

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.**  
**TESTIMONY OF THOMAS G. WILLIAMS**  
**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**DOCKET NO. 001797-TP**  
**APRIL 23, 2001**

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH") AND YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Thomas G. Williams. I am employed by BellSouth as Product Manager for Line Sharing for the nine-state BellSouth region. My business address is 3535 Colonnade Parkway, Suite E511, Birmingham, Alabama, 35242.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?

A. My career at BellSouth spans over 14 years and includes positions in various product management positions. I also have seventeen years service with AT&T and Southern Bell, during which I held various positions in sales, marketing, and operations. I have a bachelor's degree in Marketing.

Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
05085 APR 23 2001  
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1 A. Yes. I previously testified before the Georgia Public Service Commission and the  
2 Public Service Commission of South Carolina, and filed testimony with the  
3 Alabama, and Florida Public Service Commissions and the Public Utility  
4 Commission of North Carolina.

5

6 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

7

8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present BellSouth's position on some of the  
9 unresolved line sharing issues in the negotiations between BellSouth and Covad.  
10 Specifically, my testimony addresses Issues 16, 18, 21, 22, and 23.

11

12 ***Issue 16: Where should the splitter be located in the central office?***

13

14 Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF COVAD'S POSITION ON THIS  
15 ISSUE?

16

17 A. Covad believes it is best place the line sharing splitter on BellSouth's frame or  
18 with 25 feet of the main distributing frame ("MDF").

19

20 Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION CONCERNING THE BEST LOCATION  
21 FOR A LINE SHARING SPLITTER?

22 A. The most efficient architecture to deploy line sharing when BellSouth owns the  
23 splitter is to place the splitter in a rack either in the common area close to the  
24 collocation area or in a rack in the BellSouth lineup. While BellSouth recognizes  
25 that locating splitters on a central office frame is technically feasible, BellSouth

1 feels that splitters are better located in a relay rack in the alternative local  
2 exchange carrier ("ALEC") common area or in the BellSouth line up of  
3 equipment.. A frame located splitter arrangement requires six frame-mountable  
4 splitter blocks, each of which is capable of serving sixteen end user line sharing  
5 lines. This is inefficient due to the frame space that approach requires. This  
6 architecture requires 6 blocks to serve 96 end user lines. BellSouth's preferred  
7 rack-mounted architecture requires four frame mounted blocks, or 89 type blocks,  
8 which can serve 96 end user lines. The rack-mounted architecture is one third  
9 more efficient than mounting the splitter on the frame. The frame-mounted  
10 architecture proposed by Covad would cause BellSouth to prematurely exhaust its  
11 frame and is, therefore, much less efficient than the rack-mounted approach.

12 Also, to use the frame-mountable splitter would ignore the experience gained in  
13 the Line Sharing trial pilot. BellSouth found during the Line Sharing pilot in  
14 Atlanta, Georgia that main distributing frame-mounted splitters could not  
15 accommodate the manual test access jacks (the so-called "bantam jacks") that  
16 BellSouth provides to each ALEC. These bantam jacks provide the ALEC with  
17 direct access to the outside plant cable pair for testing. In BellSouth's proposed  
18 architecture, the bantam jacks are located adjacent to the rack-mounted splitter  
19 shelves in the ALECs' common area. The consensus of ALECs who attended the  
20 Collaborative was that frame-mounted splitters and bantam jacks allowed more  
21 room for testing and eliminated the possibility of accidentally losing other cross-  
22 connections on the frame.

