McWhirter Reeves

ORIGINAL

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (850) 222-5606 FAX

January 29, 2001

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Docket No.: 990649-TP Re:

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of Z-Tel Communications, Inc., enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies of the following:

> Response of Z-Tel Communications, Inc. In Opposition to Verizon's Request for an Extension of Time to File Cost Studies and Testimony

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy of each and return the stamped copies to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Joseph A. McGlothlin

Joe a Me Stothlin

JAM/kmr AFP CAF Enclosure CMP Peggy Rubino George Ford COM CTR ECR RECEIVED'& FILED LEG OPC PAI REAU OF RECORDS RGO SEC McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. SER

OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Investigation into)	Docket No. 990649-TP
pricing of unbundled network)	
elements)	Filed: January 29, 2001
)	

RESPONSE OF Z-TEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. IN OPPOSITION TO VERIZON'S REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE COST STUDIES AND TESTIMONY

Z-Tel Communications, Inc. ("Z-Tel"), through its undersigned counsel, files its Response in opposition to the request of Verizon Florida, Inc. ("Verizon") for an extension of time within which to file cost studies and testimony in this docket, and states:

- 1. By Order No. PSC-00-1486-PCO-TP, dated August 18, 2000, the Commission established a deadline of April 2, 2001 for the filing of cost studies and testimony by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated ("Sprint") and Verizon in this proceeding. The studies are to "comport to the state of the law at that time."
- 2. On January 5, 2001 Sprint submitted a motion in which it requested an extension until July 2, 2001 for the filing of its cost study and testimony.
- 3. On January 5, 2001 Verizon filed a pleading styled as a "response" to Sprint's motion. However, while Verizon purports to address Sprint's motion, within the pleading Verizon asks the Commission to extend its own deadline—and asks for relief that exceeds that sought by Sprint in its motion. Verizon asks the Commission to suspend the requirement that Verizon submit cost studies and testimony until after the federal pricing issues have been "finally determined." In the event the Commission denies this portion of Verizon's "response," it seeks, in the alternative, an extension at

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
01282 JAN 29 5

least until July 2, 2001.

- 4. Verizon's pleading is in essence a motion for relief to which Z-Tel is entitled to respond. Z-Tel opposes any additional delay in the proceeding to establish cost-based UNE rates for Verizon. The establishment of reasonable, cost-based UNE rates is a critical step in the development of a framework that can sustain competition in the area in which Verizon serves as the ILEC. That process cannot begin until Verizon furnishes its cost studies and supporting testimony.
- 5. As an ALEC that desires to enter this market, Z-Tel would be adversely affected by an unjustified delay in the completion of cost studies and the filing of testimony. As the result of a judicial stay, the FCC's pricing methodology is currently in effect. As a practical matter, to wait until the federal issues have been "finally" resolved following possible judicial and/or administrative proceedings could be to delay the advent of meaningful competition by as much as two years or more, for the sole purpose of obviating the <u>possibility</u> of having to revisit and fine tune, on a prospective basis, the decision in this docket. Verizon's first request should be rejected out of hand.
- 6. Z-Tel also opposes Verizon's alternative request to extend its deadline until July 2, 2001. The Commission has already accommodated Verizon by establishing staggered deadlines designed to enable Verizon to complete its cost studies. An additional extension would merely delay the advent of meaningful competition. The delay would adversely affect Z-Tel and deprive customers in Verizon's service area of competitive choices.

For the above reasons, Z-Tel submits the request of Verizon for an extension of time to file its cost studies and testimony should be denied.

Joseph A. McGlothlin McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 222-2525

(850) 222-5606 (Fax)

Attorneys for Z-Tel Communications, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Response of Z-Tel Communications, Inc. In Opposition to Verizon's Request for an Extension of Time to File Cost Studies and Testimony has been furnished by hand delivery(*) and U.S. mail Monday, January 29, 2001, to:

(*)Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Eric J. Branfman Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K. Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007-5116

James Falvey
e.spire Communications
133 National Business Parkway
Suite 200
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Michael A. Gross
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
& Regulatory Counsel
Florida Cable
Telecommunications Assoc.
246 E. 6th Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32303

Richard Melson Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, PA P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 Marsha Rule AT&T 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1549

Jeremy Marcus Blumenfeld & Cohen 1625 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Catherine Boone Covad Communications Company Ten Glenlake Parkway Suite 650 Atlanta, Georgia 30328

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876

Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida Incorporated Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Scott A. Sapperstein
Senior Policy Counsel
Intermedia Communications, Inc.
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619-1309

Glenn Harris North Point Communications, Inc. 222 Sutter Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

TCG South Florida c/o Kenneth Hoffman Rutledge Law Firm Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Andrew Isar Telecommunications Resellers Assoc. 4312 92nd Avenue, N.W. Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Charles J. Rehwinkel Sprint-Florida, Incorporated P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

John Kerkorian 5607 Glenridge Drive Suite 310 Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Mark E. Buechele Koger Center Ellis Building Suite 200 1311 Executive Center Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301-5027

Rodney L. Joyce Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP. 600 14th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-2005

Stephen P. Bowen
Blumfield & Cohen
4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1170
San Francisco, CA 94111

Peter Dunbar Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A. Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Donna Canzano McNulty MCI WorldCom, Inc. 325 John Knox Road, Atrium Bldg, Ste.105 Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Angela Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc. 125 S. Gadsden Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1525

Bruce May Holland Law Firm Post Office Drawer 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Jonathan E. Canis Michael B. Hazzard Kelly Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, NW, Fifth Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Jim Lamoureux, Senior Attorney 1200 Peachtree Street, Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30309

Jeffrey Wahlen Ausley Law Firm Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Norton Cutler BlueStar Networks, Inc. 5 Corporate Centre 801 Crescent Centre Drive Suite 600 Franklin, TN 37067

Joseph A. McGlothlin