BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost Recovery Factors. DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

DATED: OCTOBER 15, 2001

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions.

Generic Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

<u>ISSUE 1</u>: What are the appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period ending December 31, 2000?

POSITION:

FPL:	\$409,377	over	recovery.
TECO:	\$677,727	over	recovery.
GULF:	\$643,068	over	recovery.

<u>ISSUE 2:</u> What are the estimated environmental cost recovery trueup amounts for the period January 2001 through December 2001?

POSITION:

FPL:	\$140,141	over	recovery.
TECO:	\$ 33,526	over	recovery.
GULF:	\$684,892	over	recovery.

<u>ISSUE 3:</u> What are the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected or refunded during the period January 2002 through December 2002?

DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE

13057 OCT 155

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

POSITION:

FPL:	\$0.		
TECO:	\$ 711,253	to be	refunded.
GULF:	\$1,327,960	to be	refunded.

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2002 through December 2002?

POSITION:

FPL:	\$0.
TECO:	Pending review of outstanding discovery.
GULF:	\$9,769,445 if Gulf's petition is approved.

<u>ISSUE 5:</u> What should be the effective date of the environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

POSITION:

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified environmental cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January, 2002, through December, 2002. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2002, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2002, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

<u>ISSUE 6</u>: What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2002 through December 2002?

POSITION:

The depreciation rates used to calculate the depreciation expense should be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service.

<u>ISSUE 7</u>: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2002 through December 2002?

POSITION:

- FPL: Energy Jurisdictional factor 98.96163%; CP Demand Jurisdictional Factor 99.03598%
- GULF: The demand jurisdictional separation factor is 96.50747%. The energy jurisdictional separation factors are calculated for each month based on retail kWh sales as a percentage of projected total system kWh sales.
- TECO: Pending review of outstanding discovery.
- <u>ISSUE 8</u>: What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January, 2002, through December, 2002, for each rate group?

POSITION:

FPL:	<u>RATE</u>	CLASS	ENVIRONMENTAL RECOVERY
			FACTOR (\$KWH)
		RS1	0.00000
		GS1	0.00000
		GSD1	0.00000
		OS2	0.00000
		GSLD1/CS1	0.00000
		GSLD2/CS2	0.00000
		GSLD3/CS3	0.00000
		ISST1D	0.00000
		SST1T	0.00000
		SST1D	0.00000
		CILC D/CILC G	0.00000
		CILC T	0.00000
		MET	0.00000
		OL1/SL1/PL1	0.00000
		SL2	0.00000

GULF:

If the Commission approves GULF's petition the ECRC factors should be as listed below.

RATE CLASS	ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FACTORS ¢/KWH
RS, RST, RSVP	0.104
GS, GST	0.104
GSD, GSDT	0.094
LP, LPT	0.088
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	0.083
OS-I, OS-II	0.067
OS-III	0.087
OS-IV	0.074

TECO: Pending review of outstanding discovery.

Company Specific Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

Florida Power & Light Company

<u>ISSUE 9</u>: What effect does Florida Power & Light Company's stipulation approved by Order No. PSC-99-0519-AS-EI have on the company's level of recovery for 2002?

POSITION:

Florida Power & Light Company should be required to follow the provisions of the stipulation. For 2002, the Stipulation does not allow FPL to recover a level of costs, including true-ups,

in excess of \$0. The level of costs incurred above the cap will not be recovered through the ECRC in future periods.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 10A: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request for recovery of costs for Generic NO_x Control Intelligent System (GNOCIS) through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION:

The GNOCIS project is an environmental requirement by the DEP to meet air permit requirements for Smith Unit 3. The GNOCIS project satisfies the requirements of Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes, and qualifies for recovery through the ECRC. However, base rates is the more appropriate cost recovery method because the GNOCIS project is due to the siting of a new power plant, Smith Unit 3.

<u>ISSUE 10B:</u> Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request for recovery of costs for Consumptive Use-Shield Water Substitution Project through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION:

Yes. By Order PSC-01-1788-PAA-EI, the Commission found that Gulf's Shield Water Project satisfies the requirements of Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes, and qualifies for recovery through the ECRC.

ISSUE 10C: How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Consumptive Use-Shield Water Substitution Project be allocated to the rate classes?

POSITION:

The recoverable costs for Consumptive Water Use Monitoring Activity should be allocated to the rate classes using the 12 Coincident Peak and 1/13 Average Demand method.

Tampa Electric Company

<u>ISSUE 11A:</u> Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's request for recovery of costs for Gannon Thermal Discharge Study through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION:

Yes. By Order PSC-01-1847-PAA-EI, the Commission found that TECO's Gannon Discharge Study project satisfies the requirements of Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes, and qualifies for recovery through the ECRC.

<u>ISSUE 11B:</u> How should the newly proposed environmental costs for the Gannon Thermal Discharge Study project be allocated to the rate classes?

POSITION:

Pending review of outstanding discovery.

Mailine K. Str.

MARLENE K. STERN Staff Counsel FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6193

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost Recovery Factors. DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 15, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of <u>STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF</u> <u>ISSUES AND POSITIONS</u> has been furnished by U.S. MAIL this 15th day of October, 2001, to the following:

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Matthew M. Childs Steel, Hector & Davis, LLP 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ms. Angela Llewellyn Peoples Gas System Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 2562 Tampa, FL 33601-2562

Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs and Lane P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576 John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. P. O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601

Lee L. Willis James D. Beasley Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Robert D. Vandiver Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

MARLENE K. STERN Staff Counsel FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6193