

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of  
 BellSouth Telecommunications,  
 Inc.'s entry into interLATA  
 services pursuant to Section 271  
 of the Federal  
 Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 960786-TL  
 ORDER NO. PSC-01-1830A-PCO-TL  
 ISSUED: September 13, 2001

AMENDATORY ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 11, 2001, we issued Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL regarding testimony to be stricken in this proceeding. Due to a scrivener's error, however, Attachment D incorrectly reflected that the testimony of NuVox witness Willis was to be stricken, and failed to include that the testimony of Access Integrated witness Page is stricken. Therefore, the Order is amended to delete the reference to NuVox witness Willis, and to include the following in Attachment D to the Order:

<b>Access Integrated</b>	Page	Rebuttal	p. 4, line 9 through p. 7, line 14	Company-specific complaint
--------------------------	------	----------	------------------------------------	----------------------------

The above identified testimony of witness Page shall be stricken.

Furthermore, in Attachment D to the Order, the second reference to testimony of Covad witness Davis, indicates that the testimony of the witness on page p. 17, line 10 through p. 19, line 18. However, page 19 only goes through line 8. Therefore, Attachment D should be amended as follows:

<b>Covad</b>	Davis	Rebuttal	p. 17 line 10 through p. 19 line 6	Performance measures
--------------	-------	----------	------------------------------------	----------------------

In addition, in Attachment A, which was submitted by BellSouth, for Nuvox witness Campbell, the Attachment incorrectly indicates that witness Campbell's testimony should be stricken at

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11397 SEP 13 01

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

page 3, line 1 through page 9, line 21 and page 13, line 10 through page 19, line 6. However, witness Campbell's testimony ends at page 9, line 8. Therefore, Attachment A is amended to reflect the following:

NuVox	Campbell	Rebuttal	Page 3, line 1 through page 9, line 8.
-------	----------	----------	--

Finally, the Order at page 7 is clarified to reflect that Florida Digital Network did not move to strike the testimony of witness Page, but instead asserted that if witness Page's testimony was to be stricken, then the testimony of witness Cox and Exhibit CKC-10 should also be stricken.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Attachment D to Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL is hereby amended to reflect that the testimony of witness Willis is not stricken. It is further

ORDERED that Attachment D to Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL is hereby amended to reflect that the testimony of witness Page is stricken. It is further

ORDERED that Attachment D to Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL is hereby amended to reflect the correction regarding the testimony of witness Davis that is to be stricken as identified in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Attachment A is hereby amended to reflect the correction regarding the testimony of witness Campbell that is to be stricken as identified in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that the clarification identified in the body of this Order with regard to Florida Digital Network, Inc.'s assertions is made to Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL.

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-01-1830-PCO-TL is reaffirmed in all other respects.

ORDER NO. PSC-01-1830A-PCO-TL  
DOCKET NO. 960786-TL  
PAGE 3

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 13th  
Day of September, 2001.



---

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director  
Division of the Commission Clerk  
and Administrative Services

( S E A L )

BK