23  
24 Covad should not be allowed to dictate to BellSouth where central office  
25 equipment should be placed. There are differences in central offices. BellSouth

1 should be allowed to make an engineering decision on a central office by central  
2 office basis where to place their equipment. Additionally, Covad has the option  
3 of owning the splitter and can place it in their collocation space.  
4

5 Q. COVAD HAS EXPRESSED A CONCERN THAT BELL SOUTH'S PROPOSED  
6 PLACEMENT OF THE SPLITTER WILL INCREASE CABLING COSTS.  
7 PLEASE DISCUSS.  
8

9 A. There is little cost difference incurred by varying the length of the hard-wired  
10 cabling between the splitters and the distributing frame. When compared to the  
11 material and installation costs of the splitter shelf, incremental changes in cable  
12 length are not significant. Moreover, the primary focus of BellSouth's splitter  
13 placement was to avoid unnecessarily using additional frame blocks while  
14 accommodating the need identified by the Collaborative for ALECs' test access to  
15 the cable pair.  
16

17 What has to be considered when discussing tie cable lengths are the locations of  
18 the ALEC's collocation termination pairs. Because ALEC collocation pairs  
19 terminate on a conventional distribution frame, BellSouth chose to also terminate  
20 the splitter cross-connect appearances there. This minimizes the length of the  
21 cross-connect between the ALEC data signal and the splitter.  
22

23 ***Issue 18: What should the provisioning interval be for the line sharing unbundled***  
24 ***network element?***  
25

1 Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT COVAD REGARDS AS  
2 REASONABLE INTERVAL?

3

4 A. Covad is proposing a phase-in approach to reduce intervals to 24 hours.

5

6 Q. WHAT MUST BELLSOUTH DO TO PROVISION A LINE SHARING LOOP?

7 A. When a BellSouth technician receives a line sharing installation work order,  
8 collocation cross-connections are used to connect the loop carrying the shared  
9 voice and data traffic to the splitter termination on the frame. A second cross-  
10 connection carries the voice traffic from the splitter termination to the BellSouth  
11 voice switch. The data traffic is then carried to the CLEC collocation space by a  
12 cross connection.

13 When the wiring is completed the technician tests to insure voice service is wired  
14 correctly. BellSouth also tests the cross-connections necessary to provide end  
15 user data service. In order to verify that the data cross-connections are correct,  
16 BellSouth recently completed work with a supplier who developed a Line-sharing  
17 Verification Transmitter test set. BellSouth technicians use this Test Set to  
18 ensure that the data portion of the circuit is wired correctly for the end user  
19 service. When the technician is satisfied that both portions of the circuit are  
20 correct, the work order is closed in COSMOS.

21

22 Q. WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE INTERVAL FOR LINE SHARING END  
23 USER SERVICE?

24

25

1 A. The appropriate comparison for line sharing provisioning intervals is to  
2 BellSouth's ADSL service provided to its customers. This is the retail analog  
3 established in FPSC Docket No. 991834-TP as interim performance measures  
4 for 3-party testing. BellSouth's planned interval for ADSL service is four days.  
5 BellSouth's plan for line sharing is to return to the ALEC a firm order  
6 confirmation no later than the next day for an electronic order, and two days for  
7 manual orders. The planned provisioning interval is three days after the firm  
8 order confirmation.

9 It may be possible to provision line sharing loops in some cases in less than three  
10 days if all information flows correctly through all of BellSouth's provisioning  
11 systems. However, if orders fall out for manual handling, three days will be  
12 required. Therefore, to be sure all parties, including the end user, have  
13 appropriate expectations; three days after the return of the firm order confirmation  
14 is the appropriate interval. This interval places line sharing at parity with  
15 BellSouth's own ADSL offering.

16

17 ***Issue 21: Should BellSouth provide accurate service order competition notifications***  
18 ***for line sharing orders?***

19 Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON ISSUE 21?

20

21 A. BellSouth agrees that it must provide accurate information to the ALECs when  
22 line sharing orders have been completed. BellSouth's CLEC Service Order  
23 Tracking System (CSOTS) provides DLECs the status of its line sharing billing  
24 order. BellSouth is developing an enhancement to allow DLECs to view the  
25 status of its line sharing provisioning order. Completion of this enhancement is

1           anticipated prior to April 30, 2001. BellSouth currently provides ALECs with a  
2           “line sharing COSMOS report” that provides the status of the BellSouth line  
3           sharing work order. The ALEC simply has to check that report and it will be  
4           advised as to the current status of its order.

