State of Florida



Public Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER ● 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

AUGUST 2, 2001

TO:

COMMISSION CLERK THE OF DIRECTOR, DIVISION

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K. PEÑA; B. KEATING; ELLIOTT)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 010484-TC - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 4905 ISSUED TO JAMES PAUL ELLIOTT FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-ASSESSMENT FEES. REGULATORY F.A.C., TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

DOCKET NO. 010587-TC - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 7173 ISSUED TO PATRICIA THOMAS FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

DOCKET NO. 010621-TC - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 7364 ISSUED TO DUDLEY JAMES SADHI FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-FEES; REGULATORY ASSESSMENT F.A.C., TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

08/14/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY AGENDA:

PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

S:\PSC\CMP\WP\010484.RCM FILE NAME AND LOCATION:

CASE BACKGROUND

The companies listed on Attachment A were mailed the 2000 Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF) Notice and payment was due by DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09335 JUL31 a

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

DOCKET NOS. 010484-TC, 010587-TC, 010621-TC DATE: AUGUST 2, 2001

January 30, 2001. On February 21, 2001, the Division of The Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed a delinquent letter for the 2000 RAF.

After the dockets were opened, staff wrote each of the companies listed on Attachment A and explained that a docket had been established and to contact staff if the companies were interested in resolving the dockets. The companies listed on Attachment A paid the past due amounts, including statutory penalty and interest charges, and requested voluntary cancellation.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 364.336, 364.285, and 364.3375, Florida Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant the companies listed on Attachment A a voluntary cancellation of their respective certificates?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should grant each company a voluntary cancellation of its telecommunications certificate with an effective date as listed on Attachment A. (Isler)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, which implements Section 364.336, Florida Statutes, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

The Division of The Commission Clerk & Administrative Services notified staff that each company listed on Attachment A had not submitted the 2000 regulatory assessment fees, along with statutory penalty and interest charges, for the year 2000. Therefore, the companies have failed to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, Florida

DOCKET NOS. 010484-TC, 010587-TC, 010621-TC DATE: AUGUST 2, 2001

Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

On April 17 and May 14, 2001, staff wrote each of the companies listed on Attachment A and explained that a docket had been established. Staff advised the companies to contact staff if they were interested in resolving the dockets. Each company listed on Attachment A contacted staff, paid the past due amount in full, including statutory penalty and interest charges, and requested voluntary cancellation of their respective certificates in compliance with Rule 25-24.514(2)(a) and (b), Florida Administrative Code.

Accordingly, staff believes the companies' requests for a voluntary cancellation of their respective telecommunications certificates should be granted with effective dates as listed on Attachment A.

ISSUE 2: Should these dockets be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes, if the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, these dockets should be closed. (K. Peña; B. Keating; Elliott)

STAFF ANALYSIS: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, no other issues remain unresolved and these dockets should be closed.

ATTACHMENT A

DOCKET NOS. 010484-TC, 010587-TC, 010621-TC DATE: AUGUST 2, 2001

OCKET NO.	PROVIDER LAST REPORTED REVENUES & PERIOD COVERED	CERT.	Effective Date of Cancellation
10484-TC	James Paul Elliott \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/00 \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/01	4905	06/21/01
10587-TC	Patricia Thomas \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/00 \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/01	7173	05/22/01
10621-TC	Dudley James Sadhi \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/00 \$0 Revenues for Period Ended 12/31/01	7364	05/21/01