

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request by BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc. for
approval of amendment to
existing collocation agreement
with Maxcess, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 001603-TP
ORDER NO. PSC-00-2423-FOF-TP
ISSUED: December 18, 2000

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of
this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.
LILA A. JABER
BRAULIO L. BAEZ

ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENT TO EXISTING
COLLOCATION AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

On October 24, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) and Maxcess, Inc. (Maxcess) filed a request for approval of an amendment to the existing collocation agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The amendment to the existing agreement is incorporated by reference herein. A copy of the amendment to the existing agreement may be obtained by contacting our Division of Records and Reporting.

Both the Act and Chapter 364, Florida Statutes, encourage parties to enter into negotiated agreements to bring about local exchange competition as quickly as possible. Under the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), negotiated agreements must be submitted to the state commission for approval. Section 252(e)(4) requires the state to reject or approve the agreement within 90 days after submission or it shall be deemed approved.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

16078 DEC 18 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-00-2423-FOF-TP
DOCKET NO. 001603-TP
PAGE 2

The existing agreement governs the relationship between the companies regarding physical collocation services under 47 U.S.C. § 251. The agreement states that collocation space will be provided for Maxcess in BellSouth's Central Office. Rates for the collocation space and telecommunications services are set out in the schedules included in the agreement.

Upon review of the proposed amendment to the existing agreement, we believe that it complies with the Act; thus, we hereby approve it. The Commission's approval of this agreement should not be construed as a determination that BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Act. BellSouth and Maxcess are also required to file any subsequent supplements or modifications to their agreement with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).

Based on the foregoing, it is


ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the amendment to the existing collocation agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Maxcess, Inc., is incorporated by reference in this Order, and is hereby approved. A copy of the amendment to the existing agreement may be obtained as specified in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that any supplements or modifications to this agreement must be filed with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). It is further

ORDERED that this Docket shall be closed.

ORDER NO. PSC-00-2423-FOF-TP
DOCKET NO. 001603-TP
PAGE 3

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 18th
day of December, 2000.



BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

KMP

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review in Federal district court pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(6).