

ORIGINAL

July 16, 2001

Ms. Bayó , Director
Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Fla. 32399-0850

RE:010309-tp, 010565-tp, 010782-tp,010783-tp Dockets

PROTEST OF BELLSOUTH'S PROTEST OF NANPA AND PA DENIALS OF NXX AND NXX-X CODES AND STATE OF FLORIDA PSC OVERTURN OF DENIALS, AND FCC CLARIFICATION FILING JUL 12 2001

RECEIVED PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CLERK JUL 16 AM 11:55

Please file this protest in the above mentioned dockets . I have included a copy of this letter for you to stamp "filed" and return same to me. You will also see enclosed an original and 10 copies, attached to a certificate of service sent to all parties mentioned. On behalf of the consumers below, we thank you in Records and Reporting for your helpful employees.

Sincerely,
Eggy Arvanitas
Eggy Arvanitas

Emmanuel Arvanitas
Emmanuel Arvanitas

certificate of service attached

- APP _____
- CAF _____
- CMP _____
- COM _____
- CIR _____
- ECR _____
- LEG L
- OPC _____
- PAI _____
- RGO _____
- SEC L
- SER _____
- OTH _____

Reach d/t

RXM

RECEIVED
JUL 16 9 46 AM '01
MAIL ROOM

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08632 JUL 16 2001

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF FLORIDA

In RE: BellSouth's petitions to)
overturn NANPA code denials for)
NXX and NXX-X numbers, including)
pooling administrator denials)

DOCKET NUMBERS: 010309, 10565, 10782, 10783
PAA orders : 01-1312, PSC-01-1146 NAA-TL
Filed : July 16,2001

PROTEST OF BELLSOUTH'S OPPOSITION TO NANPA AND POOLING ADMINISTRATOR'S CODE
DENIALS AND OFFICIAL NOTICE OF FCC CLARIFICATION FILED JULY 12,2001

Comes now, Peggy Arvanitas and Emmanuel Arvanitas, consumers of Florida phone numbers' resources file this notification of FCC filing asking for clarification of FCC order. Emmanuel Arvanitas is a consumer living in Jacksonville, Florida area code 904. Peggy Arvanitas is from Seminole Florida area code 727. As these are the public's resources, and five area codes are in jeopardy relief on the east coast and west coast numbers from Tampa Bay area 813/727 will be depleted because of staff recommendation for Verizon's rate center expansion, we are taking this position. The PSC of Florida, for whatever reason, is lacking in audit procedures to ensure that Florida's resources are not exhausted by companies with inefficient number allocation and insufficient equipment upgrades. The PSC of Florida is to be the steward of Florida's numbers. Any illegitimate "rubber stamping" for overturn of code denials for BellSouth will set precedent for other areas in Florida for premature exhaust of Florida consumer's numbering resources that will lead to the eventual exhaust of the NANP if this is carried out nationwide.

According to Florida Statutes 120.80, the State of Florida PSC must incorporate 1996 Telecom Act language into state orders, and Florida Statutes 120.50 says Florida PSC must incorporate FCC ordered language into state orders. Therefore, since we the above named consumers feel this is not being carried out, the Florida PSC could be in violation of Florida statutes. This is why we are asking for clarification from the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION. As consumers living in Florida , we feel we have substantial interest in the Public's resources, as we use them. The FCC has said in orders, and the 1996 Telecom Act have stated THESE ARE THE PUBLIC'S NUMBERS. We are


DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08632 JUL 16 01

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

therefore, within our right to intervene on dockets . These overturns of NANPA code denials set precedent all over the state of Florida, and without full enforcement of FCC orders, which consumers believe to mean audits, this is not the intent of the Order.

You will find a copy of FCC Clarification attached to this filing. Please file in all the above filings to put all parties of the dockets on notice. We have filed an ammended Certificate of Service with FCC 99-200 docket, as we excluded BellSouth and PSC of Florida notification. We faxed and mailed, though, to these parties even though they were excluded initially in the Certificate of Service.

