## State of Florida



# Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER ● 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

JULY 12, 2001

TO:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (ISLER)

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K. PEÑA; B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 010458-TC - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 4315 ISSUED TO DAVID WILLIAM STANYON D/B/A QUALITY PHONE SERVICE OF FLORIDA FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS

COMPANIES.

AGENDA:

07/24/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION -

INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\010458.RCM

#### CASE BACKGROUND

- 11/28/95 This company obtained Florida Public Service Commission Certificate No. 4315.
- 01/06/00 The Commission received the company's payment for the 1999 Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF). The company reported no revenues for the period ended December 31, 1999.
- 12/12/00 The Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed the 2000 RAF notice. Payment was due January 30, 2001.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08468 JULIT = 
PRESSANCIAEPORTING

DOCKET NO. 010458-TC DATE: JULY 12, 2001

- 02/21/00 The Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed the delinquent notice.
- 04/11/01 Staff established this docket for nonpayment of the 2000 RAF, plus statutory penalty and interest charges for the years 1995 and 2000.
- 04/17/01 Staff wrote the company and explained that a docket had been established and to contact the Commission if it was interested in resolving this docket.
- 04/23/01 Mrs. Diane Stanyon called staff and advised that the company does not have any payphones and requested that the company's options and the 2000 RAF return form be faxed the next morning. This was done the next day, April 24, 2001.
- 06/14/01 Staff wrote the company and explained that payment for the outstanding RAF nor letter requesting cancellation had been received.
- 07/06/01 As of this date, the company has not paid the 2000 RAF, plus penalty and interest charges for the years 1995 and 2000, or recontacted staff.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 364.336, 364.285, and 364.3375, Florida Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

### DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel David William Stanyon d/b/a Quality Phone Service of Florida's certificate for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

DOCKET NO. 010458-TC DATE: JULY 12, 2001

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Certificate No. 4315 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts. (Isler)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the Commission may impose a fine or cancel a certificate if a company refuses to comply with Commission rules. Rule 25-24.514, Florida Administrative Code, establishes the requirements for cancellation of a certificate. The rule provides for the Commission to cancel a certificate on its own motion for violation of Commission Rules and Orders.

Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, which implements Section 364.336, Florida Statutes, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

The Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services notified staff that the company had not paid its 2000 regulatory assessment fees, plus statutory penalty and interest charges for the years 1995 and 2000. Therefore, it appears the company has failed to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and has not requested cancellation of its certificate in compliance with Rule 25-24.514, Florida Administrative Code. This fine amount is consistent with amounts used for recent, similar violations.

Accordingly, staff recommends that the Commission assess a \$500 fine for failure to comply with the Commission rules or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, along with statutory penalty and interest charges, are not

DOCKET NO. 010458-TC DATE: JULY 12, 2001

paid within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Certificate No. 4315 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

#### ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. The docket should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. (K. Peña; B. Keating)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a Proposed Agency Action Order. If no timely protest to the Proposed Agency Action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order and upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate.