1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2				
3	In Re: Request for	Review of Proposed	Docket 000604-TL	
4	Numbering Plan Relief for the 941			
5	Area Code			
6		PRE-FILED TEST	IMONY	
7		OF WILLIAM W.	COUCH	
8	Q	Please state your name an	d your business address for the	
9		record.		
10	A.	William W. Couch, The Gr	eater Sarasota Chamber of Commerce,	
11		1819 Main Street, Sarasota,	, Florida 34236-5983.	
12	Q.	What is your position with	h the Chamber of Commerce?	
13	A.	I am the Vice President of I	Public Affairs.	
14	Q.	How long have you held t	hat position and what are your	
15		responsibilities?		
16	A.	I have been in this position	for eight (8) years and serve as the	
17		Chamber's liaison with the	various governmental entities and also	
18		administer the Leadership	of Sarasota program.	
19	Q.	This Docket No. 000604-	ΓL is before the Public Service	
20		Commission for a determ	ination as to which of four proposed	
21		relief plans for the 941 Aı	rea Code Service Area should be	
22		implemented in light of th	ne fact that the 941 Area Code	
23		numbers are predicted to	exhaust in the first quarter of 2003.	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
01528 FEB-25
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

The industry recommends an all services distributed overlay 1 2 relief plan, which would result in all of the current 941 service area having multiple area codes without any relationship to 3 geographical boundaries. As Vice President of Public Affairs 5 for the Sarasota County Chamber of Commerce, do you have any concerns about a distributed overlay as a proposed relief 6 7 plan? Yes. Although an overlay would eliminate the need for current 8 Α. 9 businesses to change computer systems and stationery, it would effectively undermine the concept of a unified, interactive business 10 community. In conjunction with other local business groups, the 11 12 Chamber of Commerce works diligently to foster an interactive 13 business community with common goals and objectives. An overlay would have the perception, as well as the actual effect, of 14 15 dividing the community into established businesses and new 16 businesses. The new businesses would be at an obvious disadvantage because the 941 Area Code is associated with the 17 18 local area. For example, if a visitor to Sarasota County, unfamiliar with street addresses, is looking in the yellow pages for a 19 20 restaurant and sees an area code different from the one he/she is in, 21 the obvious assumption will be that it is out of the geographic area. 22 This is true for any type of business if the potential customer is

unfamiliar with street addresses.

23

1		Moreover, a distributed overlay generates confusion for the
2		business itself. If an established business decides to install an
3		additional telephone line or to add a line for a facsimile machine, if
4		the 941 number block has been exhausted, the new lines will be
5		assigned a different area code than the one currently in place. This
6		would be extremely confusing for both the business and its
7		customers.
8	Q.	In your opinion, which, if any, of the proposed alternatives
9		would have the least disruptive effect on businesses in Sarasota
10		County?
11	A.	Alternative 4 would create the least confusion, particularly if
12		Sarasota County is able to retain the 941 area code. Alternative 4
13		keeps Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte counties together, splitting
14		the area code service area at the Charlotte-Lee county line. The
15		Chamber of Commerce believes that maintaining the same area
16		code for Sarasota, Manatee and Charlotte counties is essential to
17		the development of the tri-county region from a tourism
18		perspective as well as a business perspective.
19	Q.	Are you particularly opposed to either Alternative #2, which
20		splits the City of Punta Gorda from the rest of Charlotte
21		County or Alternative #3, which splits the Englewood
22		community from Sarasota County?

Both of these alternatives present the same problems of perception 2 and confusion that an overlay presents. Splitting the 941 service area so that the Englewood community is separate from the 3 Sarasota County is unacceptable from a business perspective. 5 Imposing a new area code on a section of a county gives the 6 perception that those businesses are in a distant geographical area. 7 It is extremely confusing for the potential customers, particularly 8 in a high tourism area such as Sarasota County. As a result, 9 businesses lose money. 10 Q. Do you have any additional concerns about the imminent exhaust of the 941 area code? 11 12 A. Yes. Steps must be taken other than distributed overlays to extend 13 existing exhaust periods. During the 1999 hearings, there was extensive discussion about the reasons for short exhaust periods. 14 15 One of the main reasons is the distribution of phone numbers in 16 blocks of 10,000. In 1999, the industry and NANPA assured the 17 counties that number pooling would be implemented, thereby 18 extending the exhaust period of the 1999 geographical split. It is 19 my understanding that there have been no statewide efforts to 20 accomplish this. The Chamber of Commerce endorses these efforts of number conservation and requests that the Commission 21 22 establish a timeframe for this to be accomplished statewide. It 23 seems that instead of focusing on conservation efforts, the

1

A.

1		industry's focus has been on efforts to adopt an overlay.
2		Unquestionably, that is the easiest remedy for the industry.
3		However, as discussed, it is problematic for the business
4		community. On behalf of the Chamber of Commerce, I endorse
5		Alternative 4 and request that the Commission adopt rules to
6		implement number conservation measures statewide.
7	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?
8	A.	Yes, it does.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 000604-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Mail this /s/r day of February, 2001 to the following:

Joe Assenzo, Esq. Sprint PCS, Legal Department 4900 Main Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Kansas City, MO 64112

Robert H. Berntsson, Esq. City Attorney City of Punta Gorda, Florida City Hall 326 West Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950-4492

Kimberly Caswell, Esq. Verizon Select Services, Inc. P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601

Thomas C. Foley NPA Relief Planner NEUSTAR, Inc. 820 Riverbend Longwood, FL 32779

Michael A. Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Association, Inc.
310 Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Anne E. Hoskins, Esq. Regulatory Counsel Verizon Wireless 1300 Eye Street, NW – Suite 400 W Washington, DC 20005 Charles J. Beck, Esq.
Office of the Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Martha Young Burton, Esq. Charlotte County Attorney's Office 18500 Murdock Circle Port Charlotte, FL 33948

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Karen M. Camechis, Esq.
Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell
& Dunbar, P.A.
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lee Fordham, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. Verizon Wireless Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. 215 Monroe Street, Suite 420 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Caroly Marek
Time-Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
c/o Time-Warner Telecom
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069-4002

Ms. Beverly Y. Menard c/o Margo B. Hammer Verizon Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Charles J. Rehwinkel, Esq. Susan Masterton, Esq. Sprint-Florida, Inc. (MC FLTLH 00107) P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Homer A. Smith 2241 Bayview Road Punta Gorda, FL 33950 James A. Minix, Esq. Manatee County Attorney's Office P.O. Box 1000 Bradenton, FL 34206

Floyd Self Messer Law Firm P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Kimberly D. Wheeler, Esq. (NewStar, Inc.) Morrison & Foerster 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

Kathleen F. Schneider, Esq. Assistant County Attorney Sarasota County, Florida

athern & Schnider

H \USER\LEGAL\KSCHNEID\PSC-941 area code\pre-filed testimony - WCouch doc