Sincerely and with Great Concern,



Peggy Arvanitas
PO BOX 8787
Seminole , Fla. 33775
(727)-742-1386
pegremax2000@yahoo.com



Emmanuel Arvanitas
6256 Nancy Drive
Jacksonville, Fl. 32310
(904)-778-4310

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In RE: Number Conservation and)
Optimization docket)

CC Docket 99-200

Filed July 9, 2001

CLARIFICATION OF 47 C.F.R. 52.15 (g)(iii)(iv) IN THE OVERTURN OF NANPA
CODE DENIALS BY THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR BELLSOUTH
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Peggy Arvanitas and Emmanuel Arvanitas, consumers of Florida phone numbers and citizens of Jacksonville and Clearwater, Florida, submits this Clarification for expedited review by the FCC of Florida Public Service Commission's overturn of NANPA's code denials. The docket 99-200 had two orders from it, FCC 00-104 and FCC 00-429 dealing with utilization thresholds for wireline and wireless carriers. Already, the State of Florida PSC LOWERED utilization thresholds for wireless carriers to 60% from 75%. This was at the insistence of Cingular, BellSouth's joint venture with SBC Communications. As many people know, ILEC's have both wireless and wireline numbers in the same rate center.

The Florida PSC has now stipulated that the overturn of BellSouth NXX and NXX-X code denials is justified because "NANPA used to give out numbers with MTE at THE SWITCH LEVEL and now must use utilization thresholds at the RATE CENTER LEVEL." Florida PSC has state dockets 010309, 010565, 010782, and 010783 that they have used and are using this rationale to overturn code denials. As the FCC is aware, NANPA has NEVER allocated numbers at the switch level using MTE, always at the rate center. They were certified, not verified. If the argument still exists for BellSouth that they are burdened with multiple switches in rate centers, this is a matter for the FCC in a FEDERAL capacity to modify the existing order in a n FCC venue.

As BellSouth is setting the precedence in Florida PSC with these overturns, and the Florida PSC employees, attorneys, and Commissioners are weak in their reading and interpretation of FCC orders and NANPA directives, we ask the FCC for this Clarification. The Consumers ask the FCC for an expedited ruling to avoid the premature exhaust of numbers that the affirmation and verification of utilization thresholds should be

preserving. We ask the FCC to clarify what measurement of compliance should a State Public Utility Commission review before an overturn of denial occurs. According to 47 CFR 52.15

"...The state regulatory commission may affirm or overturn the NANPA decision to withhold numbering resources from the carriers BASED ON ITS DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REPORTING AND NUMBERING RESOURCE APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS HEREIN."

The Consumers filing this docket perceive this to mean audits of unopened and contaminated numbers, and audits into the verification of accurately filed utilization data. As the ex-parte of Illinois Commerce Commission stated in FCC 99-200 March 30, 2001 filing: "...at the meeting, a representative from Nuestar, Inc, the NANPA indicated that when the company receives applications from service providers for growth codes (NXX) it DOES NOT VERIFY whether service providers requesting such growth codes have met the Commission's(or a state commission's) utilization threshold requirements."

Therefore, when NANPA finally does review and verify information, and BellSouth in the State of Florida PSC docket proclaims that they have 24 MONTHS of numbers on a switch in Orlando that they want to overturn a denial for, then the Consumers come to one conclusion. 1) There are MANY contaminated numbers on that switch that BellSouth has not followed State and FCC orders-Voluntary Numbering Guidelines, or a more sinister one: Certain State of Florida telecom employees are doing marvelous things for a nine state billion dollar phone company. And everyone must know by now that Florida passed an ammendment a few years ago that has NO RECUSEMENT period for Florida PSC employees who worked for the PSC prior to January 1995.

Therefore, since these Consumers from Florida cannot depend on the "wisdom" of the Florida PSC, and certainly not BellSouth for it's stewardship:

"In fact, BellSouth submits that the implementation of an expedited review process would not subject any person or entity to actual injury because such a proceeding applies only to BellSouth, NANPA, and the customer BellSouth is trying to service."

