

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 6, 2001

RE: DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2), and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief.

Issue 1: Should Odyssey's Request for Oral Argument be granted?

Recommendation: Parties may address the Commission since the matter has not been to hearing. Therefore, the Request for Oral Argument does not require a ruling. Each party should be allowed ten minutes to address the Commission.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: JC JB BZ

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

[Empty lines for dissenting signatures]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01787 FEB-70

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 6, 2001

DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2), and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should Odyssey's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

Recommendation: No. Odyssey's Motion does not identify a point of fact or law that was overlooked or omitted.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should Odyssey's Motion for Clarification be granted?

Recommendation: No. Odyssey's Motion is not ripe for adjudication.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should Allied's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

Recommendation: Allied's motion should be denied, except to the extent it requires disclosure of information pertaining to products other than sodium hypochlorite ~~and~~ ^{or} substitute products. Discovery is

To go to parties by 2/12/01. Discovery is

APPROVED

w/ noted modification.

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No.- This docket should not be closed.

APPROVED