

REQUEST FOR CHANGE TO AGENDA CONFERENCE

(Please Print Legibly)

HAND DELIVER

Date of Request: 4/16/01 Date of Agenda Conference: 4/17/01 Item No. _____

Docket No. 00-0828-TP Brief Title: Arbitration of Sprint and BellSouth

Requested by: Staff Other _____
(Name)

Please attach a copy of the written documentation if the change is not requested by staff.

ACTION REQUESTED [see APM 2.11 and SOP 1607]

- Defer Item to Agenda Scheduled: _____ (Date)
- Change Order of Item or Take Up at Time Certain
- Withdraw Item
- Late Filed Recommendation (no later than 3:00 p.m.)
- Add Emergency Item to Upcoming Agenda [see Section 120.525 (3), Florida Statutes]

Concise recommendation/explanation and justification for the action requested: _____
The close docket issue was inadvertently not excluded
in the recommendation filed on April 5, 2001

(Continue on back)

STAFF:

Recommendation to Executive Suite: Approve Request Deny Request

Comments: _____

Signature: Lennie Fulwood II (OPR Staff) Initials: [Signature] (Division Director or Designee)

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/TECHNICAL OR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

Recommendation to the Chairman's Office: Approve Request Deny Request

Initials: MAB Date: 4/6/01

Comments: _____

CHAIRMAN'S OFFICE:

Approve Request Deny Request

Initials: MAB Date: 4/6/01

Note: After the Chairman's Office takes action on this request, the Executive Suite will send the original to the Division of Records & Reporting and distribute copies to the following:

OPR and OCR Division Directors, OPR Staff Requesting Action, Attorney Assigned to Docket and Commissioners

PSC/EXD-1 (03/01)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04316 APR-96

FPSO-RECORDS REPORTING

Vertical stamp: PSC/EXD-1 (03/01) with handwritten initials 'Rose' and 'Samely'.

ISSUE 9:	Should the parties' Agreement contain language providing Sprint with the ability to transport multi-jurisdictional traffic over a single trunk group, including an access trunk group? (WATTS)	- 42 -
ISSUE 22:	Should Sprint be required to pay the entire cost of make-ready work prior to BellSouth's satisfactory completion of the work? (BARRETT)	- 46 -
ISSUE 28A:	Should BellSouth be required to provide Sprint with two-way trunks upon request? (WATTS)	. . - 52 -
ISSUE 28B:	Should BellSouth be required to use those two-way trunks for BellSouth originated traffic? (WATTS) - 55 -
ISSUE 29:	Should BellSouth be allowed to designate a virtual point of interconnection in a BellSouth local calling area to which Sprint has assigned a Sprint NPA/NXX? If so, who pays for the transport and multiplexing, if any, between BellSouth's virtual point of interconnection and Sprint's point of interconnection? (FULWOOD)	- 62 -
ISSUE 32:	Upon denial of a Sprint request for physical collocation, what justification, if any, should BellSouth be required to provide to Sprint for space that BellSouth has reserved for itself or its affiliates at the requested premises? (BARRETT) - 74 -
<u>ISSUE 33:</u>	<u>Should this docket be closed? (VACCARO)</u>	. . - <u>82</u> -

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: No, the parties should be required to submit a signed agreement that complies with the Commission's decisions in this docket for approval within 30 days of issuance of the Commission's Order. This docket should remain open pending Commission approval of the final arbitration agreement in accordance with Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. (VACCARO)

STAFF ANALYSIS:

The parties should be required to submit a signed agreement that complies with the Commission's decisions in this docket for approval within 30 days of issuance of the Commission's Order. This docket should remain open pending Commission approval of the final arbitration agreement in accordance with Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.