## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 15, 2001

# STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-0665-PCO-EI, issued March 16, 2001, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions.

#### GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

- **ISSUE 1:** What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate estimated/actual fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January, 2001 through December, 2001?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 3:** What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January, 2002 to December, 2002?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 4:** What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January, 2002 to December, 2002?

DOCUMENT AUMBER-DATE

13074 OCT 15 5

- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 5:** What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 6:** What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/ delivery voltage level class?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 7:** What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 8: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January, 2002 to December, 2002?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- What is the appropriate benchmark level for calendar year 2001 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI,

issued September 26, 2000, for each investor-owned electric utility?

- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 10: What is the appropriate estimated benchmark level for calendar year 2002 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI, issued September 26, 2000, for each investorowned electric utility?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 11: Has each investor-owned electric utility taken reasonable steps to manage the risks associated with its fuel transactions through the use of physical and financial hedging practices?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 12: What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for gains and losses from hedging an investor-owned electric utility's fuel transactions through futures contracts?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 13:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the premiums received and paid for hedging an investor-owned electric utility's fuel transactions through options contracts?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

- **ISSUE 14:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the transaction costs associated with an investor-owned electric utility hedging its fuel transactions?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 15:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for capital projects with an in-service date on or after January 1, 2002, that are expected to reduce long-term fuel costs?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 16:** What is the appropriate rate of return on the unamortized balance of capital projects with an in-service date on or after January 1, 2002, that are expected to reduce longterm fuel costs?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 17: If an investor-owned electric utility exceeds the ceiling on its authorized return on common equity, can and/or should the Commission reduce by a commensurate amount recovery of prudently-incurred expenditures through the Commission's fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

### ISSUE 17A:

Should voluntary funding of the Gas Research Institute (GRI) surcharge be recovered through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?

#### COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

## Florida Power & Light Company

## ISSUE 18A:

For the period March 1999, to March 2001, did FPL take reasonable steps to manage the risk associated with changes in natural gas prices?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 18B:

Is FPL's aerial survey method of its coal inventory at Plant Scherer as stated in Audit Disclosure No. 1 of Audit Control No. 01-053-4-1 consistent with the method set forth in Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 970001-EI, issued March 31, 1997?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 18C:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for sales of natural gas and transportation capacity made by FPL to an affiliated company?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 18D:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for sales of natural gas and transportation capacity made by FPL to an unaffiliated company?

### ISSUE 18E:

How should FPL allocate the costs associated with its sales of natural gas to Florida Power and Light Energy Services?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

### ISSUE 18F:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment of Florida Power and Light Energy Services' revenues and costs made to customers within FPL's service area?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 18G:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment of Florida Power and Light Energy Services' revenues and costs made to customer outside of FPL's service area?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 18H:

Are the costs associated with Florida Power & Light Company's purchase of 50 MW firm capacity and associated energy from Florida Power Corporation reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## <u>ISSUE 18I:</u>

Are the costs associated with Florida Power & Light Company's purchase of approximately 1,000 MW of capacity and associated energy from Progress Energy Ventures, Reliant Energy Services, and Oleander Power Project L. P. reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

### ISSUE 18J:

Should the Commission allow Florida Power & Light Company to recover through the fuel and capacity cost recovery clauses payments made to Cedar Bay resulting from litigation between FPL and Cedar Bay?

<u>POSITION:</u> No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

# Florida Power Corporation

## ISSUE 19A:

Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Electric Fuels Corporation's capital structure for calendar year 2000?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 19B:

Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the market price true-up for coal purchases from Powell Mountain?

<u>POSITION:</u> No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 19C: -

Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the 2000 price for waterborne transportation services provided by Electric Fuels Corporation?

