

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

RE: DOCKET NO. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

ISSUE A: Should BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc's (BellSouth) Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief on Limited Issue of Whether FPSC Has Authority to Order Rate Center Consolidation be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. BellSouth's Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief on Limited Issue of Whether FPSC Has Authority to Order Rate Center Consolidation should be granted.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: DS BZ PL

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Michael S. Paluch

[Signature]

[Signature]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08400 JUL 10 01

FPSC-REGULATORY REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE B: Under current Florida and Federal Law, what is the extent of the Commission's authority to order rate center consolidation?

RECOMMENDATION: While there are no explicit legal impediments to prevent the Commission from rendering a decision on this issue in this Docket, staff recommends that the issue of whether the Commission has authority to order rate center consolidation in the State of Florida should be deferred and addressed in a separate specific docket expeditiously.

APPROVED

ISSUE 1: Should the Tampa Market Area be considered one rate center? If not, what rate centers should be associated with the Tampa Market Area?

RECOMMENDATION: No, the Tampa Market Area should not be considered one rate center. Staff recommends the Tampa Rate Center, located within the Tampa Market Area, should consist of the Tampa Central, Tampa North, Tampa East, Tampa South, and Tampa West rate centers. Staff also recommends that all existing customers in the 813 area code be grandfathered as described in Verizon's proposal, but with a modification. The grandfathered customers should be allowed to maintain their telephone numbers regardless of whether they change carriers, as long as they are at the same location. In addition, all existing calling scopes should be maintained exactly in place for billing and number portability purposes, and the V+H/TPM coordinates in the existing Tampa region should be preserved. Furthermore, the ALECs should provide staff with a list of all grandfathered NXXs by October 2, 2001, to enable staff to review the effect of the modified grandfathering proposal on ALECs and customers within five years.

APPROVED

Approved with the exception that implementation be withheld until thirty days after the date that mandatory pooling goes into effect. Staff is directed to take measures to expedite mandatory pooling and encourage participation by ALECs.

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: How would multiple rate centers impact the numbering resources in the Tampa Market Area?

RECOMMENDATION: The evidence in the record reflects that the establishment of five Tampa rate centers could cause the 813 area code to prematurely exhaust. However, if the Commission approves the modified grandfathering proposal for all NXXs, permanent local number portability for grandfathered customers, retaining the same local calling areas for all NXXs in the 813 area code (Issue 1) and a number pooling trial (Issue 4), staff believes that multiple rate centers would have minimal impact on the numbering resources for the Tampa area.

APPROVED

ISSUE 3: a) What effect will Verizon's changes to its RDBS and BRIDS have on other telecommunications carriers in the Tampa Market Area?

b) What effect would one or more rate centers have on telecommunications carriers in the Tampa Market Area?

RECOMMENDATION: The effects of Verizon's changes to its RDBS and BRIDS, and the effect of one or more rate centers on telecommunication carriers in the Tampa Market Area, are addressed in Issue 1.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 4: Should a number pooling trial be implemented in the Tampa MSA? If so, when should the number pooling trial begin?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. Staff recommends that a number pooling trial be implemented in the Tampa MSA beginning on October 1, 2001. The pooling trial should include all uncontaminated thousands-blocks, and all contaminated blocks with less than 10% contamination pursuant to the FCC's rules and orders. All LNP-capable carriers should participate in the pooling trial.

APPROVED

ISSUE 5: What other number conservation measures, if any, should the Commission order in the Tampa Market Area? If so,

a) When should these measures be implemented?

b) How should the cost recovery be established?

RECOMMENDATION: Due to insufficient evidence in the record, staff recommends that the Commission address any further number conservation measures in Docket No. 981444-TP (Investigation into Number Conservation Measures: Number Utilization Study).

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 6: Should Verizon be ordered to implement rate center consolidation in the Tampa Market Area? If so,

- a) How many rate centers should be consolidated? and if so, how should it be implemented?
- b) When should the rate center consolidation be effective?
- c) Should Verizon be allowed to recover its costs upon consolidation of its rate centers in the Tampa Market Area? If so, how?

RECOMMENDATION: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue B, Issue 6 becomes moot.

APPROVED

ISSUE 7: Should Verizon be required to undo changes made prior to August 15, 2000, in its RDBS and BRIDS systems? If so, should Verizon be required to file a revised Tariff reflecting one Tampa Rate Center?

RECOMMENDATION: No. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, Verizon should not be required to undo changes made prior to August 15, 2000, in its RDBS and BRIDS systems.

APPROVED

ISSUE 8: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: If the Commission approves staff's recommendations in Issues 1-7, this docket should be closed.

DENIED

Docket is to remain open until number pooling starts.