

Kay Flynn

From:

Kim Logue

Sent:

Monday, April 23, 2001 2:45 PM

To:

'susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com'; Kim Logue; mikeyov@aol.com

Cc:

Kay Flynn; Kimberley Pena

Subject:

RE: Docket 010369, Sprint/Sandhills

Susan:

If Sandhills' name is corrected throughout the agreement, to include the execution (signature) page, etc. and the company identified in the agreement is the actual company providing the service, then the newly submitted agreement will be reviewed for approval and placement as an "approval" item on the consent agenda.

Please let me know what Sprint/Sandhills are choosing to do.

----Original Message----

From: susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com [mailto:susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com] Sent: Monday, April 23, 2001 9:54 AM

To: KLogue@PSC.STATE.FL.US; mikeyov@aol.com;

susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Cc: KFlynn@PSC.STATE.FL.US; KPena@PSC.STATE.FL.US

Subject: RE: Docket 010369, Sprint/Sandhills

Kim, what about the option of correcting the agreement to reflect Sandhills' name as it appears on the FPSC certificate? Susan

----Original Message----

From: KLogue [mailto:KLogue@PSC.STATE.FL.US]

Sent: Saturday, April 21, 2001 3:52 PM

To: susan.masterton; mikeyov Cc: KLogue; KFlynn; KPena

Subject: Docket 010369, Sprint/Sandhills

Importance: High

Ms. Masterton and Mr. Yovanovich:

Please be advised that the Notice of Adoption of SATCOM Communication Corporation and Sprint Resale Agreement by Sandhills Telecommunications Group, Inc. d/b/a SanTel Communications, Docket 010369, filed March 28, 2001

must either be withdrawn or amended to correctly identify certificated parties.

While Sandhills Telecommunications Group, Inc. is certificated with

Secretary of State, Division of Corporations and the Florida Public Service

Commission, the corporate name Sandhills Telecommunications Group, Inc., d/b/a SanTel Communications is not certificated with the Commission.

Pursuant to Commission Rules, as well as meetings held with Sprint and other

ILECs, parties to an agreement filed with the FPSC must be certificated with

the Commission under the EXACT name as identified in FPSC records. I am aware that both Sandhills Telecommunications Group, Inc. and SanTel are

| VU5 | |
|--------|---|
| CAF | |
| CMP | |
| COM | |
| | |
| CTR | |
| ECR | |
| LEG | |
| OPC | |
| PA! | |
| | |
| RGO] | |
| SEC | 1 |
| SER T | |
| OTH - | |
| J 1 [] | _ |

05015-0,1

registered with the Secretary of State, however, no d/b/a identity exists with the Commission.

To that end, three options are available: 1) withdraw the filing in its entirety and refile upon completion and approval of FPSC registration/certification requirements; 2) correct Sandhills' certification

to include the d/b/a and submit an "amendment" to the current filing; or 3)

the Commission denies the agreement because Sandhills Telecommunications Group, Inc. d/b/a SanTel Communications is not authorized to do business as

a telecommunications carrier, pursuant to state certification rules.

Should you choose either option 1 or 2 as described above, please do so not

later than Monday, April 30, 2001.

Please advise me not later than Thursday, April 26, 2001 of your choice. Should you have questions, I may be contacted at 850-413-6560.

Kim Logue Supervisor Division of Competitive Services