

Case Assignment and Scheduling Record

Section 1 - Division of Records and Report (RAR) Completes

Docket No. 001013-TP Date Docketed: 08/01/2000 Title: Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of interconnection agreement with North American Software Associates, LTD.
 Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
 North American Software Associates, LTD

Official Filing Date: _____
 Last Day to Suspend: _____ Expiration: _____

Referred to: _____ ADM AFA APP CAF (CMP) CMU EAG ECR GCL LEG PAI RAR RGO SER WAW
 ("()") indicates OPR) _____ X _____ X _____

Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to RAR in 10 workdays.

Time Schedule

Program/Module A20(a)

Staff Assignments

<u>OPR Staff</u>	<u>K Arant</u>
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
<u>Staff Counsel</u>	<u>W Knight</u>
_____	_____
_____	_____
<u>OCRs ()</u>	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
()	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
()	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
()	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
()	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

**WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT.
 IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION.
 FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770**

Current CASR revision level

0

Due Dates

Previous Current

1. Staff Recommendation	NONE	10/05/2000
2. Agenda	NONE	10/17/2000
3. Standard Order	NONE	11/06/2000
4. _____		
5. _____		
6. _____		
7. _____		
8. _____		
9. _____		
10. _____		
11. _____		
12. _____		
13. _____		
14. _____		
15. _____		
16. _____		
17. _____		
18. _____		
19. _____		
20. _____		
21. _____		
22. _____		
23. _____		
24. _____		
25. _____		
26. _____		
27. _____		
28. _____		
29. _____		
30. _____		
31. _____		
32. _____		
33. _____		
34. _____		
35. _____		
36. _____		
37. _____		
38. _____		
39. _____		
40. _____		

Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:

Full Commission X Commission Panel _____
 Hearing Examiner _____ Staff _____

Date filed with RAR: 08/04/2000

Initials: OPR _____
 Staff Counsel _____

Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

Commissioners						Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	JB	NC	XX		
X							

- Prehearing Officer

Commissioners					ADM
DS	JC	JB	NC	XX	
					X

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case.
 Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Approved: JD/SM

Date: 08/04/2000

STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
J. TERRY DEASON, CHAIRMAN
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.
LILA A. JABER



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING
BLANCA S. BAYÓ
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6770

Public Service Commission

August 4, 2000

Marshall M. Criser III, Regulatory Vice President
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

Re: Docket No. 001013-TP

Dear Mr. Criser:

This will acknowledge receipt of a request BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of paging agreement with North American Software Associates, LTD, which was filed in this office on August 1, 2000 and assigned the above-referenced docket number. Appropriate staff members will be advised.

Mediation may be available to resolve any dispute in this docket. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to an administrative hearing. For more information, contact the Office of General Counsel at (850) 413-6248 or FAX (850) 413-7180.

Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission

RAR Official Filing:

11/14/00***** 9:57 AM*****Linda Williams*****1

Linda Williams

2155-EDF

From: Andrea Cowart
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2000 9:20 AM
To: RAR - Orders-Notices
Cc: Susan Howard
Subject: ORDER

The following order has been copy to GCORDERS and is ready for issuance.

001013 - 001013.wdk

✓

Kay Flynn

To: Kathleen Arant
Subject: RE: 001012 and 001013

Thank you!

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen Arant
 Sent: Friday, August 04, 2000 3:26 PM
 To: Kay Flynn
 Subject: RE: 001012 and 001013

When we receive amendments to regular agreements we don't include the amendment in the title because its not as though it is modifying an already approved agreement, so I don't think we need to include "amendment" in the title. As for the name I would list them under the new name, North American Software Associates LTD, mostly because currently they do not hold a certificate with us and when they finally realize they need one they will file (I assume) under the new name. Docket 001012-TP is a paging agreement (meaning there are provisions that it does not have to provide for like you would have to in an interconnection agreement). Docket 001013-TP is an interconnection agreement in that "North American" will be providing two way service (as opposed to one way paging service), we can go ahead and approve this agreement, but until they are certificated they cannot operate under its terms.

In short put the titles under the new name, North American Software Associates, LTD. List 001012-TP as a paging agreement. No mention of the amended name is necessary. List 001013-TP as an Interconnection agreement. No mention of the amendment is needed here either because the amendment came in before the agreement went to agenda so its considered part of the original agreement.

Still making sense?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
 Sent: Friday, August 04, 2000 3:06 PM
 To: Kathleen Arant
 Subject: RE: 001012 and 001013

Yes, it does.

Is there any reason to include "amendment" in the docket title? If we're approving an initial agreement between the two, why not just show the new name for Action? And is this a "paging" agreement as the letter says, or is it an interconnection agreement?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen Arant
 Sent: Friday, August 04, 2000 2:39 PM
 To: Kay Flynn
 Subject: RE: 001012 and 001013

Kay, what has happened here is that Action Communications (NOW North American Software Associates, Inc.) is entering into both a paging as well as an Interconnection agreement (separately) with BellSouth. My best guess is that at some point between negotiations and filing Action decided to change their name, so they decide to file a name change amendment with the Commission to ensure that the Agreements would be approved under the correct company name. However, I don't show Action holding a certificate with the Commission, under either name, so I'm not sure how we are supposed to officially note the name change. What I do know is that because they are not certificated (unless you can find otherwise) the Interconnection agreement they are entering into will not be effective until they ARE certificated. On the same note, because we don't regulate paging companies entering a Paging agreement aren't required to be certificated. I have asked the folks in legal and they think that they should remain as agreements waiting for approval, and like any other agreement we receive where we allow amendments to be submitted without a separate docket we treat these the same way.

Does this make sense?

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Friday, August 04, 2000 8:20 AM
To: Kathleen Arant
Subject: 001012 and 001013

Kathleen, have you received these BellSouth filings yet? If so, can you take a look and advise if they are actually AMENDMENTS? Based on the cover letter, the docketed were set up as approval of agreements, but they do look like they could be amendments. Please advise, and we will revise the titles if necessary.

Thanks.

Kay