



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC
01 FEB 10: 56
RECORDS AND REPORTING

DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 2001

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM: DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (LOGUE) *llc SAS for wph*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K.PENA, B.KEATING) *vmj*

RE: DOCKET NO. 001588-TP - REQUEST BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO INTERCONNECTION, UNBUNDLING, AND RESALE AGREEMENT WITH DAYTONA TELEPHONE COMPANY.

AGENDA: 02/20/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\001588.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On October 23, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) and Daytona Telephone Company (Daytona Telephone) filed with this Commission a petition for approval of an amendment to their existing interconnection agreement. By letter dated December 1, 2000, BellSouth requested that the letter be used to correctly style the submittal and the Docket tile to reflect that the existing agreement between BellSouth and Daytona Telephone was an interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

This docket was scheduled to go to the December 19, 2000, Agenda Conference. On December 18, 2000, staff requested that this docket be deferred from the Agenda Conference because the recommendation did not reflect the docket title as amended by BellSouth. The docket was rescheduled to go to the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference. On this date the Commission approved staff's recommendation. However, after the vote, it was brought to

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01806 FEB-80

RECORDS AND REPORTING

DOCKET NO. 001588-TP
DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 2001

staff's attention that, due to a scrivener's error, the recommendation reflected that the filing was an agreement instead of an amendment.

Accordingly, staff believes that the following recommendations are appropriate. The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Daytona Telephone Company?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

STAFF ANALYSIS: As stated in the Case Background, the Commission approved this filing at the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference. However, due to a scrivener's error in the recommendation, the filing was described as an agreement instead of an amendment. Therefore, staff is bringing it back before the Commission to reconsider and approve the filing as an amendment. Staff believes that this amendment complies with the Telecommunications Act and should be approved.

DOCKET NO. 001588-TP
DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 2001

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If the Commission approves Issue #1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Since no further Commission action is necessary, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.