### ISSUE 19D:

For the period March 1999, to March 2001, did Florida Power take reasonable steps to manage the risk associated with changes in natural gas prices?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 19E:

Were Florida Power's replacement fuel costs for the unplanned outage at Crystal River Unit 2, commencing on June 1, 2000, reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 19F:

Should the Commission allow Florida Power to recover payments made to Lake Cogen, Ltd. resulting from litigation between Florida Power and Lake Cogen, Ltd.?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## Florida Public Utilities Company

## ISSUE 20A:

As stated in Audit Disclosure No. 1 in Audit Control No. 01-053-4-2, did Florida Public Utilities Company charge its ratepayers in its GSD class a fuel cost recovery factor that was less than the Commission-approved fuel cost recovery factor for that class?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

# ISSUE 20B:

If Florida Public Utilities Company did charge its ratepayers in its GSD class a fuel cost recovery factor

that was less than the Commission-approved fuel cost recovery factor for that class, what are the appropriate corrective actions Florida Public Utilities Company should take?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## Tampa Electric Company

#### ISSUE 21A:

What is the appropriate 2000 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## ISSUE 21B:

Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 2000 waterborne transportation benchmark price?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 21C:

For the period January 1998, to December 2000, were Tampa Electric Company's decisions regarding its wholesale energy purchases from and its wholesale energy sales to Hardee Power Partners reasonable?

### ISSUE 21D:

For the period January 1998, to December 2000, were Tampa Electric Company's decisions regarding its wholesale energy purchases from and its wholesale energy sales to non-affiliated entities reasonable?

# ISSUE 21E:

Is Tampa Electric's lease of 39 portable generators to provide 70 MW of peaking capacity reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 21F:

Is Tampa Electric's proposal to refund \$6.37 million from 1999 earnings to its ratepayers from January 2002, to March 2002, reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## Gulf Power Company

## ISSUE 22A:

Were Gulf Power's replacement fuel costs for the unplanned outage at Crist Unit 2, commencing on August 2, 2000, reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### ISSUE 22B:

As stated in Audit Disclosure No. 3 of Audit Control No. 01-053-1-1 and Audit Disclosure No. 3 of Audit Control No. 01-023-1-1, did Gulf Power Company overstate Interchange Sales reported for the year ended December 31, 2000, by \$385,796?

#### ISSUE 22C:

If Gulf Power Company did overstate Interchange Sales reported for the year ended December 31, 2000, by \$385,796, what are the appropriate corrective actions that Gulf Power Company should take?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

#### GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

- ISSUE 23: What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January, 2000 through December, 2000 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 24: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002 for each investorowned electric utility subject to the GPIF?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

## GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

- **ISSUE 25:** What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 26:** What are the appropriate estimated/actual capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January, 2001 through December, 2001?

- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?
- <u>POSITION:</u> No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation
   factors to be applied to determine the capacity costs to
   be recovered during the period January, 2002 through
   December, 2002?
- <u>POSITION:</u> No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- **ISSUE 30:** What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for each rate class/ delivery class for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?
- **POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.
- ISSUE 31: What is the appropriate adjustment to Gulf Power Company's total recoverable capacity payments to reflect the former capacity transactions embedded in the company's base rates, as reflected on line 8 of Schedule CCE-1?

**POSITION:** No position pending further discovery and evidence adduced at hearing.

Dated this 15th day of October, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. COCHRAN KEATING IV

Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

(850)413-6193

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 15, 2001

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one copy of STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS has been furnished to the following by U. S. Mail this  $15^{\rm th}$  day of October 2001:

James A. McGee Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Fla. Industrial Power
Users Group
c/o John McWhirter, Jr.
McWhirter Reeves Law Firm
P. O. Box 3350
Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Mr. R. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408

Robert Vandiver Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Matthew M. Childs Steel, Hector & Davis Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street, #601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804 Ms. Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Joseph McGlothlin Vicki Kaufman McWhirter Reeves Law Firm 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Norman Horton Messer Law Firm P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Florida Public Utilities Co. Mr. George Bachman P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Mr. John T. English Florida Public Utilities Co. P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 010001-EI PAGE 2

Tampa Electric Company Ms. Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs P. O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Ausley & McMullen Law Firm James Beasley & Lee Willis P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Florida Power & Light Company Mr. Bill Walker 215 South Monroe St., Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Beggs and Lane Law Firm Jeffrey Stone/Russell Badders P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32501-2950

WM. COCHRAN KEATING IV

Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850)413-6193