This comment was from BellSouth's 010782-t1 PSC of Florida filing.

Obviously, if the biggest phone company in Florida fells consumers have no say about the Public's resources, because OBVIOUSLY, THESE ARE NOT THE PUBLIC'S NUMBERS we need clarification from the FCC.

We need Clarification on these points:

- 1) Was the NANPA expending numbers, ever , at the switch level, and is this a valid reason to overturn code denials?
- 2) Are Consumers to be excluded from state dockets because they don't have "substantial interest" as a billion dollar phone company does? (see docket 010102-t1)
- 3) What verification must be produced for State Public Utility Commissions "determination of complaine with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements...?"

We are asking for an EXPEDITED ruling in this matter, and please do not take four months or more , as you are with the 561 area code petition request for Florida/

This is submitted on this 9th day of July, 2001 and we are looking forward with doing communication with the FCC.

Peggy Arvanitas
Peggy Arvanitas
PO Box 8787
Seminole, Fla. 33775

Emmanuel Arvanitas
Emmanuel Arvanitas
6256 Nancy Drive
Jax, Fla. 32210

Attached, please find the PSC of Florida docket filing of Emmanuel Arvanitas-protest as well as the Certificate of Service.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In RE: Petition by BellSouth for an expedited)
review of NANPA's denial of central office code)

DOCKET NUMBER 010565-TP

June 16, 2001

PROTEST OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION/ORDER OF OVERTURN OF BELLSOUTH DENIALS
OF NXX CODES BY NANPA

I, Emmanuel Arvanitas, am a consumer of Florida's numbering resources, having lived here for over 20 years, and under the Florida Administrative Code do hereby protest the order in the above mentioned order.

On March 30, 2001, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (hereby referred to as BellSouth) submitted an application to NANPA to receive numbering resources in the Orlando rate center. The code requests were for VANITY numbers/ DID consecutive numbers for BellSouth customers.

The reason for the denial of 10,000 block number codes was for the low utilization threshold below 60%. As the Commissioners from the Florida PSC should know, the FCC order 00-104 was for the implementation of uniform standards for all carriers to receive numbering resources. FCC 00-104 paragraph 105 uses the rate center as a unit of measurement for all switches in that rate center to have a cumulative utilization threshold of OVER 60% to receive additional numbering resources. To allow the override of NANPA for one carrier, BellSouth is discriminatory, for the reasons I will list below. And any discrimination as to the "efficient allocation of numbers" is not "competitively neutral" in violation of the 1996 Telecom Act- Section 251. And since our Florida Statutes 120.80 say we must be in compliance with the 1996 Telecom Act and incorporate the language of the 1996 Telecom Act in state ordered language in our State of Florida PSC orders. The State of Florida PSC can only overturn the NANPA ruling, as per 47 C.F.R. 52.15 (g)(iii),(iv)"based on its determination of compliance with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements herein."

BellSouth has not met this burden with NANPA let alone the PSC of Florida. The PSC of Florida is malfeasant in it's refusal, because of ignorance or otherwise to do an audit

to verify compliance.

PAA order 99-0373

According to the order from the docket 10393-TP the Voluntary Stipulation was filed and signed by all carriers including BellSouth in 1999. In this Stipulation was an agreed to protocol that the carriers would not open up new NXX's unless there was a bonafide request by a carrier's customer. Upon reviewing BellSouth's own statements by it's representitives in this docket, Orlando rate center has " 14.4 months to exhaust" and switch Pinecastle in the rate center has "29 months to exhaust. " This is far greater than the six month's of inventory of numbers that the stipulation allowed them to keep numbers and not pool. The inference is that with this many BLOCKS OPENED THERE IS A MAGNIFISCENT AMOUNT OF CONTAMINATION OF MORE THAN 10% CONTAMINATION OF 1000 BLOCKS THAT WOULD EXEMPT ANY ADDITIONAL NUMBERS FROM BEING USED IN A NUMBER POOL. The PSC of Florida cannot ignore these facts that allow BellSouth additional numbering resources beyond an FCC order and then allow the ILEC to have contaminated numbers in violation of a previous Florida order. Of course, there will not be enough numbers for pooling once this area code is in exhaust. The ILEC that would have to GIVE UP NUMBERS TO OTHER CARRIERS IN 1000 BLOCKS WOULD BE ABLE TO HOARD NUMBERS.

