

VOTE SHEET

OCTOBER 2, 2001

RE: Docket No. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing. (Deferred from the September 18, 2001 Commission Conference.)

ISSUE 1: Should the request for oral argument by Ms. Charlene Hoag be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Ms. Hoag has not stated why oral argument would aid the Commission in comprehending and evaluating the issue before it.

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*Michael A. Paleski*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*John F. ...*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12470 OCT-25

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

VOTE SHEET

OCTOBER 2, 2001

Docket No. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing. (Deferred from the September 18, 2001 Commission Conference.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Motion for Reconsideration filed by Ms. Charlene Hoag be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Neither Ms. Hoag's March 12 letter nor the subsequent letters identify any point of fact or law which was overlooked or the Commission failed to consider in rendering its Order.

**APPROVED**

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 2, no further action is required and this docket should be closed.

**APPROVED**