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. If the Commission approves Issue #1, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Orders.

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** Since no further Commission action is necessary, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Orders.