So, we have inefficient allocation of numbers, which the FCC says in it's order should invalidate any override of denial from the State PUC's. We also have a SELF PROCLAMATED insufficiency of equipment BellSouth themselves disclosed in PAA order 00-1046. From the docket 981444-TP docket, Bellsouth in July 2000 asked for a variance to NOT POOL in over 50% of their rate centers because of a 29 year old IAESS switch by Lucent which they haven't upgraded. Upon careful review of all the NPA rate center switches BellSouth said had the old switch, I see a DIRECT CORRELATION BETWEEN THE OLD IAESS SWITCHES BEING THE EXACT RATE CENTER SWITCHES THAT BELLSOUTH HAS BEEN DENIED NUMBERING RESOURCES FROM NANPA.

My company I work for went through a great expense in the last two years upgrading our old switches to newer ones that could support more numbers being attached to them. This is along the 25/133 problem that BellSouth received the ability to exclude itself from further porting of 1000 block numbers in a pooling environment. Therefore, to allow BellSouth to be exempt for inefficiency of numbering resources and insufficiency of upgraded equipment as CLEC'S COMPETING AGAINST THEM HAVE DONE IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

1996 Telecommunication's Act Section 251 "competitive neutrality" clause, which denies any carrier any illegal competitive advantage over another carrier in numbering resources.

Therefore, I ask for review of protest and reversal of BellSouth to receive these numbers from customers, as according to 47 C.F.R. 52.15 (g)(iii)(iv) the State of Florida Public Service Commission has not met the criteria for the AUTHORITY to overturn numbering resources of the PUBLIC's numbers in the State of Florida. I consider this gross negligence and malfeasance for you to continue prior to an audit into the numbering practices of BellSouth. Because of willful contamination of numbering resources to exclude them for number pooling to competing carriers, you would slap the State of Florida's face and allow them an override of NANPA's denial, which no other upgraded and efficient GLEC is asking for. This, if it is allowed to go through, warrants a challenge to the Supreme Court.

A Very Unhappy and disgusted Consumer,

Emmanuel Arvanitas
Emmanuel Arthur Arvanitas

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR DOCKET NO. 010565-TP PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION? FLORIDA
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR DOCKETS No. 010309,010782, and 010783-tp

Peggy Arvanitas

I, Emmanuel Arvanitas do certify that on July 16,2001 I mailed the protest
010309,010782,010783-tp
of 010565-tp to all members named below, US Mail.

BellSouth Telecommunications
Ms. Nancy Sims
150 South Monroe St. #400
Tallahassee, Fla. 32301-1556

Time Warner Telecom
% Carol Marek
233 Bramerton Ct.
Franklin, Tenn. 37069

BellSouth Telecommunications
%James Meza
150 West Flagler Street #910
Miami, Fla. 33130

Pennington , Moore Wilkinson,Dunbar
Peter Dunbar
PO Box 10095 (32302)
215 So. Monroe St. 2nd Floor
Tallahassee, Fla. 32301

Messer Law Firm
Floyd Self
PO Box 1876
Tallahassee, Fla. 32302

Office of Public Counsel
Charlie Beck
111 West Madison St. #812
Tallahassee, Fla. 32399

Jonathan Kylleskwy
3343 North 5th Street #911
Miami, Fla. 33130

Patricia Christensen
Staff Counsel
Fla. PSC
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Divsn Legal Services
Tallahassee, Fla. 32399-0850

NANPA
Ron Connor
Director
Suite 400
1120 Vermont Ave
Washington DC 20005

Emmanuel Arvanitas
Emmanuel Arvanitas

Peggy Arvanitas
Peggy Arvanitas