RAR Official Filing: 3/21/01*******12:28 PM*******Kay Flynn*******1

010003-GU

CMP

COM

CTR ECR LEG OPC

P.AI

RGO

SEC

SER

Kay Flynn

To:	
Subj	ect

Bob Elias; Cochran Keating RE: Document No. 11991-97

I will mark this one as "declassified" and place it in the current PGA docket.

Thank you both.

-----Original Message-----From: Bob Elias Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 12:23 PM To: Cochran Keating Cc: Kay Flynn Subject: RE: Document No. 11991-97

Yes, that's appropriate for Documents that contain material previously determined to be confidential by other Orders.

----Original Message----From: Cochran Keating Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 12:18 PM To: Kay Flynn Cc: Bob Elias Subject: RE: Document No. 11991-97

I believe so. My recollection is the same - that 11991-97 consisted solely of exact copies of A schedules for which confidentiality orders had been issued. I believe the email I sent in December simply confirmed that all of the A schedules included in 11991-97 had received rulings. Because all of those A schedules have since been declassified, I think we can declassify 11991-97 as well.

Bob, is this an acceptable approach? This document is an exhibit to testimony filed in the 03 docket in November 1997 that consisted solely of A schedules. There was no formal confidentiality request made for the document. The cover letter for the testimony and exhibit filing indicated the following: $\begin{array}{c} A \bar{P} \bar{P} \\ C A \bar{F} \end{array}$

"original unredacted, but still subject to confidentiality earlier granted, and copies redacted"

It was assigned a blue folder and the gas staff prepared a memo confirming its confidentiality. No order was prepared.

----Original Message-----From: Kay Flynn Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 11:31 AM To: Cochran Keating Subject: RE: Document No. 11991-97

All I can find is the e-mail I sent to you in late 1999, where I told you the cover letter said "original unredacted, but still subject to confidentiality earlier granted." EAG/Lowery did a recommendation to Bob on 1/13/98; can you find that?

I don't have a copy of your response, though.

The document appears to be copies of A schedules from months in 1996 and 1997, all of which have had rulings and are now declassified. Could we safely assume that the document is an exact copy of previous filings which had rulings and are now declassified, and thus show 11991-97 as declassified?

----Original Message-----From: Cochran Keating DOCUMENT VEMPER-DATE 03629 MAR 225

RAR Official Filing: 3/21/01******12:28 PM******Kay Flynn******2

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 10:10 AM To: Kay Flynn Subject: Document No. 11991-97

-

Last December, when we were trying to clean up the confidentiality files for the 01 and 03 dockets, we came across a document (Doc. No. 11991-97) with no specific request. I have a note on the file that I sent you an email concerning that document. However, I don't have anything to confirm what we decided to do with the document. Could you check your records and let me know the status of that document? Thanks.

~

.