5

6           ***Issue 22: Should BellSouth test for data continuity as well as data continuity both***  
7           ***when provisioning and repairing line shared loops?***

8           Q.    WHAT IS BELLSOUTH’S POSITION CONCERNING TESTING DATA  
9           CONTINUITY

10

11          A.    BellSouth is willing to test continuity of the data circuit wiring. BellSouth has  
12          made it clear that it is also testing the wiring of the high frequency spectrum. In  
13          January 2001, BellSouth announced to the line sharing collaborative that it would  
14          begin using the new Line Sharing Verification Transmitter (LSVT), described  
15          above, to test the wiring of the loops for line sharing. The device is now being  
16          deployed and use of this device has been included in procedures for installation  
17          and maintenance of line sharing loops.

18

19          ***Issue 23: Should Covad have access to all points on the line shared loop?***

20

21          Q.    WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF COVAD’S POSITION ON ISSUE  
22          23?

23

24          A.    Covad believes it should be allowed to test the loop at any point of  
25          interconnection within BellSouth’s central office, even in places that Covad

1 currently does not have access.

2

3 Q. DOES BELLSOUTH HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT COVAD'S PROPOSAL?

4

5 A. Yes. BellSouth is responsible for the quality of wiring at their frame. There is a  
6 process for CLECs to report troubles on UNE services and for BellSouth to  
7 respond to and repair the troubles. There is no question of the party responsible  
8 for the wiring of service on the BellSouth frame. BellSouth feels that to allow  
9 individuals not employed by BellSouth to perform work at its frame is a potential  
10 risk to service and potentially costly for BellSouth to remedy errors caused by  
11 CLEC technicians.

12

13 To insure quality service is delivered to its customers, BellSouth tracks all wiring  
14 changes performed on their central office frames. This tracking includes all  
15 wiring and diagnostic work performed, the date and time of the activity, and the  
16 technician performing the work. This information is used to locate wiring  
17 problems and to identify training needs. BellSouth technicians are held  
18 accountable for the quality of their work through this system.

19 BellSouth has no control over the training of CLEC technicians nor their  
20 experience levels. When work is performed at the frame, mishaps or accidents  
21 can occur that could be service effecting. Unauthorized wiring changes could be  
22 made without supporting systems to track the changes. If CLEC technicians  
23 perform work at the frame, BellSouth tracking information is incomplete or  
24 inaccurate. It may be impossible to re-create changes performed by a technician  
25 unfamiliar with BellSouth's equipment and procedures. BellSouth believes

1 allowing CLEC technicians to perform work at BellSouth's frame is extremely  
2 risky to service and potentially costly for rate payers.

3

4 Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON ISSUE 23?

5 A. BellSouth agrees that Covad should be allowed to test the loop it uses for line  
6 sharing. But, we see no need for Covad to have access to all points of  
7 interconnection with the central office. BellSouth believes that the use of the  
8 bantam-type test jack is a better solution to provide ALECs direct access to the  
9 loop for testing for line sharing. Current interconnection agreements preclude  
10 ALECs from direct testing from the frame but the bantam jack solution offers the  
11 same electrical equivalent. The bantam jack allows the ALEC to test the loop  
12 from the splitter to the NID. For each line sharing end user, BellSouth offers the  
13 ALECs a bantam-type test access jack located in the same rack as the splitter  
14 shelf. This bantam jack is made to accept a test cord. When the cord is inserted,  
15 the voice and data signals and associated central office wiring are isolated from  
16 the outside plant copper loop. This leaves the loop ready for unobstructed  
17 wideband testing by the ALEC technician, with no central office battery or DC  
18 blocking capacitors to interfere with the test results.

19 BellSouth also provide ALECs access to DLEC TAFI, an OSS that allows the  
20 ALEC to report troubles, check the status of trouble reports, and also, perform  
21 Mechanized Loops Tests (MLT).

22 If these testing methods are not adequate for the ALECs, they could choose to  
23 own the splitter. This would allow the ALEC to view the circuit from the loop  
24 side of the splitter.

25

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2

3 A. Yes.

4

5