



Susan S. Masterton Attorney Law/External Affairs
Post Office Box 2214
1313 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214
Mailstop FLTLH00107
Voice 850 599 1560
Fax 850 878 0777
susan, masterton@mail.sprint.com

July 9, 2001

## Via Hand Delivery

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 DECEIVED FPSC

Re: Docket No. 000828-TP BellSouth/Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership arbitrated interconnection agreement and Docket No. 000761-TP BellSouth Sprint PCS arbitrated interconnection agreement.

Dear Ms. Bayó:

APP

CAF

CMP

COM

CTR

ECR

OPC

PA

RGO SEC SER

OTH

Today, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") is filing the conforming interconnection agreement between the parties in connection with the BellSouth/Sprint PCS arbitration docket (000761-TP) and the BellSouth/Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership arbitration docket (000828-TP). The purpose of this letter is to identify one contract provision for which the parties have not been able to agree on appropriate contract language: Attachment 1 (Resale), Section 3.1.2.2, regarding implementation costs for the resale of vertical features on a stand-alone basis. In the agreement being filed today, BellSouth and Sprint have each included "best and final" versions of this contract provision with Sprint's version being not to include the BellSouth-proposed language.

Sprint is aware of this Commission's recent decision in connection with the BellSouth/Global NAPS arbitration proceedings (Docket No. 991220-TP) not to incorporate contract language in connection with issues that were not specifically raised in either the petitioning party's arbitration Petition or the responding party's Response. Accordingly, it appears that the Commission will not consider this language. In the event that the Commission decides to consider the "best and final" language on implementation costs, Sprint believes that BellSouth's proposed language should be rejected. The Commission has already ruled that BellSouth must provide vertical features to Sprint on a stand-alone basis at the wholesale discount, pursuant to BellSouth's obligations under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. BellSouth should not be allowed to undermine this fundamental principle by attempting to recover "implementation costs" associated with BellSouth's fulfillment of its statutory obligation.

POC. BUPEAU DE RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08361 JUL-95

Ms. Blanca Bayó July 9, 2001 Page Two

Enclosed for filing are the original and fifteen (15) copies of this letter. Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Sincerely,

Susan Masterton

Sum moty in

Cc: Parties of Record

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

## DOCKET NO. 000828-TP & 000761-TP

I hereby certify that U.S. Mail or hand-delivery served a true and correct copy of the foregoing this 9th day of July, 2001 to the following:

Nancy B. White C/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 4000 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

Lennie Fulwood Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Michael P. Goggins/James Meza III 150 West Flagler Street, Suite 1910 Miami, Florida 33130

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Lisa Spooner Foshee/R. Douglas Lackey 675 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, GA 30375

Susan S. Masterton

5hows holy