

Steel Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe, Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804 850.222.2300 850,222,8410 Fax www.steelhector.com

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.



September 24, 2001

- VIA HAND DELIVERY -

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: DOCKET NO. 001148-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and seven (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Response to Publix Supermarket, Inc.'s Petition to Intervene in the above referenced docket.

Very truly yours,

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

MMC/gc

Enclosures

COM

LEG

PAI

RGC SEC

SER

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enclosures)

7812

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

999 SEP 24 = Rio de Janeiro Santo Domingo FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Miami

Key West

London

Caracas

São Paulo

West Palm Beach Tallahassee

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Review of Florida Power & Light)	
Company's proposed merger with Entergy)	DOCKET NO. 001148-EI
Corporation, the formation of a Florida)	
transmission company ("Florida transco"),)	Filed: September 24, 2001
and their effect on FPL's retail rates.)	
)	

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIX SUPERMARKET, INC'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), hereby responds to the Petition to Intervene (the "Petition") filed by Publix Supermarket, Inc ("Publix"), and states:

- 1. Publix seeks to intervene in both phases of this proceeding as a retail customer of FPL. At several points in its Petition, Publix describes what it believes should be the factual issues decided herein. Some of the issues identified are, however, contrary to decisions already made by the Commission regarding the scope and focus of this proceeding.
- 2. In general, FPL has no objection to Publix's intervention. However, it is well established that an intervener takes the case as it finds it. Rule 25-22.039, F.A.C.; *In re: Petition for Approval of Modifications to Tarrif Provisions Governing Transportation of Customer-Owned Gas and Tarrif Provisions to Implement Rule 25-7.0335, F.A.C., by Tampa Electric Company*, Order No. PSC-00-1617-PCO-GU (September 11, 2000). Therefore, Publix may not now seek to redirect this proceeding or recast the issues to be decided, to the extent this is what is sought by its Petition.
- 3. In Paragraph 4 of its Petition Publix states that "PSC Staff have requested the Commission to review FPL's RTO proposal, earnings, and including the effects of the proposed

merger of Florida Power & Light Company with Entergy Corporation." Similarly, in Paragraphs 8 and 9, Publix states that one of the issues of material fact to be decided is "the effect of the proposed merger with Entergy Corporation on FPL's earnings and costs...." While the proposed merger was initially a central focus of this proceeding, the merger has been cancelled and the Commission has agreed with FPL that it would be an unwarranted expansion of this proceeding to explore the impact of *not* merging under the guise considering the impact of the merger. Order No. PSC-01-1675-PCO-EI. Publix fails to note that the Commission has held that the now-terminated merger is no longer at issue: "FPL correctly maintains that the effect of the terminated merger is not a disputed fact in this docket and is not a basis for intervention." *Id*.

4. Moreover, the Commission has recently noted that the issues for Phase I of this proceeding have already been determined and are not subject to change. *See* Order No. PSC-01-1783-PCO-EI. To the extent Publix would seek to introduce new issues into Phase I, it may not do so. It may propose issues for Phase II at an appropriate time, subject, however, to the Commission's prior determinations as to the proper scope of this proceeding. Thus, even at such time as Phase II issues are developed, there would be no basis to revisit the Commission's decision that the scope of this proceeding does not include issues related to the terminated merger.

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully requests that, if Publix is permitted to intervene, the Commission qualify its intervention by noting that Publix takes the case as it presently exists, and is subject to all prior Commission orders regarding the proper scope of the issues to be determined.

Respectfully submitted,

Steel Hector & Davis LLP 215 South Monroe Street - Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

John T. Butler, P.A. Gabriel E. Nieto

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of FPL's Response to Publix Supermarket, Inc., Petition to Intervene was served by Hand Delivery (*) or mailed this 24th day of September 2001 to the following:

Robert V. Elias, Esquire* Legal Division Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Room 370 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Ronald C. LaFace Seann M. Frazier 101 East College Avenue Post Office Drawer 1838 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Andrews & Kurth Law Firm Mark Sundback/Kenneth Wiseman 1701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006

Dynegy Inc.
David L. Cruthirds
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5800
Houston, TX 77002-5050

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A. Thomas A. Cloud/W. Christopher Browder P.O. Box 3068 Orlando, FL 32802-3068

Office of Public Counsel Jack Shreve/John R. Howe c/o The Florida Legislature Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association Linda Quick 6363 Taft Street Hollywood, FL 33024

By:

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, P.A.