



ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

01 APR 30 AM 11:36

RECORDS AND REPORTING



Peggy Arvanitas

April 21, 2001

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo
Director Division of Records/reporting
Florida public Service commission
2540 Shumard oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Fla. 32399-0850

RE: Docket 001503-tp Number Pooling cost Allocation

Ms. Bayo,

Enclosed is an original and six copies of peggy arvanitas' Reconsideration of PSC of Florida's re-issued order No. PSC-01-0833-PCO-TP in docket No. 001503-TP.

I ask you to file this in the above referenced docket. A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark to indicate that the original was filed and return the same to me. Copies have been sent to the parties shown on the attached certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Peggy Arvanitas
Peggy Arvanitas

Done 5/11/01

Henry

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

RE/MAX First Class
620 Bypass Drive
Clearwater, Florida 33764
Office: (727) 797-7500
E-Mail: pegremax2000@yahoo.com

Each Office Independently Owned and Operated

DOCUMENT NUMBER-SITE
0311 APR 30 01
FPSC-RECORDS-REPORTING

REC

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF FLORIDA

Generic Number Pooling Cost)
Recovery for all Florida)
Area Codes)

Docket No. 001503-TP

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE
05311 APR 30 2011
PSC REPORTS REPORTING

RECONSIDERATION OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S
RE-ISSUED ORDER NO. PSC-01-0833-PCO-TP IN DOCKET NO. 001503-TP

The Florida Public Service Commission has decided to re-issue an Order because I, Peggy Arvanitas was not given adequate notice to challenge the illegitimate denial of my intervention. By my petition, I clearly identified how my substantial interests through any cost recovery or allocation issues for number pooling trials in florida, specifically in 727 and 813 area codes where I work and live would affect me.

Pursuant to rule 25-22.039, Florida administrative Code, persons seeking to become parties in a proceeding must demonstrate that they are entitled to participate as a matter of constitutional or statutory right pursuant to Commission rule, OR that their substantial interests will be affected through this proceeding.

Therefore, Ms. Arvanitas states that as a statutory or constitutional right, any person residing or working in an affected area has a right to intervene. you allow phone companies to intervene where they do business. Just because I am not a corporation or a million dollar phone company, how is a "lone consumer" any different? I am the PUBLIC in the Public Service Commission. You, in your inception, are here to serve me. Therefore, if I work and reside in the 727 and 813 area code, and those area codes are in the state of florida, by statute, I am entitled to participate. And since the cost recovery docket does not stipulate that it is for JUST the east coast area code docket's cost recovery, and since the discussion is already present to do number pooling in 813 area code because of the verizon rate center expansion issue, by right since I sell real estate in that area and it is within the tampa Bay area where I live, and 727 area code is also affected in that area of number , by right I can intervene.

(cont pg 2)

The Florida Rule 25-22.039, I may participate as a matter of constitutional right, OR if my SUBSTANTIAL INTERESTS are affected. As a realtor selling real estate in a tri-county area comprising of over 25 different cities, many issues, such as land use changes, insurance rate increases, unavailability of areas for sale because of changes in mortgage financing affect my area. I simply do not sell a house, but an ENVIRONMENT, a way of life, and complicated costs for communication impede the desire of some people to relocate in certain areas just as high crime rates dissuade parties from purchasing. According to the Agrico test being wielded like a sword to slaughter vocal and intelligent consumers, I am suffering injury which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle me to a 120.57 F.S. hearing .

I have many customers who buy residential and commercial property from me. Some of my business customers now spend the good part of two weeks out of every month challenging their illegitimate costs shoved down their throats by Verizon. This is occurring now, and when they move, I do not receive referrals by them, or additional properties to sell to them. When we had area code jeopardy relief 3 years ago, I spoke and was recorded as a party to the docket by nature I lived and worked in the affected area and I spoke as a salesman representing my "product" or environment which generates revenue for me. My revenue is relative to Verizon's. The statute does not say I must be a corporation or a multi-million dollar phone company. The law does not say I must have written documentation as to the voices of my people. The law does not differentiate between consumer or phone company, and so certain staff members, pandering for the phone industry or wanting a stream lined docket with no arguments are illegitimate. Shame on you Commissioners.

Therefore, if I live in the area for which this docket's results will affect and I am subjected to even one more dollar on my phone bill that occurs on my phone bill, then I meet the tests, and the commission should reverse its decision and reverse the denial of my petition to intervene. With an over 20% increase in phone bills, and the commissioners in a quandry since Julia Johnson left, one more illegitimate dollar on everyone's phone bill is a fiscal rape.

A very Unhappy Consumer,


Peggy Arvanitas

A certificate of service is attached and has been served