



Public Service Commission
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

FROM: DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (ISLER) *Pix* *DAE*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K. PEÑA; B. KEATING; ELLIOTT) *DAE* *10/16/01*

RE: CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CERTIFICATE FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

- DOCKET NO. 011018-TI - SOUTHERN STATES TELEPHONE, INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011020-TI - USP COMM., INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011024-TI - PUBLIC PAYPHONE U.S.A., INC.
D/B/A PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011025-TI - EXECUTIVE TELECARD LTD, INC.
D/B/A EGLOBE, INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011030-TI - FON DIGITAL NETWORK INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011038-TI - VOICE VISION INTERNATIONAL, INC.
- DOCKET NO. 011039-TI - TRANSNET CONNECT, INC.

AGENDA: 10/16/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\011018.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Each of the certificated providers listed on Attachment A were mailed the 2000 Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF) notice. When full payment had not been received by the due date, the Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed a delinquent letter to the companies. In addition, each of the companies were

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12351 OCT-15

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

DOCKET NOS. 011018-TI, 011020-TI, 011024-TI, 011025-TI, 011030-TI, 011038-TI, 011039-TI
DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

mailed a letter and asked to contact staff if interested in resolving their docket. As of September 25, 2001, none of the companies have paid the past due amount.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 364.336, 364.285, and 364.337, Florida Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

15321 001-12
15321 001-12

DOCKET NOS. 011018-TI, 011020-TI, 011024-TI, 011025-TI, 011030-TI, 011038-TI, 011039-TI
DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate listed on Attachment A for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's certificate as listed on Attachment A if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts. (Isler)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the Commission may impose a fine or cancel a certificate if a company refuses to comply with Commission rules. Rule 25-24.474, Florida Administrative Code, establishes the requirements for cancellation of a certificate. The rule provides for the Commission to cancel a certificate on its own motion for violation of Commission Rules and Orders.

Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, which implements Section 364.336, Florida Statutes, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

The Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services' records show that each of the companies listed on Attachment A had not paid its 2000 regulatory assessment fees, plus statutory penalty and interest charges. Therefore, it appears the

DOCKET NOS. 011018-TI, 011020-TI, 011024-TI, 011025-TI, 011030-TI, 011038-TI, 011039-TI
DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

companies have failed to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and have not requested cancellation of their certificates in compliance with Rule 25-24.474, Florida Administrative Code. This fine is consistent with amounts imposed for recent, similar violations.

Accordingly, staff recommends that the Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's certificate as listed on Attachment A if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

DOCKET NOS. 011018-TI, 011020-TI, 011024-TI, 011025-TI, 011030-TI, 011038-TI, 011039-TI
DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

ISSUE 2: Should these dockets be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. These dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final. (K. Peña; B. Keating; Elliott)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a Proposed Agency Action Order. If no timely protest to the Proposed Agency Action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order and upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DOCKET NOS. 011018-TI, 011020-TI, 011024-TI, 011025-TI, 011030-TI, 011038-TI, 011039-TI

DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2001

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>PROVIDER LAST REPORTED REVENUES & PERIOD COVERED</u>	<u>CERT. NO.</u>	<u>RAFs</u>	<u>P and I</u>
011018-TI	Southern States Telephone, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$10,721.00 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5720	2000	1999 2000
011020-TI	USP Comm., Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$304.61 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	7020	2000	1999 2000
011024-TI	Public Communications Services, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5810	2000	2000
011025-TI	eGlobe, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$17,448.00 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5782	2000	1999 2000
011030-TI	FON Digital Network Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	7107	2000	1999 2000
011038-TI	Voice Vision International, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	7125	2000	2000
011039-TI	TransNet Connect, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	7188	2000	2000