UNIONAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

01 APR 27 PM 12: 26

In Re: Application by Nocatee Utility Corporation for Original Certificates for Water & Wastewater Service in Duval and St. Johns Counties, Florida)))	Docket No. 990696-WS	RECURDS AND REPORTING
In Re: Application for certificates to operate water & wastewater utility in Duval and St. Johns Counties by Intercoastal Utilities, Inc.		Docket No. 992040-WS	

INTERCOASTAL'S REPLY TO NUC'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO INTERCOASTAL'S MOTION REGARDING ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY

INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES, INC. ("Intercoastal"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Reply to NUC's Response in Opposition to Intercoastal's Motion Regarding Additional Testimony and in support thereof would state and allege as follows:

All of the testimony filed by Intercoastal earlier this week is proper rebuttal

testimony. The simple fact is that the Applications of Intercoastal and Nocatee Utility Corporation ("NUC") are mutually exclusive. If the Commission grants NUC's Application, then it will have no jurisdiction to grant even a portion of Intercoastal's Application. While, admittedly, everything Intercoastal does in the consolidated case in which NUC is an applicant furthers Intercoastal's cause APP CAF in the consolidated case in which Intercoastal is an applicant, the fact is that Intercoastal's most **CMP** COM CTR recent testimony does not merely further its direct case or otherwise address or alter Intercoastal's ECR LEG Application. It is important for the Commission to understand that the testimony of Mr. Burton, and OPC PAI **RGO** the supporting testimony of Mr. James and Mr. Bowen, are not addressing some minimum filing SEC SER OTH requirement which is a part of Intercoastal's Application. Intercoastal's projection of rates, not

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

1.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05277 APR 27 5

required by any Administrative Code Rule or Commission practice or policy for the type of Application Intercoastal has filed, are part of the case which Intercoastal has tendered specifically

in response to the testimony of NUC.

2. NUC makes no real objection to the tendering of the testimony on substantive

grounds. The testimony is consistent with Intercoastal's prior testimony and the evidence which was

elicited in the deposition in discovery in this action. Intercoastal has already taken steps to comply

with the relief requested by NUC should the Prehearing Officer determine that the same is

appropriate.

WHEREFORE, and in consideration of the above, Intercoastal urges the Commission to

grant Intercoastal's Motion as expeditiously as possible.

DATED this 22 day of April, 2001.

JOHN L. WHARTON, ESQ.

Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 877-6555

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to the following this day of April, 2001.

Samantha Cibula, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

J. Stephen Menton, Esq.
Kenneth Hoffman, Esq.
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420
P. O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael J. Korn, Esq. Korn & Zehmer 6620 Southpoint Drive South, Suite 200 Jacksonville, FL 32216 Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, P.A. P.O. Box 6526 123 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32314

Suzanne Brownless, Esq. 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

John L. Wharton, Esq.

intercoa\psc\NUC'sResponsOpposAddTest.rpl