

VOTE SHEET

OCTOBER 2, 2001

RE: Docket No. 990649-TP - Investigation into pricing of unbundled network elements. (Deferred from the September 18, 2001 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration?

RECOMMENDATION: The Motion for Reconsideration should be granted, in part, and denied, in part, as set forth in the analysis portion of staff's September 20, 2001 memorandum. Furthermore, clarification regarding references to hybrid fiber/copper loops and BellSouth's ability to submit support for costs, if any, associated with tagging xDSL-capable loops should be provided as set forth in the staff analysis.

APPROVED

Chairman Jacobs dissented

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

[Empty lines in dissenting column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Chairman Jacobs dissented on issue 1.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12477 OCT-20

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

VOTE SHEET

OCTOBER 2, 2001

Docket No. 990649-TP - Investigation into pricing of unbundled network elements. (Deferred from the September 18, 2001 conference; revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Commission grant MCI, AT&T, Covad, and Z-Tel's Joint Motion for Reconsideration?

RECOMMENDATION: The Motion for Reconsideration should be denied as set forth in the staff analysis.

APPROVED

ISSUE 3: Should the Commission grant BellSouth's Motion to Conform Staff Analysis and Cost Model Run to Order No. PSC-01-1181-FOF-TP?

RECOMMENDATION: No. The Motion is actually an untimely Motion for Reconsideration. However, staff does recommend that the Commission should, on its own motion, conform the cost model runs to its decisions set forth in the Order.

APPROVED

ISSUE 4: Should this Docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: No. This Docket should remain open to address BellSouth's 120-day filings and Phase III for Verizon and Sprint.

APPROVED