BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Petition of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., TCG South Florida, and MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. For Structural Separation of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Docket No. 010345-TP Filed: April 24, 2001

REPLY OF PETITIONERS TO BELLSOUTH'S OPPOSITION TO <u>AT&T'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME</u>

Petitioner AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., TCG South Florida, and MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. ("AT&T"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby replies to BellSouth's Opposition to AT&T's Motion for Extension of Time and states:

1. This firm was engaged to represent AT&T in these proceedings on April 18, 2001.

At the time this firm was engaged, we understood that (1) BellSouth had already been contacted by AT&T about AT&T's request for an extension of time to respond to BellSouth's Motion to Dismiss and (2) the response was otherwise due to be filed on April 23, 2001.

2. On April 19, 2001, this firm, on behalf of AT&T, filed its motion for extension of time. The motion recited the fact that counsel for BellSouth had informed counsel for AT&T that BellSouth consented to a fourteen (14) day extension but would not consent to a thirty (30) day extension. For the reasons set forth in the motion, AT&T asserted that a thirty (30) day extension was reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances of this case.

3. On April 23, 2001, BellSouth filed its Opposition to AT&T's Motion for Extension of Time. BellSouth acknowledges in its filing that it agreed to grant AT&T a fourteen (14) day

TL017483;1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

-- ---

extension. However, BellSouth opposes AT&T's motion for a thirty (30) day extension, relying principally on the argument that AT&T's motion for an extension of time was untimely filed.

4. Rule 28-106.103 of the Florida Administrative Code allows a party seven days to file a response to a motion made by other parties. The Rule provides for five additional days if the motion is served by mail. Counting twelve (12) days from BellSouth's April 10, 2001 Motion to Dismiss, AT&T determined that its response was due on April 23, 2001. This is unquestionably the proper due date if BellSouth's motion was served by mail, which it was, according to the certificate of service. However, as BellSouth points out in its Opposition to AT&T's Motion for Extension of Time, the BellSouth Motion to Dismiss was also sent by Federal Express. Under Rule 28-106.103, service by overnight carrier adds only one day to a party's time to respond (instead of the five days applicable to service by mail).

5. AT&T filed its Motion for Extension of Time within the time it was permitted to respond to a motion served upon it by U.S. Mail. Even if BellSouth's decision to serve by both Federal Express and U.S. Mail might support an argument for an earlier deadline, no useful purpose is served by BellSouth's effort to litigate the point. BellSouth had notice of AT&T's intention to seek an extension on April 18, 2001, the date BellSouth says a motion seeking the extension was due, and BellSouth agreed to a fourteen (14) day extension the next day. If the purpose of BellSouth's untimeliness argument is to suggest that the Commission cannot even consider the merits of whether an extension of thirty (30) days as opposed to fourteen (14) days is most appropriate, BellSouth is wrong both as a matter of law and fairness. *See In re Complaint by Allied Universal Corp.*, 2000 WL 33151629, PSC Docket No. 000061-EI (Order No. PSC-00-2430-PCO-EI) (Dec. 20, 2000)

(where party erroneously calculated response date from date of receipt instead of date of service, four-day delay resulted in no prejudice and leave to file response granted).

6. The magnitude and complexity of the issues presented in this proceeding warrant a modest extension of thirty (30) days in order to facilitate a more complete presentation to the Commission, and BellSouth's hyper-technical untimeliness argument is not a reasonable basis for refusing to enter an otherwise appropriate order to that effect.

WHEREFORE, AT&T respectfully requests that the Prehearing Officer issue an order

allowing AT&T until May 23, 2001 to respond to BellSouth's Motion to Dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

AKERMAN, SENTERFITT & EIDSON, P.A.

301 South Bronough, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1707 Phone: (850) 222-3471 Fax: (850) 222-8628

SunTrust International Center, 28th Floor One Southeast Third Avenue Miami, Florida 33131-1704 Phone: (305) 374-5600 Fax: (305) 374-5095

Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., TCG South Florida, and MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc.

By: Joseph W. Hatchett

Joseph W. Hatchett Florida Bar No. 034486 John F. O'Sullivan Florida Bar No. 143154

Fred R. Dudley Florida Bar No. 011060

-and-

Marsha Rule AT&T 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 425-6365

٠

.

.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the Motion of AT&T Communications

of the Southern States, Inc., TCG South Florida, and MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc.

for Extension of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion to Strike filed

by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. were sent via U.S. mail this 24th day of April, 2001 to:

Nancy B. White, Esq. James Meza, III, Esq. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jason Fudge, Esq. Beth Keating, Esq. Staff Counsel for Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Karen M. Camechis, Esq.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A.
P.O. Box 10095 (32302)
215 South Monroe Street, 2nd Flr.
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Maxcess, Inc. Ms. Doris Franklin 315 South Calhoun St., Suite 314 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1837 R. Douglas Lackey, Esq.
E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
Patrick W. Turner, Esq.
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Suite 4300, 675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. c/o Carolyn Marek 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069

Michael A. Gross Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc. 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303