

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition for
determination of need for
proposed Stanton Energy Center
Combined Cycle Unit A by Orlando
Utilities Commission, Kissimmee
Utility Authority, Florida
Municipal Power Agency, and
Southern Company-Florida, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 010142-EM

FILED: FEBRUARY 13, 2001

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-0367-PCO-EM, issued February 13, 2001, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues.

ISSUE 1: Are the Orlando Utilities Commission, Kissimmee Utility Authority, Florida Municipal Power Agency, and Southern Company-Florida, LLC, "applicants" within the meaning of Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 2: Is the output of Stanton Energy Center Unit A fully committed for use by Florida retail electric customers in compliance with the Florida Supreme Court's decision in Tampa Electric Co. et. al. v. Garcia, 25 Fla. L. Weekly S294 (April 20, 2000)?

Orlando Utilities Commission

ISSUE 3: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Orlando Utilities Commission's need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 4: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Orlando Utilities Commission's need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02019 FEB 13

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ISSUE 5: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A the most cost-effective alternative available to meet the needs of the Orlando Utilities Commission, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 6: Are there any conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to the Orlando Utilities Commission that might mitigate the need for Stanton Energy Center Unit A, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

Kissimmee Utility Authority

ISSUE 7: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Kissimmee Utility Authority's need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 8: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Kissimmee Utility Authority's need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 9: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A the most cost-effective alternative available to meet the needs of the Kissimmee Utility Authority, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 10: Are there any conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to the Kissimmee Utility Authority that might mitigate the need for Stanton Energy Center Unit A, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

Florida Municipal Power Agency

ISSUE 11: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Florida Municipal Power Agency's need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 12: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A needed, taking into account the Florida Municipal Power Agency's need for

adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 13: Is Stanton Energy Center Unit A the most cost-effective alternative available to meet the needs of the Florida Municipal Power Agency, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?


ISSUE 14: Are there any conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to the Florida Municipal Power Agency that might mitigate the need for Stanton Energy Center Unit A, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

ISSUE 15: Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues, should the Commission grant the joint petition for determination of need by the Orlando Utilities Commission, Kissimmee Utility Authority, Florida Municipal Power Agency, and Southern Company-Florida, LLC, for Stanton Energy Center Unit A?

ISSUE 16: Should this docket be closed?

Dated this 13th day of February, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,



WM. COCHRAN KEATING IV
Staff Counsel
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald L. Gunter Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
(850)413-6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition for
determination of need for
proposed Stanton Energy Center
Combined Cycle Unit A by Orlando
Utilities Commission, Kissimmee
Utility Authority, Florida
Municipal Power Agency, and
Southern Company-Florida, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 010142-EM

FILED: FEBRUARY 13, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Staff's
Preliminary List of Issues has been furnished to the following, by
U.S. Mail, this 13th day of February, 2001:

Florida Municipal Power Agency
Frederick M. Bryant, Esquire
2010 Delta Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32315

Kissimmee Utility Authority
Mr. A. K. (Ben) Sharma
P. O. Box 423219
Kissimmee, FL 34742-3219

Orlando Utilities Commission
Mr. T. B. Tart
500 South Orange Avenue
Orlando, FL 32801-4408

Southern Company-Florida, LLC
c/o Holland & Knight Law Firm
315 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

Young Law Firm
Roy Young/Tasha Buford
P. O. Box 1833
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1833



WM. COCHRAN KEATING
Staff Counsel
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald L. Gunter Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
(850) 413-6199